Serum Neopterin as a Novel Marker for Carotid Artery Stenosis in Community Subjects

Huanquan Liao¹, Hua Hong¹* and Hongxuan Wang²
¹Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China
²Department of Neurology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China

Abstract

**Background:** Carotid Artery Stenosis (CAS) is one of the major high-risk mechanisms of stroke. Neopterin, an immune modulator secreted by macrophages, have been reported to be elevated in patients with cerebrovascular disease. However, an association between serum neopterin level and CAS has not been firmly established.

**Objective:** To investigate the cross-sectional relationship between serum neopterin levels and CAS assessed by ultrasound.

**Methods:** The study participants were volunteers in a community-based cohort aiming to prevent stroke in Guangzhou. Bilateral carotid artery ultrasonography was performed to assess the carotid atherosclerosis. After participant criterion screening and random sampling, finally 140 subjects were studied in our present study. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was performed to measured serum neopterin concentrations.

**Results:** Neopterin level in the CAS and control group was 5.4 (3.3-7.3) nmol/L and 4.6 (3.6-5.4) nmol/L, respectively, with a significant difference (P<0.05). After multivariate adjusted, neopterin levels remained an independent factor. With per 1.0 nmol/L (approximately 1 IQR) increased, the OR value was 1.84 (95% CI: 1.25-2.71, P<0.05). ROC curve of serum neopterin concentrations for the prediction of CAS revealed that the area under curve was 0.665 (P<0.01). The cut-off value of 5.1 nmol/L had a sensitivity of 68.6% and specificity of 61.5% in detecting CAS.

**Conclusion:** These findings demonstrate that circulating neopterin level is increased in subclinical carotid atherosclerosis population with CAS and reinforce the key roles of inflammatory response in the pathogenesis.
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Abbreviations

AUC - Area Under Curve
BMI - Body Mass Index
CAA - Carotid Artery Atherosclerosis
CAS - Carotid Artery Stenosis
DBP - Diastolic Blood Pressure
EDV - End Diastolic Velocity
ELISA - Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
FBG - Fasting Blood Glucose
HDL-C - High Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol
hs-CRP - high-sensitivity C-Reaction Protein
ICA - Internal Carotid Artery
IMT - Intima-Media Thickness
IQR - Interquartile Range
LDL-C - Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol
OR - Odds Ratio
PSV - Peak Systolic Velocity
ROC - Receiver Operating Characteristic
SBP - Systolic Blood Pressure
SD - Standard Deviation
TC - Total Cholesterol
TG - Triglyceride
UA - Uric Acid
WHR - Waist Hip Ratio

Introduction

Stroke remains one of the most devastating neurological diseases, often causing death, or gross physical impairment [1]. Carotid Artery Atherosclerosis (CAA) is one of the major high-risk mechanisms of stroke [2]. Epidemiologic estimates of first-time ischemic stroke attributable to CAA vary, but range from roughly 7 to 18% of all incident stroke [3,4]. Cerebral infarction may result from the reduction in cerebral perfusion pressure that occurs distal to a tight Carotid Artery Stenosis (CAS) or occlusion [5,6]. While an embolism may also contribute, the association of border zone infarcts with CAS emphasizes the significance of hemodynamic disturbance in the pathogenesis of these types of infarct [6]. Numerous publications from recent years indicate a significant contribution of inflammatory factors to the development and progression of atherosclerosis [7]. Atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid arteries have been associated with increased serum levels of different inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein and fibrinogen [8,9]. Inflammatory cytokines enter the circulation in soluble form. The concept of the involvement of inflammation in atherosclerosis has validated the adoption of inflammatory biomarkers for cerebrovascular risk stratification and prediction [10]. Neopterin is a pteridine derivative of the body fluids which is biologically stable, mainly produced by macrophages stimulated by γ-IFN which is secreted by activated T cells lymphocytes [11], and is a specific marker for activated mononuclear macrophages [12]. In fact, elevated neopterin levels have been reported in patients with coronary artery disease compared with controls [13,14]. Astonishingly, an association
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between serum neopterin level and CAS has not been firmly established. In this context, we hypothesized that neopterin may be related to CAS. Thus, the current study sought to achieve this objective by measuring circulating serum neopterin levels in community subjects and investigating the cross-sectional relationship between serum neopterin levels and CAS assessed by ultrasound.

