Development and Nutritional Assessment of Complementary Foods from Fermented Cereals and Soybean
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to produce complementary foods from sorghum, maize, sorghum/soybean and maize/soybean blends and evaluate its nutritional quality, antinutritional factors and sensory characteristics. Sorghum variety (Gobyle), Maize variety (Melkassa 4) and Soybean variety (ETV) were collected from the Ethiopian Seeds Enterprise (ESE). The flour was blended in a ratio of 70:30 to prepare various gruels on protein basis. This method was used to achieve the control blend of 70% cereals flour and 30% soybean flour. The chemical composition, functional properties, sensory attributes and nutritional qualities of the food samples were determined using standard methods. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to establish any significant difference in the analytical data for formulated and control diets. Results showed that the moisture content ranged between 4.1g/100g-7.06g/100g; the total ash content 0.75g/100g-2.6g/100g; crude protein 7.34g/100g-16.73g/100g; crude fat content 3.45g/100g-13.3g/100g; crude fibre 1.58g/100g-4.4g/100g; total carbohydrate 66.39g/100g-85.56g/100g and energy value 402.5kcal/100g-442.46kcal/100g. Results showed that fermentation significantly (p≤0.05) decreased antinutrient (tannin and phytate) and mineral content of the formulation diets. Results indicated that fermentation significantly (p≤0.05) decreased bulk density, dispersibility and increased oil absorption capacity. The pH of the fermented flour was decreased with a concomitant increase in the titratable acidity. The calculated molar ratios of formulation diets for phytate: calcium and phytate: (Ca)/(Zn) were less than the critical values. For sensory attribute, the fermented sorghum/soybean blend diet was rated better in terms of overall acceptability when compared with other diets. It could be concluded that fermented sorghum/soybean blend diet had a better nutritional quality based on the overall ranking using protein, energy and sensory attributes indices.
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Introduction

Legumes and cereals are the main source of nutrients for traditional complementary foods in developing countries [1]. The major cereals such as sorghum, rice, wheat and maize constitute about 85% of total global cereals production amounting to about 200 million tones of harvest annually at average of 10% protein content, out of which a sizeable proportion goes into human consumption [2].

Legumes such as soybean cultivated in Africa and elsewhere are one of the richest and cheapest sources of plant protein that can be good substitute for animal products. Unlike other beans, soy offers enough protein and that can be used to improve the diets of millions of people especially poor and low income society in developing countries because of nutritional quality, attractiveness and functional properties [3]. Cereals and legumes are also rich in micronutrients; however, the availability of those nutrients is usually low due to the presence of antinutritional factors such as phytic acid and tannins. Fermentation and soaking are simple traditional processing treatments that decrease the level of antinutrients in cereals and legumes and increase the nutrients content of diet by increasing the content of minerals, vitamins, amino acids and others [4].

Malnutrition in children is a major nutritional problem in African developing countries which leads to morbidity and mortality, retardation in physical growth and mental development, working capacity and increased risk of adult disease [5]. This nutrition problem is due to the low nutritional value of traditional complementary foods, inappropriate complementary feeding practices and high cost of quality protein-based complementary foods. Globally, more than one billion people are undernourished and in Africa there are more than 70 million undernourished children due to poverty and shortage of food [6]. This vulnerable population survives mainly on starchy staple cereal food such as maize, wheat, rice, sorghum and millet with few or no meat and dairy products [7].

Traditional complementary foods are mostly cereal based and these plant-based complementary foods are not sufficient for growth and development of infant and children. For instance, examinations have shown that only cereal based complementary food often fail to meet the nutritional intake of infant due to poor nutritive quality [8]; hence, they have been implicated in the aetiology of protein-energy malnutrition in the community where they are solely used as the complementary food.

The growth of infants and young children in their first two years of life is very rapid. Breast feeding only will not be sufficient for the infant’s nutritional requirement. After about six months of age, the infant needs complementary foods particularly food of adequate nutrient density, consistency, and appropriate texture, and they need to be fed more often than adults [9]. In view of the nutritional problem that is associated with traditional complementary foods, the present study, therefore, aims at formulating complementary foods from both cereals and soybean. Formulation of complementary foods with a
variety of cheapest legumes such as soybean has received considerable attention from nutritionists and food scientists in African countries [10].

