Enhancement of sAPPα as a Therapeutic Strategy for Alzheimer’s and Other Neurodegenerative Diseases
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Abstract

Soluble, secreted Amyloid Precursor Protein-α (sAPPα), a product of α-secretase (ADAM10) cleavage of Full Length-APP (FL-APP), is a trophic factor critical for synaptic complexity and maintenance. As cleavage at the α-site of APP precludes the β-site cleavage that is the first step in Amyloid β (Aβ) production, enhancing sAPPα production may not only support and restore neuronal health, but may also decrease the generation of anti-trophic Aβ. Over-production or reduced clearance of Aβ is a hallmark of Alzheimer’s Disease (AD), and recent findings suggest it also plays a role in other neurodegenerative diseases and neurological conditions, such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy (CAA), and Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI). Yet decades of focus on Aβ-lowering strategies alone including passive and active immunotherapy and γ-secretase and BACE1 (BACE) inhibition have yet to yield positive clinical results. Clinical trials of several BACE inhibitors are underway in AD patients, and although there is optimism about this strategy, there are also concerns about mechanism-based side-effects of these drugs. A truly effective therapy would not only slow the degenerative process underlying onset and progression of the disease, it should also restore healthy neuronal function. It is very likely this will comprise combination therapy utilizing more than one drug or intervention. Molecules that enhance sAPPα may be a safe, effective component of a multi-modal therapeutic approach to AD and other neurodegenerative diseases, and have the potential to increase neuronal health by providing trophic support and disrupting neurodegenerative mechanisms.
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Introduction

Therapeutics for Alzheimer’s Disease (AD)

The number of cases of Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) - the most prevalent age-associated dementia - is expected to increase rapidly as society ages, rising from approximately 5 million at present to 15 million cases by 2050 in the US [1], and currently there are no treatments that prevent onset or alter the course of the disease. AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the presence of senile plaques, composed mainly of Amyloid β peptide (Aβ) [2,3], and the development of neurofibrillary tangles of hyper-phosphorylated tau (p tau) in brain tissue [4,5]. AD patients suffer from deficits in cognition, learning and memory, and exhibit impaired Long-Term Potentiation (LTP) [6] and a consistent deficit in cholinergic neurotransmission. The currently approved therapeutics include the acetylcholinesterase inhibitors donepezil, galantamine, rivastigmine, and tacrine which inhibit the breakdown of acetylcholine at the neuronal junction, and the N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist memantine, which reduces the excitotoxicity that results from NMDA receptor overstimulation in AD. Recently, the FDA has approved a fixed-dose combination of memantine hydrochloride extended release (Namenda XR) and donepezil hydrochloride (Namzaric) for moderate to severe AD-related dementia. These current therapeutics offer only temporary improvement in cognition and/or delay in progress of the disease, thus there is clearly a need for new approaches to and discovery of new targets for AD therapeutic development.

More recent approaches to AD therapeutic development include re-purposing the anti-epileptic drug levetiracetam to address the seizure-like activity manifest in many AD patients [7,8], use of anti-diabetic drugs including intranasal insulin [9,10], and development of BACE inhibitors [11-13], including our own APP-selective BACE inhibitors [14], to name a few. It is hoped that some of these new approaches will provide benefit in AD, but it is very likely that truly effective treatment for AD will require multiple therapeutics working in concert - similar to the approach used for AIDS therapy - to address the many deficits in the disease. One component of this multi-modal therapy should restore and promote normal neuronal function, rather than just arrest a single deleterious process underlying the disease. It is our hypothesis and that of others that enhancement of trophic peptide sAPPα, or the activity of the enzyme ADAM10, could play this key role in therapy.