Materials and Methods

Participant enrollment, screening and selection

Study participants were residents living in Nonglin community in the center of Guangzhou, China. Residents were recruited in a community-based cohort aiming to prevent stroke by the organization of Department of Neurology, the First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University from July 2008 to December 2008. Residents who were volunteers, aged from 46 to 75, and willing to be re-examined in future were eligible. Residents were excluded if they lived in other districts, refused to complete necessary questionnaires and examinations in the study or had malignant tumors, acute myocardial infarction, and other critical illnesses which would possibly affect the follow-up in the future. Altogether 962 participants were recruited. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University and all participants gave written informed consent of the study. In the present study, participants were further excluded if they had diseases which corroboratively or potentially affect the function of the immune system including cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic renal failure, chronic liver insufficiency, blood diseases, asthma, acute infectious diseases, or autoimmune diseases.

After the present study criterion screening, 534 participants were eligible for our present study. Among these participants, there were 183 (34.3%) subjects with CAS, other 351 (65.7%) subjects without CAS were taken as controls. Eventually, random sampling was used to select the sample for the study. Finally 70 participants were respectively selected from the CAS and control groups. Totally 140 subjects were studied in our present study.

Medical and physical risk factors

Demographic variables, histories of previous diseases, cigarette smoking, and alcohol consumption were obtained by well trained investigators by face to face interview in clinics using a standardized questionnaire. Cigarette smoking was defined as the subject had smoked more than 1 cigarette per day for more than half a year. Alcohol consumption was defined as the subject had drunk any kind of alcohol drinks more than once a week regularly for more than half a year. Previous histories of hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, heart disease or stroke were defined if the subject had been diagnosed with the disease in hospital before or had used medications regularly. Waist and hip circumference, weight and height were measured and then Waist Hip Ratio (WHR) was calculated. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m²). Blood pressure was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer in the morning.

Biological risk factors

Fasting venous blood samples for Fasting Blood Glucose (FBG), Total Cholesterol (TC), Triglyceride (TG), Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C), High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (HDL-C), high-sensitivity C-Reaction Protein (hs-CRP), and Uric Acid (UA) were collected in the morning and then analyzed by the enzyme method in autoanalyzing machine. The coefficients of variance of repeated measurements of the chemistry tests were 1.0% to 1.6%.

Ultrasound assessment of CAS

Carotid ultrasound measurements were performed with one B mode ultrasound system, APLIO XU equipped with a 7.5 MHz linear array transducer (TOSHIBA, USA) by 2 experienced vascular sonographers. Subjects were examined in supine position with their necks extended. The three bilateral defined locations including common carotid (20 mm proximal to the bifurcation), carotid bifurcation and Internal Carotid Artery (ICA, 10 mm distal to bifurcation) were measured longitudinally and transversely. The scan and measurements were performed according to internationally recognized guidelines [15]. Intima-Media Thickness (IMT) was measured as the distance between the two parallel echogenic lines on the far wall of artery in longitudinal plane image frozen in the screen by electronic calipers [16]. Plaque was defined as localized thickening of IMT≥1.3 mm which did not uniformly involve the whole wall of carotid artery [17]. Classification of ultrasound plaque appearance was performed independently from the videotape by 1 single operator blinded to all other data. 3 categories were classified as (1) no plaques; (2) echogenic plaques; (3) echoluent plaques. Echoluent plaques are mainly composed by the deposition of cholesterol, necrosis tissue and plaque bleeding, a type of plaque with instability [18]. The carotid stenosis measurements were performed according to internationally recognized guidelines [8]. The Peak Systolic Velocity (PSV) and End Diastolic Velocity (EDV) were measured in the common and internal carotid arteries, and the degree of stenosis was then calculated according to St. Mary's Ratio which is the ratio of the PSV in the internal carotid arteries, a value that increases with degree of stenosis, divided by the EDV in the distal common carotid artery, a value that decreases with increasing resistance in the internal carotid arteries due to stenosis (Table 1) [19]. Table 1, we had assessed interobserver reproducibility of CAS detection by repeated scans on several randomly selected participants. The kappa value for the agreement of CAS was 0.738 (P<0.01), which was acceptable.

Measurements of serum neopterin

Circulating serum neopterin concentrations were measured using a commercially available solid phase Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kit (IBL, Hamburg, Germany) based on the basic principle of a competitive ELISA. An unknown amount of antigen in the sample and a fixed amount of enzyme labelled antigen compete for the antibody-binding sites (rabbit-anti-neopterin). Both antigen-antibody complexes bind to the wells of the microtiter strips coated with a goat-anti-rabbit antibody. Unbound antigen is removed by washing. The intensity of the color developed after the substrate reaction is measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader.
incubation is inversely proportional to the amount of antigen in the sample. Results of samples can be determined directly using the standard curve. In our study, inter-assay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were <5.9% and <8.9% respectively.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of normality of the continuous variables was performed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Results for continuous variables normally distributed were expressed as mean value ± Standard Deviation (SD) and non-normally distributed (neopterin levels) was presented as median value (lower quartile-upper quartile). Dichotomized or categorized variables were described as numbers and proportions. In univariate analysis, continuous variables normally distributed were compared by student’s t test. Continuous variable non-normally distributed was compared by nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Dichotomized or categorized variables were compared by Chi-square tests. The correlation between serum neopterin and hs-CRP levels was assessed using Pearson’s coefficient correlation analysis. In order to adjust the confounding factors which is significant in univariate analysis, binary logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the risk factors for CAS. Odds Ratio (OR) was calculated by logistic model. The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve of the serum neopterin concentrations for predicting CAS and its Area Under Curve (AUC) analysis were performed. All statistic analysis was calculated with SPSS 13.0 software system (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A two-sided P-value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Baseline clinical characteristics and biochemical results