It is evident that when cereals and legumes are judiciously selected and combined, a desirable pattern of essential amino acids of high biological value is obtained [11].

Cereals are deficient in essential amino acids like lysine and tryptophan [12]. While, legumes are deficient in sulphur containing amino acids, that is methionine and cystine, but rich in tryptophan and lysine. Improvement of the nutritional quality of traditional complementary foods with soybean is convenient, cheap and highly effective to promote quality of traditional complementary foods. Adding even small quantities of soybean can very much increase protein content and quality of traditional complementary foods [11]. The aim of this study was to develop and evaluate nutritional quality of complementary foods from the combination of cereals and soybeans. These food materials were purposely selected because of their availability locally and also to complement one another to obtain a balanced amino acid profile.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Sources of materials: Sorghum variety (Gobyie), Maize variety (Melkassa 4) and Soybean variety (ETV) were purchased from Assela Seeds Enterprise (ASE), Ethiopia.

Methods

Sorghum and maize processing: Seed grains were sorted and cleaned manually to remove broken seeds, dust and other extraneous materials. Whole sorghum and maize grains were soaked in water (1:3) at room temperature for 48 and 24 hrs respectively. After soaking, the grains were dried in an oven for 12 hrs and milled into fine flour with a hammer mill and sieved with 0.425 mesh size screen to obtain the flour [13,14].

Fermentation: Natural fermentation was carried out by mixing selected samples with distilled water (1:3 w/v). Three hundred grams of selected samples flour was mixed with nine hundred ml of distilled water in a cleaned plastic bucket and allowed to ferment at room temperature for 72 hrs. The slurries were transferred into aluminum foil, then dried using freeze dryer. Fermented and dried samples products were further milled to fine flour using hammer mill and packed in polyethylene bag and stored at ambient temperature for subsequent analysis [13].

Soybean processing: Soybeans were sorted for stones, rot and other physical defects. The beans were then washed and soaked in distilled water 1:5 w/v for 15 hrs according to method proposed by Yimer [15]. The soaked beans were then placed in a sieve and allowed to drain. They were then blanched for about 20 min and solar dried. The hulls were removed manually, then the beans were washed repeatedly using distilled water. The dehulled beans were then dried using tray dryer. Roasted soybeans were milled with hammer mill into flour and sieved through 0.425 mesh size screen.

Food formulation: The material balance methods was used to achieve the control blend formulation of 70% cereals to 30% soybean flour to blend with reference to protein requirement of infants 18g/day, 59% carbohydrate [16] and minimum required energy value of 380kcal/100g in dry matters as indicated in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Formulation Name</th>
<th>Mixing ratio (% w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet 1</td>
<td>Unfermented sorghum alone (control)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 2</td>
<td>Fermented sorghum alone</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 3</td>
<td>Unfermented sorghum/soybean</td>
<td>70:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 4</td>
<td>Fermented sorghum/soybean</td>
<td>70:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 5</td>
<td>Unfermented maize alone (control)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 6</td>
<td>Fermented maize alone</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 7</td>
<td>Unfermented maize/soybean</td>
<td>70:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 8</td>
<td>Fermented maize/soybean</td>
<td>70:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Mixing formulation.

Chemical analysis: Moisture content of the sample was determined by the hot air oven method [17]. Total ash was determined by igniting the samples in a muffle furnace, at 550°C, for 5 hrs [15]. The Micro-Kjeldahl method was used to determine crude protein content [15]. Crude fat was determined by diethyl ether extraction using the Soxhlet Extraction Chamber [18]. Crude fibre content was estimated by acid/alkali digestion method proposed by Yimer [15]. Total carbohydrates content was calculated by difference [8]. That means, Carbohydrate (g/100g) = 100 - (Protein (g) + Fat (g) + Ash (g) + Fibre (g))

Energy value was determined by calculation from fat, carbohydrate and protein contents using Atwater’s conversion factors [19]. Micronutrients such as calcium, iron and zinc were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy after digestion with hydrochloric acid. Digestion is usually done using sulphuric acid, nitric acid, perchloric acid or combinations as these are strong oxidising agents [17].