Aβ plaques and the amyloid hypothesis in AD

The original evidence pointing to amyloid as causative in AD came from the finding of amyloid plaques in the brains of AD patients. It was ultimately determined that these plaques were comprised of Amyloid-β (Aβ) peptides [15,16] and based on the presence of these plaques in brain tissue and vessels in AD patients, Hardy and Allsop proposed the amyloid hypothesis of AD [3]. In this hypothesis, the overproduction and/or lack of clearance of Aβ, and particularly
APP processing

Aβ originates from Full Length-Amylloid Precursor Protein (FL-APP) which may be cleaved by alternate pathways (Figure 1). In the anti-trophic pro-AD pathway, β-secretase (BACE1, BACE) interacts with FL-APP on the cell surface, leading to dimerization and endocytosis to an acidic compartment wherein it is cleaved to produce sAPPβ and βCTF [29]. The βCTF fragment can then undergo γ-secretase cleavage, generating Aβ of a variety of species (lengths). Alternatively, in the trophic, anti-amyloidogenic anti-AD pathway, APP is cleaved by an α-secretase (putatively ADAM10) to generate the two fragments sAPPα and αCTF. These latter fragments [30] support synaptic maintenance and can inhibit the β pathway as cleavage at the α site precludes β cleavage and because sAPPα itself is a BACE inhibitor [31,32].

Under trophic, anti-AD conditions wherein synapses are maintained, Full Length-APP (FL-APP) in the plasma membrane interacts with the α-secretase ADAM10 to generate sAPPα and αCTF (shown at left). As the α-site is within the Aβ cognate region, α-cleavage precludes Aβ formation. In addition, sAPPα itself can act as a BACE inhibitor [31,32] while αCTF is an inhibitor of γ-secretase activity [33]. Alternatively, in the anti-trophic pro-AD pathway, FL-APP can interact with BACE resulting in dimerization, endocytosis, and cleavage in the acidic endosomal compartment, generating sAPPβ and βCTF. βCTF is then cleaved by γ-secretase in the plasma membrane, generating Aβ and the APP Intracellular Domain (AICD). The species (or length) of Aβ resulting from the γ-cleavage may depend upon the exact site of cleavage. Aβ may oligomerize, particularly in circumstances of high Aβ production. The oligomers may remain in the plasma membrane or be found in the cytoplasm or extracellular space, where ultimately they can aggregate and form plaques. Aβ oligomers may also interact with FL-APP (far right) initiating the formation of a dimer and cleavage by a caspase (putatively caspase 6) resulting in production of the anti-trophic C31 and APPneo fragments.

The interaction of APP cleavage products with each other and non-APP-derived proteins is complex and the mechanisms by which these APP fragments exert their many effects is not fully elucidated [34]. It is known, however, that αCTF can inhibit γ-secretase activity [33] as it contains an intact γ-cleavage site. βCTF, in similar fashion, inhibits α cleavage [35] which may explain, in part, the paradoxical increase in Aβ in the presence of low to moderate concentrations of γ-secretase inhibitors. In addition to BACE, FL-APP can interact with Aβ oligomers or heparin [36] forming dimers that can then undergo C-terminal caspase cleavage resulting in the generation of APPneo and the toxic fragment C31, inducing cell death.

The pro-cognitive role of sAPPα is clear. In primary neuronal culture, sAPPα decreases excitability [37] and in synaptosomes, it increases synaptic elements [38]. The correlation of sAPPα to synaptic plasticity and maintenance is well-established in vivo. In Anderson et al., [39] a positive correlation was shown between performance in spatial memory tasks and CSF sAPPα in young and aged rats. Intracerebroventricular (ICV) treatment with sAPPα improved both motor and cognitive function in mice subjected to Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), another pathological condition resulting in increased β-pathway processing of APP [40]. Recently, it was shown that acute sAPPα administration can rescue LTP in conditional APP/APLP knockout mice [41]. In humans, CSF sAPPα levels were seen to correlate positively with better cognitive performance [42] as determined by IQ, verbal ability, visuospatial function, immediate memory, episodic memory and various aspects of attention.