In this research, totally 534 community subjects who had no diseases which corroboratively or potentially affected the function of the immune system including cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease were eligible after screening of exclusion criterion, with an age of 57.1±5.6 years. The overall baseline clinical characteristics and biochemical results are shown in table 2. Carotid ultrasound revealed 183(34.3%) subjects with CAS. In these subjects, most were mild stenosis (<50%), only 7 subjects were moderate stenosis (50-70%) and echolucent plaque group were 4.6 (0.52-5.81) mg/L and 6.0 (0.73-7.04) mg/L respectively (Figure 2). There were significant differences between no plaque group and echodense plaque group, no plaque group and echolucent plaque group (P<0.05).

Univariate comparison between CAS and control group

Variables of risk factors of the participants in different groups are shown in table 3. There were significant differences in age, WHR, BMI, history of hypertension, SBP, HDL-C and neopterin level (P<0.05). Neopterin level in the CAS and control group was 5.4 (3.3-7.3) nmol/L and 4.6 (3.6-5.4) nmol/L respectively (Figure 1). However, hs-CRP level in the CAS and control group was 0.91 (0.52-5.81) mg/L and 1.02 (0.73-7.04) mg/L respectively, and the difference was not significant (Table 3).

Comparison of neopterin levels in different plaque characteristics groups

Neopterin level in the no plaque group, echogenic plaque group and echolucent plaque group were 4.6 (2.8-7.8) nmol/L, 4.7 (2.3-7.6) nmol/L and 6.0 (3.8-8.1) nmol/L respectively (Figure 2). There were significant differences between no plaque group and echogenic plaque group, no plaque group and echolucent plaque group (P<0.05).

Correlation between serum neopterin and hs-CRP levels

Figure 3 shows that there was a no significant correlation between serum neopterin and hs-CRP levels (r=0.121, P=0.152).

### Table 2: Overall characteristics of 534 community participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CAS</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>60.4±6.4</td>
<td>55.7±5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (34.3%)</td>
<td>17 (24.3%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.90±0.06</td>
<td>0.86±0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24±3.6</td>
<td>22±3.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (15.7%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (8.6%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (37.1%)</td>
<td>17 (24.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (15.7%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>24 (34.3%)</td>
<td>29 (41.4%)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>133±15</td>
<td>124±18</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>80±9</td>
<td>78±13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.34±0.91</td>
<td>5.16±0.99</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.70±0.81</td>
<td>1.67±1.40</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.32±0.28</td>
<td>1.45±0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.79±0.84</td>
<td>3.50±0.93</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.3±1.4</td>
<td>5.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (mmol/L)</td>
<td>309±99</td>
<td>284±82</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.52-5.81)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.73-7.04)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neopterin (nmol/L)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.3-7.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.6-5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Comparison between CAS and control group (n=140).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CAS (nmol/L)</th>
<th>Control (nmol/L)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>60.4±6.4</td>
<td>55.7±5.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24 (34.3%)</td>
<td>17 (24.3%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.90±0.06</td>
<td>0.86±0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24±3.6</td>
<td>22±3.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>11 (15.7%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>6 (8.6%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>26 (37.1%)</td>
<td>17 (24.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11 (15.7%)</td>
<td>4 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>24 (34.3%)</td>
<td>29 (41.4%)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>133±15</td>
<td>124±18</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>80±9</td>
<td>78±13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>5.34±0.91</td>
<td>5.16±0.99</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>1.70±0.81</td>
<td>1.67±1.40</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>1.32±0.28</td>
<td>1.45±0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>3.79±0.84</td>
<td>3.50±0.93</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG</td>
<td>5.3±1.4</td>
<td>5.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>309±99</td>
<td>284±82</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP</td>
<td>0.91 (0.52-5.81)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.73-7.04)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neopterin</td>
<td>5.4 (3.3-7.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.6-5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Binary logistic regression analysis for the prediction of CAS

In order to adjust the confounding factors which was significant in univariate analysis, binary logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the risk factors for CAS (Table 4). After multivariate adjusted, neopterin levels remained an independent factor. With per 1.0 nmol/L (approximately 1 IQR) increased, the OR value was 1.84 (95% CI: 1.25-2.71, P<0.05).