Antinutritional factors: Tannin contents were determined by the modified vanillin–HCl methods [20]. Phytate content was determined by following the Latta et al., method [21], modified by Vaintraub et al., method [22].

Phytate mineral molar ratio calculation: Phytate mineral molar ratio was determined according to the Bains K et al., method [23].

Physicochemical and functional properties: pH and TTA: The pH of the fermenting dough was monitored for different period of time by using a glass electrode pH meter [16]. Total titratable acidity was determined by mixing 10g of each sample with 100ml of distilled water and titrating 10ml aliquots with a standard alkali solution of 0.10N NaOH to 3 drops phenolphthalein endpoint until a constant light pink color was obtained. The percentage titratable acidity was calculated [24].

% Lactic Acid = V × 0.009008 × 100/W
Where: V = Volume of 0.1N NaOH used for sample titration; 0.009008 = Factor equivalent in which 1ml of 0.1N NaOH = 0.009008g C₄H₆O₄; W = Weight in gram of sample in the mixture.

Bulk density: A 5g flour sample was put into 100ml measuring cylinder. The cylinder was tapped until there was no further change in volume. The weight of the measuring cylinder and its contents was taken and recorded. Bulk density was calculated as weight per unit volume of the sample [25].

Dispersibility: 10g flour samples were weighed into a 100ml measuring cylinder and distilled water was added up to 100ml volume. The sample was vigorously stirred and allowed to settle for 3 hrs. The volume of settled particles was recorded and subtracted from 100 to give a difference that is taken as percentage dispersibility [26].
Water absorption capacity: The WAC which gives an indication of the amount of water available for gelatinization was determined according to methods of Beuchat et al., [27]. 1g of each sample was measured and mixed with 10ml of distilled water and vortexed for 1 min and then centrifuged at 3000rpm for 45min. The volume of the supernatant was recorded in a 10ml graduated cylinder and used for determinations of water absorption; WAC was expressed as the weight of water bound by gram dry flour.

\[
\text{WAC} = \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{W_s} 
\]

Where, \(W_s\) is the weight of the sample, \(W_1\) is the weight of centrifuge tube plus sample and \(W_2\) is the weight of centrifuge tube plus the sediments.

Oil Absorption Capacity: OAC was determined according to the method of Chau et al., [28]. 1g of each sample flour was measured and mixed with 10ml of oil. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. After sample was centrifuged at 2500rpm for 30min, the supernatant was transferred to a graduated cylinder of 10ml, where volume was measured. OHCA was expressed as the weight of oil bound by 1g dry flour.

\[
\text{OHCA} = \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{W_s} 
\]

Where, \(W_s\) is the weight of the sample, \(W_1\) is the weight of centrifuge tube plus sample and \(W_2\) is the weight of centrifuge tube plus the sediments.

Preparation of gruels: Gruels were prepared from both controls and formulated food samples by mixing 20g of each sample dissolved in 400ml tap water and boiled at 92°C for 15min in the experimental kitchen of Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI). The boiled gruels were allowed to cool to about 45°C.

Sensory evaluation: A nine-point hedonic scale [29] was adopted. Nine represented the highest score and 1 is the least in testing the degree of liking the gruel sample based on color, aroma, taste, texture and over all acceptability. Ten semi-trained mother panelists were randomly selected from staff of the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI). During orientation, the panelists were familiarized with method, scorecard and the product being used in the study. The panel members were seated individually in isolated booth which provided a quiet and comfortable environment. The panelists were provided with bottled water to rinse their mouth before and after evaluating each sample. Finally the data were collected and analyzed statistically.