Mutations in ADAM10 and at APPα- and β-cleavage sites affect AD risk

Support for ADAM10 (a disintegrin and metalloprotease) as the α-secretase responsible for most sAPPα generation is based on the

Figure 1: APP acts as a “molecular switch”.
finding of a missense mutation in ADAM10 that increases Aβ accumulation [43] while decreasing the sAPPα/sAPPβ ratio. Suh identified two rare mutations (Q170H and R181G) in the prodomain ADAM10 that co-segregate with Late-Onset AD (LOAD). Both mutations attenuated the α-secretase activity of ADAM10 and increased BACE cleavage of FL-APP, enhancing Aβ plaque load and reactive astrocytic gliosis.

Kaden et al., [44] were the first to identify and characterize the K16N mutation, a lysine-to-asparagine substitution localized to the α-secretase cleavage site which causes early onset autosomal dominant dementia. The mutation increased Aβ toxicity and dramatically diminished α-cleavage and therefore α-CTF and sAPPα generation, resulting in levels 40-50% lower than those seen with APP wild type.

Conversely, in the search for low-frequency variants in the APP gene with significant effects on AD risk, Jonsson et al., [24] found the A673T coding mutation that protects against AD as well as age-related cognitive decline in the absence of AD. This substitution is adjacent to the β-site, and results in a reduction in Aβ production and a reduction of approximately 32% in the sAPPβ/sAPPα ratio in vitro [25]. While the effects of these mutations appeared largely to be manifest in a reduction in sAPPβ and βCTF production, they do suggest reduction of the sAPPβ/sAPPα ratio by enhancement of sAPPα may have a similar effect. Interestingly, a recessive mutation at the same site - A673V - seen in an Italian family [45] causes enhanced Aβ production and fibril formation only when homozygous. When heterozygous, co-expression of wildtype APP and wildtype Aβ destabilizes aggregates and decreases toxicity, making this mutation either advantageous or disadvantageous with respect to AD, depending upon zygosity. This finding also suggests a new therapeutic strategy for destabilizing toxic aggregates in AD [46].

**ApoeE4, SirT1 and sAPPα in AD**

As described above, expression of the ApoE4 allele confers a significant risk for development of AD, with 2/3 of all sporadic AD patients having one or two ApoE4 alleles. Our recent studies have connected ApoE4 expression with the major longevity determinants, sirtuins [47]. We showed that ApoE4 - but not ApoE3 - associates with APP with nanomolar affinity (Kd ~ 80 nM), and that only ApoE4 significantly reduces the sAPPβ/Aβ ratio. In human glioblastoma A172 cells transfected with ApoE4 or 3, ApoE4 expression slightly, but not significantly, decreased sAPPα and increased Aβ1-42; and ApoE4 expression significantly decreased sAPPα by approximately 38% and increased Aβ1-42 by 30%. Findings were similar for human neuroglioma H4 cells transfected with ApoE4 or 4 and APP, with decreases for sAPPα and increases for Aβ1-42 of 40% and 70%, respectively, in response to ApoE4 expression. Also, only ApoE4 expression significantly reduced SirT1 expression, triggered tau and APP phosphorylation, and induced programmed cell death. Others have supported these findings, including Latanzio et al., [48] who showed decreased SirT1 mRNA in the frontal cortex of ApoE4 mice, and Rhinn et al., who determined that the expression of several proteins that modulate APP processing and increase BACE activity and amyloid processing is altered by expression of ApoE4 [49].

Sirtuins are NAD-dependent deacetylases that affect longevity and have a myriad of metabolic and stress-tolerance functions. Significant decreases in SirT1 levels in parietal cortex in AD patient tissue have been reported, and these decreases were closely correlated with duration of symptoms and tau accumulation [50]. We found similar SirT1 decreases in temporo-parietal cortex from AD patients; specifically, SirT1 - but not SirT2 or SirT6 - was significantly decreased by more than 60%. In addition, Kumar et al., [51] revealed a pronounced decline in SirT1 serum concentration in AD and MCI, as well as a more moderate decline in age-matched cognitively normal individuals.

