Introduction

Heavy metals are widely spread in mineral rocks, soil, and water, such as zinc, calcium, and iron. Their absorption causes various metabolic as well as systemic disorders [1]. Drugs are taken to cure an illness, whereas cosmetics are used, by the person of all age group, to enhance their beauty. Beauty consciousness has led to the development of various new types of cosmetic products, and this development has caught the interest of clinicians and scientists to govern the effects and side effects both. For imparting color shades to the various cosmeceuticals, mineral rocks and pearls are used e.g. Quinazamine Green SS, Tartrazine, Erythrosine etc [2].

The aim behind choosing six brands was to compare the results with previous findings. All these chosen brands contain lead above the permissible limits. They all are quite famous among females.

Material and Method

Sample description

12 samples of 6 different brands of lipstick, manufactured by national as well as international companies, were procured from the local markets and outlets of Delhi-NCR, a metropolitan city in India. The aim behind choosing six brands was to compare the results with the previous findings. All these chosen brands contain lead above the permissible limits. They all are quite famous among females.
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Two samples, each brand bearing same batch number, which were manufactured in 2015, with the same batch number were purchased. One of the samples was kept in safe custody for any legal complications in future, if any.

**Methodology**

All the glassware and plastic ware used for the analysis were bought fresh, washed with soap and water, and immersed in 10% HNO₃ for sufficient time. Before using them, all were washed twice with Millipore water to avoid any kind of contamination. Samples and standards were prepared simultaneously-five different quantity concentrations of lead, arsenic, chromium, cobalt, nickel, and cadmium as taken as standard-and standard plot was prepared. For analysis, one gram of sample was taken in an acid-washed container, and HCl+H-HNO₃ (1:1) was added slowly, then it was kept for digestion on sand bath till the fumes disappear. Then, 2 ml of 65% HNO₃ was added, the sample was filtered, and volume was made up to 10ml with Millipore water.

**Variables**

Independent variable-brand of lipstick. Dependent variable-lead, arsenic, chromium, cobalt, nickel, cadmium concentration.

Controlled variables-pressure over the system (1atm),temperature, volumes of acids added to dissolve solid lipstick (5 mL HNO₃ and 5 mL HNO₃), atomic absorption spectrometer, analytical balance scale, amount of lipstick sample added into acid mixture (1g), Millipore water added to lipstick sample time to dissolve solutions(45min), temperature of the dissolved solutions (25.0°C).

**Results**

A total result of 12 different samples of lipstick from different brands, which were manufactured in 2015, were studied for the presence of heavy metals.

The levels found in 8 brands and 4 different shades of Elle-18 were enlisted in the table 1. The brand selection was based on previous studies.

The highlighted cells in the table shows the brands that were with the excess amount of lead beyond permissible limits prescribed for human consumption, as per the International Purity Specifications (IPS), of the FDA and standards for heavy metals (WHO guidelines). The top-shaded parts reflect the lack of proper manufacturing processes by the cosmetic companies and the good enough testing of the products. This could be said that the testing and meeting standards for lead is expensive and raises products costs.

**Lead**

Lead was the mostly detected heavy metal in lipstick of many brands. It can be seen clearly in graph 1 that Lakme enrich (13.063ppm), Elle 18 Red (12.382 ppm), Elle 18 brown (10.069 ppm) and Elle 18 light brown (9.865 ppm) exceeds the permissible limits for lead.

**Arsenic**

Almost all the brands and shades were found to be having arsenic concentration beyond the permissible limits. Lakme enrich Satin red (3.14 ppm), Elle 18 reddish brown (3.14 ppm), Beauty face (3.01 ppm), Janero Violet (2.635ppm), Elle 18 Brown (2.105 ppm), Elle 18 red (2.105 ppm), L’OréalParis (pure rouge) (2.095 ppm), Maxford lips (red) (2.489 ppm), Janero (dark brown) (3.593 ppm), Janero (chocolate) (2.259 ppm) (Graph 2).

**Cadmium**

Cadmium was present in just trace amount in most of the products, but the shades of Elle 18 were having subsequently higher concentration of cadmium than their peers.