ROC curve

Figure 4 shows the ROC curve of serum neopterin concentrations for the diagnosis of CAS. The AUC was 0.665 (P<0.01). The serum neopterin cutoff value of 5.1 nmol/L had a sensitivity of 68.6% and specificity of 61.5% in detecting CAS.

Discussion

In this community-base study with large sample, we measured circulating serum neopterin levels and investigating the cross-sectional relationship between serum neopterin levels and CAS assessed by ultrasound. Community residents without cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease were enrolled. Subjects with the diseases which corroboratively or potentially affect the immune system were excluded. Such results were reliable.

Interestingly, some traditional risk factors such as age, WHR, BMI, history of hypertension, SBP, HDL-C were relevant with CAS in our study, which was in accordance with the results of the tromso study [20], though these risk factors were not statistically significant after multivariate adjustment in multivariate analysis except of age. Neopterin level remains an independent factor of CAS. The risk of CAS increased by 1.84 times with per 1.0 nmol/L (approximately 1 IQR) increased. Our results, in addition to the previous study,
Lesions. However, the stenosis in the ICA is currently the benchmark important biomarker of plaque instability in carotid atherosclerotic circulating levels of neopterin. These findings suggest that neopterin is carotid plaques detected by carotid ultrasound was related to increased implied an association with the severity of carotid atherosclerosis. several studies had a proved a significant correlation between pro-inflammatory cytokines released by Th1 cells and macrophages are major pro-atherogenic molecules. Inflammatory cytokines involved in vascular inflammation stimulate the generation of endothelial adhesion molecules, proteases, and other mediators, which may enter the circulation in soluble form. The concept of the involvement of inflammation in atherosclerosis has validated the adoption of inflammatory biomarkers for cerebrovascular risk stratification and prediction.

There have been many studies showing that neopterin may play an important role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and represent also a marker for cardiovascular risk and cerebrovascular disease. Patients with acute ischemic stroke had significantly higher serum levels of neopterin than controls without ischemic stroke. The level was even higher at 1-year follow-up than at the acute stage, indicating chronic inflammatory activity and continuous macrophage activation in ischemic cerebrovascular diseases. In recent years, several studies had a proved a significant correlation between neopterin levels and carotid IMT in different populations, which implied an association with the severity of carotid atherosclerosis. In accordance to the previous studies, the presence of complex carotid plaques detected by carotid ultrasound was related to increased circulating levels of neopterin. These findings suggest that neopterin is an important biomarker of plaque instability in carotid atherosclerotic lesions. However, the stenosis in the ICA is currently the benchmark criterion to determine how such disease is to be managed. Research into plaque characteristics and associated inflammation may modify future selection criteria, but, currently, the degree of stenosis remains the cornerstone of management. In this study, we demonstrated that neopterin is associated with CAS and remain an independent risk factor when other confounding factors were adjusted. hs-CRP is a clinically valuable marker both from atherogenic and infectious point of view. However, in our study, there was neither significant difference in hs-CRP level between the CAS and control groups nor significant correlation between serum neopterin and hs-CRP levels.

This study still provided useful information reinforcing the key roles of inflammatory response in the pathogenesis of CAS and suggested a potential clinical use of neopterin as a serological biochemical marker which served as an alternate approach combining imaging studies in identifying an inflammatory CAS state in subclinical carotid atherosclerosis. Further clinical investigations confirming the direct association between serum neopterin levels and future risk of cerebrovascular events in a manner of cohort study, as well as discussing whether neopterin can be conductive for the decision making of individualized treatment programs such as anti-inflammatory therapy, or can be a parameter in monitoring the substantial benefit during therapeutic follow-up, are warranted.

However, there are still some drawbacks in this study addressed further below. First, the authors should further categorize the subjects based on the severity of CAS. But in our study, the participants were community subclinical subjects, mostly had mild stenosis, only 7 subjects were moderate stenosis. For this reason, it is unrealistic to further categorize the patients based on the severity of CAS. Even though a flaw it was, our study revealed that vascular inflammatory reaction has been prominent even when the carotid artery is in the primary stage with mild stenosis. Moreover, the authors did not study all of the subjects. However, random sampling was used in the study, so the sample is representative.

Conclusion

Our findings demonstrate that circulating neopterin level is increased in subclinical carotid atherosclerosis population with CAS and reinforce the key roles of inflammatory response in the pathogenesis.
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