Our studies reveal that ApoE4 triggers a reduction in sAPPα levels by inhibiting the proteolysis of APP at the α-site and by reducing transcription of SirT1. As SirT1 has previously been shown to activate transcription of ADAM10 [52] and thus increase levels of neuroprotective sAPPα [53,54], one can conclude that a decrease in SirT1 expression as a result of the presence of ApoE4 is likely to lead to decreased sAPPα levels. In further support of a role for ApoE4 effects on SirT1 expression and ultimately sAPPα production, we showed that increasing SirT1 expression in the presence of ApoE4 restores sAPPα levels in vitro by co-transfecting A172 cells with both ApoE4 and SirT1 and identifying increases in sAPPα of 10% (1:1) or 20% (1:2) as compared to ApoE4 transfection alone [47].

As a result of these findings, we added SirT1 enhancement as a target for our *in vitro* screens to identify new small molecules for potential development as AD therapeutics. This resulted in our identification of brain-permeable small molecules that increase SirT1, sAPPα, and cell survival *in vitro* (manuscript in preparation). In our ongoing studies, we plan to test these molecules in vivo, as well as screen a larger compound library to identify additional novel SirT1 enhancers.

**TrkA overexpression decreases sAPPα**

As described above, caspase cleavage of APP and generation of C31 is a toxic event that may result in cell death, therefore we have also screened compound libraries to identify molecules that block caspase cleavage and lower C31. Because C31 is very short-lived, we do this by assaying for the APPneo fragment that is also generated as a result of caspase cleavage. As described in Zhang et al., [55], we identified the Tropomyosin receptor kinase A (TrkA) inhibitor ADDN-1351 as being able to decrease APPneo *in vitro*. We also performed complementary *in vitro* studies wherein TrkA was over-expressed by co-transfection of 293T cells with TrkA and APP, resulting in a decrease in sAPPα production and an increase in the level of full-length APP. Treatment of these co-transfected cells with NGF at 5 nM, however, increased sAPPα production.

As ADDN-1351 was not brain penetrant and could not be tested *in vivo*, we tested the known TrkA inhibitor GW441756 in the J20 PDAPP mouse model of AD [56], and saw that it increased sAPPα, suggesting TrkA inhibition - rather than NGF activation - as a novel therapeutic approach to AD.

The finding that an inhibitor of the Nerve Growth Factor (NGF) receptor TrkA exerts anti-AD effects is, at first, counter-intuitive as it has been shown that reduction of TrkA-NGF interaction is associated with AD. In AD, however, Basal Forebrain Cholinergic Neurons (BFCN) degenerate due, in part, to impaired retrograde transport of NGF-TrkA complexes from BFCN targets. This may lead to accumulation of these complexes, over-activation, and C31 production. Hence, these complexes may have a paradoxical effect: under normal physiological conditions NGF signaling through TrkA may result in inhibition of the amyloidogenic pathway, but in AD, impaired retrograde transport of NGF-TrkA complexes may be deleterious.
sAPPα is a potent inhibitor of BACE

While sAPPα trophic effects alone would likely be of benefit in treatment of AD, sAPPα exerts additional anti-AD effects by reducing Aβ production. We recently reported that sAPPα is a potent endogenous inhibitor of the BACE enzyme [32], and that its inhibition is likely by an allosteric mechanism. Briefly, in these studies the inhibitory activity of sAPPα and sAPPβ were compared to β-secretase inhibitor 1 in two different assays (Figure 2). In the first, Maltose Binding Protein to the C-terminal 125 residues (MBP-C125) of APP was the substrate. In this assay, sAPPα, but not sAPPβ, induced a dose-response inhibition of BACE cleavage with an IC50 ~ 25 nM. In contrast, in our second assay which used the very short substrate P5-P5’, sAPPα did not significantly inhibit BACE cleavage. This suggests the interaction of sAPPα that leads to inhibition of the enzyme is allosteric, that is, distant from the active site. sAPPβ had no direct inhibitory effect on BACE in either assay. This data revealed a novel mechanistic role played by sAPPα in regulating overproduction of Aβ and restoring neuronal homeostasis and neuroprotection. Obregon et al., [31] also suggested sAPPα as an inhibitor of BACE and demonstrated that addition of exogenous sAPPα to APP-expressing CHO cells or over-expression of sAPPα in transgenic mice resulted in decreased BACE activity.