**Cobalt**

None of the sample was found to be having Cobalt concentration beyond permissible limits. The permissible limit of Cobalt is 5ppm under IPS of US FDA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Batch No</th>
<th>MFG BY</th>
<th>Lead concentration ppm</th>
<th>Arsenic ppm</th>
<th>Cadmium ppm</th>
<th>Cobalt ppm</th>
<th>Nickel ppm</th>
<th>Chromium ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janero (violet)</td>
<td>425/cos</td>
<td>Nagar cosmetics</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>2.655</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle 18 (brown)</td>
<td>nd/n-019</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>10.069</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle 18 (red)</td>
<td>B-116</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>12.382</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>2.104</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle 18 (light brown)</td>
<td>B-106</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>9.865</td>
<td>2.104</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle 18 (reddish brown)</td>
<td>B-013</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>7.965</td>
<td>2.184</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elle 18 (orange red)</td>
<td>B-045</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>5.987</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>3.858</td>
<td>2.064</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauty face (brown)</td>
<td>495/cos</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.096</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>6.047</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakme Enrich (satin red)</td>
<td>B125</td>
<td>Hindustan Unilever Limited</td>
<td>13.063</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>2.111</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’OréalParis (pure rouge)</td>
<td>72M203</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.055</td>
<td>2.095</td>
<td>4.466</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxford lips (red)</td>
<td>0033/12/cm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.769</td>
<td>2.489</td>
<td>6.952</td>
<td>2.034</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janero (dark brown)</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>Nagar cosmetics</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>3.593</td>
<td>4.456</td>
<td>2.259</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janero (chocolate)</td>
<td>1550/cos</td>
<td>Nagar cosmetics</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>2.259</td>
<td>6.072</td>
<td>2.157</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mab (red)</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.8932</td>
<td>1.3157</td>
<td>0.3209</td>
<td>0.3818</td>
<td>9.6843</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permissible limit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20ppm</td>
<td>3ppm</td>
<td>15 ppm</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>2 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Analysis results.**

**Note:** Results shows the analysis of 1gm of lipstick, whereas one pack of lipstick consists of at least 2g of lipstick color. Hence, concentration per pack = Analyzed values x2.
Nickel

Nickel concentration was higher in a local brand naming Mab (red) (9.6843 ppm), whereas a shade of well-known brand Elle 18 (brown) (3.02 ppm) was found to be having higher nickel level than the peers (Graph 3).

Chromium

Chromium was found in traces as well and in the permissible limit of 2ppm.

Discussion

In this study, a topical issue of heavy metal concentration in lipstick brands was evaluated and investigated. We can say that the presence of heavy metals (i.e., Pb, Co, Ni, Cr, Ar, and Cd) is due to the ingredients, such as waxes, polishes, emollients, dye pigments, antioxidants, oils, and filling materials, for example, mica, silica, or titanium dioxide.

Limits as per Rule 144 (D & C 1940) says the permitted synthetic organic colors and natural organic colors used in the cosmetic shall not contain more than 2 parts per million of arsenic calculated as arsenic trioxide. Whereas 20 parts per million of lead is calculated as lead.

However, According to rule 145 of drugs and cosmetic act the use of lead and Arsenic compounds for the purpose of coloring cosmetics is prohibited.

The presence of heavy metal in product like lipstick and other cosmetics may cause harm to females and other population exposed. The long-term effects of heavy metal emit carcinogenicity, and metals like nickel accumulates in our organs, such as kidney and liver, leading to toxicity. Here a need of cosmetovigilance rises to understand the Serious Undesirable Effects (SUE) and various noticed, un-noticed side effects.

On the comparison with the study conducted in 2014, these results are significantly having lower concentration of lead. However, the arsenic levels are increased [8].

Manufacturers should avoid the use of any such ingredient, which is composed of heavy metal adulteration, such as water or waxes.

Conclusion

The exposure and use of cosmetics with heavy metals can become an economic burden for multi organ dysfunction and danger to health and status of the people globally, followed by causing big effect on the economy of any country. However, it’s needed to do quality control and analysis for heavy metals.

The sources of contaminations are more likely to be at the raw stage levels where unauthenticated ingredients are used.

Along with the raw ingredients water is another mode of contamination. Water generally consists of minerals and along with that carries heavy metals. The use of improper and not tested water raises the risk of increased levels.

Compliance and premarketing testing should be mandatory.
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