The direct inhibition of BACE by sAPPα indicates that it acts as an endogenous inhibitor ligand that can selectively regulate the proteolysis of APP. Our biochemical analysis reveals that sAPPα is an allosteric BACE inhibitor, showing an inhibitory profile similar to that of an exosite binding antibody [57]. Additionally, our Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) analysis shows that sAPPα adopts a conformation distinct from the slightly shorter non-inhibitor sAPPβ [32], and this conformational difference may explain their differing effects. BACE inhibition is an appealing strategy for AD therapeutic development. There are advanced BACE inhibitors currently in Phase 3 clinical trials, such as the Merck BACE inhibitor MK8931 [58]. All are directly active site-binding BACE inhibitors that interact with the catalytic dyad of the enzyme. It is hoped that these compounds will perform well in the clinic, but it is not improbable that they may be associated with unwanted side effects due to off-target cleavage inhibition of non-APP substrates. The APP-selective allosteric inhibition of BACE cleavage of APP may be associated with fewer risks for side effects.

sAPPα or ADAM10 enhancers in AD

We recently described the ability of tropisetron (“F03”) the α-nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor (α-NACHR) partial agonist and potent 5-HT1 receptor antagonist to significantly increase sAPPα in vitro and in vivo in a mouse model of AD [59]. F03 (Figure 3A) was initially identified by screening a clinical compound library in Chinese Hamster Ovary cells stably transfected with human APP wildtype (CHO-7W) and determining sAPPα increases. F03 repeatedly increased sAPPα by 20-30%. sAPPα increases and Aβ reductions by F03 were seen in SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells and in primary neuronal cultures from PDAPP J20 AD model mice [56]. Notably, F03 also increased sAPPα in A172 human glioblastoma cells stably transfected with ApoEε4 (Figure 3B).

Figure 3: The tropinol ester F03 increases sAPPα and improves cognition. (A) Structure of Tropisetron (Trop, F03) a tropinol ester. (B) F03 restores sAPPα levels in the presence of ApoEε4 in human A172 neuroblastoma cells. (C) Meta analysis of more than 20 in vivo studies in J20 PDAPP AD model mice reveals F03 significantly increases sAPPα in combined hippocampus and entorhinal cortex. (D) F03 and an analog C41 significantly improved working object memory in old (>9mo) plaque-bearing J20 mice, and even improved memory in old Non-Transgenic (NTg) mice. This improvement in memory was closely related to increases in sAPPα as only sAPPα (E) was significantly increased, and Aβ1-42 (F) was unchanged, although changes in de novo Aβ production may be difficult to detect in the presence of heavy plaque load.

Meta analysis of more than 20 in vivo studies in the AD model mice showed that F03 induces highly significant increases in sAPPα (Figure 3C), decreases Aβ1-42, and increases the sAPPα/Aβ1-42 ratio. F03 repeatedly increased cognitive performance in mice in the pre-plaque stage and, strikingly, it was able to improve cognition as
Determined using the Novel Object Recognition (NOR) testing paradigm in old J20 AD model mice with extensive pre-existing Aβ plaque pathology (Figure 3D). This improvement in working object memory, as well as improvement in spatial memory as determined by Novel Location Recognition (not shown), was closely associated with increases in sAPPα (Figure 3E) as no significant decreases in Aβ were seen (Figure 3F). The effects of F03 in vivo were seen at low, human-equivalent doses used to treat Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV), and as F03 is known to have a good safety profile, the drug is now in a clinical Phase 1b/2a in AD patients in Australia.

In our ongoing studies, we have generated and tested more than thirty F03 analogs and have noted the sAPPα-enhancing effects come largely from the 5-HT3 antagonism, while the Aβ-lowering effects appear to arise from α7nAChR agonism. Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) shows F03 also interacts directly with APP and this, combined with multifunctional receptor interactions, seems to be critical for efficacy. In addition, F03 has been reported as an anti-inflammatory agent [60-62]. As chronic inflammation may be a factor contributing to the onset of AD [63], this drug may be of even greater utility in the treatment or prevention of AD.

Others are also identifying sAPPα enhancers. Lee et al., found that cilostazol attenuates Aβ production by increasing ADAM10 activity via SirT1-coupled Retinoic Acid Receptor-β (RARβ) activation in N2a cells expressing human APP Swedish (Swe) mutation [64]. Interestingly, in this study, SirT1 overexpression in N2a Swe cells also elevated ADAM10 and sAPPα levels.

Generally, retinoic acids are known to up regulate ADAM10 expression and/or activity [52]. Acitretin, a synthetic retinoid that is an approved drug for psoriasis, increases the ADAM10 gene expression. In a pilot Phase 2 clinical study in AD patients, acitretin was shown to significantly increase the sAPPα levels in CSF after a short period of treatment [65]. A longer term study on a larger patient cohort with this drug is planned.

Other molecules known to increase ADAM10 expression and/or activity include muscarinic agonists, neuropeptides such as Pituitary Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Polypeptide (PACAP), Protein Kinase C (PKC) activators, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, cAMP and calcium [66,67].

Ginsenoside Rh2, a ginseng derivative, was found to improve learning and memory in a mouse model of AD [68], and in vitro increased soluble sAPPα. Qiu et al., also used live-cell labeling to show plasma membrane APP levels increased and APP endocytosis decreased, and that this effect was likely due to a reduction in lipid raft levels. A green tea-derived polyphenolic compound (-)-epigallocatechin-3 gallate reduced Aβ in vitro and in vivo in an AD mouse model, in part by activation of estrogen receptor-α/phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B signaling and by increasing ADAM10 processing [69].

Donecpride [70] a dual (h) 5-HT3R partial agonist also promotes sAPPα release and exerts pro-cognitive effects at 0.3 and 1 mg/kg in a mouse model of AD.

Baicalein, a flavonoid that modulates γ-Aminobutyric Acid (GABA) type A receptors, also increases sAPPα [71]. In vitro, baicalein significantly reduced the production of β-Amyloid (Aβ) by increasing APP α-processing. AD mice treated with baicalein for eight weeks showed enhanced APP α-secretase processing, reduced Aβ production, and reduced AD-like pathology together with improved cognitive performance.

The Protein Kinase C (PKC) activator bryostatin-1, a macrolide lactone extract from a bryozoan species, has been shown to be effective in increasing sAPPα levels while reducing Aβ40 and 42 in AD mouse models [72], and is currently in clinical trials for AD.

The structures of several of the small molecules shown to increase sAPPα in vitro and in vivo described above are shown in (Figure 4). Interestingly, two of these molecules, bryostatin-1 and acitretin, in addition to F03 (Figure 3A), have advanced into clinical testing in MCI and AD patients.
Across the page:

**Disease or with impaired vascular function such as cardiovascular disease,** ADAM10 or sAPPα enhancement and ultimately restoration of a non-pathological sAPPβ/sAPPα ratio may slow the accumulation of vascular amyloid and/or lower the risk of vascular dementia.

**Enhancement of sAPPα in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)**

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is a devastating neurodegenerative disorder and the most common form of motor neuron disease. Recent studies indicate a role for APP and its cleavage products in the onset and progression of the disease, pointing to what may be overlap in the mechanisms that lead to ALS and AD. Therefore, compounds directed to modifying APP-related effects in AD may exert therapeutic effects in ALS as well. Since both Aβ increases and sAPPα decreases have recently been associated with ALS [74,75], we hypothesize that sAPPα enhancers such as F03 may be of benefit either as monotherapy or in combination therapy.

In Yoon et al., [76] it was revealed that Aβ interacts with Super Oxide Dismutase 1 (SOD1) resulting in a reduction in SOD activity, an increase in oxidative stress, and the compromised mitochondrial function that is characteristic of ALS [77,78]. In ALS model mice, APP and Aβ are both upregulated in spinal cord [74] and overexpression of Aβ has been shown to accelerate the onset of motor impairment [79]. Furthermore, the studies of Herman et al., [80] revealed that increasing Aβ42 increased the Tat-DNA binding Protein (TDP43) inclusions found in the majority of ALS patients. Pathologically high Aβ concentrations exacerbate glutamate excitotoxicity at the Neuro-Muscular Junction (NMJ), a major contributor to motor neuron loss in ALS [81]. Reduction of Aβ production and trophic support by sAPPα enhancement may, therefore, be a new effective therapeutic strategy for ALS, at least as part of multimodal therapy. It has been shown that ICV treatment with a monoclonal antibody that blocks the β-secretase cleavage site on APP results in reduction of sAPPβ and Aβ levels, and delays disease onset and deterioration in the pre-symptomatic stage of the disease in ALS mice [82].

sAPPα has several neuroprotective and/or trophic effects that may specifically be of benefit in ALS. Through receptor binding, it alters cyclic-GMP (cGMP) production and activates a cGMP-dependent Protein Kinase (PKG), promoting activation of the nuclear transcription factor NF-kB. sAPPα has been found to protect neurons from proteasomal stress by inhibiting the stress-triggered pro-apoptotic c-Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK)-signaling pathway. This may be of great utility in those cases of ALS wherein proteasomal stress is increased by accumulation of TDP43 and Fused-in-Sarcoma (FUS). This also suggests sAPPα enhancement may be of utility, at least as part of multi-model therapy, in Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) where in TDP43 deposits are also found.

Some of the strongest evidence that sAPPα is implicated in ALS was revealed in Steinacker et al., wherein low CSF sAPPα levels were found to be tightly correlated with rapid disease progression. SirT1 expression was recently shown to ameliorate disease progression in a mouse model of ALS [83-85], indicating that sAPPα and/or SirT1 enhancement might both be effective as part of ALS therapy.

**sAPPα, Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and stroke**

TBI triggers a sequence of events starting with tissue damage and resulting frequently in the breach of the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) and deprivation of blood flow to tissue, followed by an inflammatory response that includes microglial activation, reactive astrocytic gliosis, and ultimately glial scarring [86]. A history of TBI increases risk for later development of AD, particularly in individuals expressing the APOE4 allele [87] and this has recently been the focus of much attention [88]. The increased risk is thought to be due, in part, to increased APP expression, BACE activity, and Aβ production post-injury [89].

The upregulation of APP may lead to concomitantly increased sAPPα and Aβ, indicating that both may play a role in response to TBI [40]. And while there may be a protective role for increased Aβ production acutely post-TBI [90-92], ongoing increases in Aβ production are likely deleterious and increase the risk for later development of AD. The restoration of trophic pre-injury APP processing may improve outcome. Based on this hypothesis, Thornton et al., [93] introduced sAPPα ICV post-trauma to rats with induced TBI. The results included significantly improved motor outcome in rotodol testing, reduction of the number of apoptotic neuronal perikarya in hippocampus and cortex, and reduced axonal injury within the corpus callosum, revealing it to be a promising therapeutic strategy for TBI.

The benefits of increasing sAPPα after a hypoxic event such as TBI or stroke may partially be attributed to its inhibition of BACE. As we posit in Peters-Libeu et al., [32] the inhibition of BACE by sAPPα may be part of an evolutionarily-conserved hypoxia response pathway. Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF)-1 has been shown to upregulate both BACE and APP expression in zebra fish [94] and in mammalian cell culture [95]. Similarly, production of both BACE and APP have been shown to be up-regulated in response to hypoxia in the developing rat brain and in mature rats [96], leading to increased production of Aβ peptide. In addition, suppression of HIF-1 has been shown to decrease BACE production and increase sAPPα production [97].

An exaggerated inflammatory response also contributes to poorer outcome post-TBI, in part due to a reduction in sAPPα production. Therefore sAPPα may act as a modulator of inflammation. Siopi et al., [98] studied the effects of the α-secretase activator etazolate on acute and post-TBI outcome in a mouse model. Within a therapeutic window of two hours, a single dose of etazolate reduced inflammation and edema, and improved memory and locomotion, with these effects closely associated with restoration of sAPPα levels.

**sAPPα, sleep and melatonin**

Chronic stress, metabolic impairment and sleep disorders can lower α- and increase β-processing of APP [99] and increase risk of AD [100]. Melatonin, a key regulator of circadian rhythm and sleep, decreases with age and in patients with AD [101,102] and treatment with melatonin can reduce Aβ aggregation and toxicity [103]. Shukla et al., [104] revealed melatonin stimulates α-secretase cleavage of APP and sAPPα production in cultured neuronal and non-neuronal cells by upregulating both ADAM10 and ADAM17. These effects may explain the correlation of sleep disorders and an increased risk of cognitive impairment, as melatonin and therefore sAPPα levels typically peak in the middle of the night, perhaps as part of memory consolidation during sleep. Therefore, a sAPPα enhancer could be an integral part of treatment of sleep disorders and may lower the risk for AD.

**Conclusion**

The dominant paradigm in AD research posits accumulation of Aβ in brain as the key biochemical event underlying the development of AD, and thus is a primary target for drug development. Yet targeting
Aβ production and/or clearance has, to date, resulted in clinical failure in treatment of AD. This could very well be due to inadequate target engagement by the therapeutics or drug-related side effects rendering the treatment modality ineffective. Successful clearance of amyloid by antibody treatment such as with bapineuzumab has been shown to be associated with Amyloid-Related Imaging Abnormalities-Ede-ma/Effusion (ARIA-E), apparently reflecting microhemorrhage. Similarly, off-target effects of the γ-secretase inhibitors due to inhibition of cleavage of non-APP substrates such as Notch 1 resulted in significant side effects for this approach. Other failures may be due to trial participant selection or screening, particularly when participants with AD-symptomology do not have amyloid pathology. PET amyloid imaging is being used to eliminate this issue.

Timing of treatment may also likely be a critical factor leading to clinical trial failure. By the time an AD diagnosis is made, there is already significant neuronal death and neurofibrillary tangle formation, which Aβ-lowering alone cannot reverse. Therefore, to test the amyloid hypothesis, it would be ideal to commence anti-Aβ or amyloid treatment before significant damage has occurred, but in a patient population where the onset of amyloid pathology is almost certain. In a current study that is addressing these issues, individual members of families in Colombia expressing a genetic mutation resulting in increased Aβ production are being treated pre-symptomatically with the humanized antibody crenezumab. In addition, the ongoing anti-amyloid treatment in the asymptomatic AD "A4" study - a 3-year prevention trial in PET-positive 65-85 year-old participants with the Aβ antibody solanezumab-targets older individuals with normal cognition but at risk of developing sporadic AD. In this case, appropriate study participants are identified by pre-study amyloid PET imaging.

BACE inhibitors are currently in clinical trials and still hold great promise, but again may be limited in use by off-target side effects. Even if these inhibitors provide some benefit, as we hope, it is likely combination therapy will be necessary to achieve a truly significant effect. As a result, there is an urgent need to identify new approaches for the treatment of AD, and it is possible that targeting sAPPα enhancement will be advantageous, improving cognitive performance while at the same time decreasing Aβ production by an endogenously relevant mechanism. We propose that a sAPPα-enhancer would be effective as a monotherapy or as part of multi-modal therapy in AD. This enhancement may be induced by treatment with a variety of molecules as described in this review that increase sAPPα.

Furthermore, sAPPα enhancement may also be an effective therapeutic approach in treatment of TBI, ALS, CAA, and stroke.
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