Statistical analysis: Each determination was carried out in triplicate and results were reported as an average value (mean ± standard deviation). Data was analyzed by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) model using SPSS Version 20. Fisher’s Least Significance Difference (LSD) was used for multiple mean comparison tests. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results and Discussion

The macronutrient composition of the fermented and unfermented control and formulated diets are present in table 2. The moisture content for both control and formulated food samples were varied. The range was from 4.1g/100g to 7.06g/100g. The diet 6 had the highest moisture content (7.06g/100g) while the diet 3 had the least (4.1g/100g) moisture content. Low moisture content of food samples is desirable for extending the shelf life of food products while high moisture contents in food samples encourage the growth of microorganisms; hence it leads food spoilage [30]. Amankwah et al., reported that moisture content is used as a quality factor for prepared cereals which should have 3-8g/100g moisture content, therefore the maximum moisture content obtained in diet 6 is 7.06g/100g [14]. The relative increase in the moisture content in food samples may be attributed to a variation in the treatment during the drying process of the diets [31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Moisture Content</th>
<th>Crude Ash</th>
<th>Crude Protein</th>
<th>Crude Fat</th>
<th>Crude Fibre</th>
<th>Carbohydrate Content</th>
<th>Energy Value (kcal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet 1</td>
<td>4.60±0.00a</td>
<td>1.27±0.28b</td>
<td>9.00±0.49c</td>
<td>3.45±0.11b</td>
<td>2.38±0.08a</td>
<td>83.95d</td>
<td>402.52±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 2</td>
<td>4.80±0.28c</td>
<td>0.75±0.18a</td>
<td>11.83±0.19</td>
<td>6.53±0.12b</td>
<td>1.58±0.02b</td>
<td>79.28b</td>
<td>422.96±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 3</td>
<td>4.10±0.11c</td>
<td>2.12±0.23</td>
<td>13.30±0.66</td>
<td>3.88±0.34a</td>
<td>66.39b</td>
<td>442.46b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 4</td>
<td>4.6±0.00a</td>
<td>1.28±0.42</td>
<td>16.73±0.21</td>
<td>0.78±0.79c</td>
<td>4.4±0.63a</td>
<td>67.80b</td>
<td>426.02±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 5</td>
<td>6.39±0.11c</td>
<td>0.8±0.26</td>
<td>7.34±0.00d</td>
<td>4.35±0.79c</td>
<td>1.96±0.05a</td>
<td>85.56c</td>
<td>410.43±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 6</td>
<td>7.06±0.11c</td>
<td>1.4±0.00</td>
<td>10.61±0.48</td>
<td>4.70±0.35c</td>
<td>1.80±0.10c</td>
<td>81.69d</td>
<td>411.71±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 7</td>
<td>5.40±0.00c</td>
<td>2.6±0.26</td>
<td>12.34±0.34</td>
<td>11.50±0.64</td>
<td>4.11±0.01c</td>
<td>69.45b</td>
<td>430.57±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 8</td>
<td>5.6±0.28a</td>
<td>1.2±0.01</td>
<td>14.57±0.23</td>
<td>9.31±0.60a</td>
<td>4.12±0.13a</td>
<td>71.20c</td>
<td>425.13±0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Nutrient composition (g/100g Dry weight matter) of fermented sorghum, maize, maize/soybean blend and sorghum/soybean blend flours.

The values given in the table are the mean of triplicate ± SD. Mean with the same letter in a column are not significantly different (p<0.05). Sample code is same as mentioned in table 1.

The range of total ash content of the food samples are 0.75g/100g to 2.6g/100g. The diet 2 and 5 had the least total ash content (0.75 and 0.8g/100g respectively) when compared with the formulated food samples taken by diet 3 and diet 7 (2.12 and 2.6g/100g respectively). Fermentation will significantly (p<0.05) decrease the total ash content in diet 1, 3 and 7 because probably ash was not leached properly during fermentation [32]. According to Munasinghe et al., [33] the ash content of weaning food should not exceed 5g/100g. However, in this experimental study, all values are acceptable. The protein content for both control and formulated food samples are varied i.e., from 7.34g/100g to 16.73g/100g. Diet 5 had the least (7.34g/100g) protein content while diet 4 had the highest protein content (16.73g/100g) which is higher than the value reported by Akaani et al., [34]. The protein content in all the food samples are significantly increased after 72 hrs of fermentation (p<0.05). Proteins are important both in quantity and quality for rapid growth and development of a child. The protein content increases during the fermentation, due to the fact that the proteolytic activities of enzymes produced by microorganisms which increases the bioavailability of amino acids [16]. The poor protein levels of traditional complementary foods have been a major concern in infant feeding [35]. Use of the formulation could serve as a practical means of upgrading the protein levels of the traditional sorghum and maize based complementary foods.

The fat content of the food samples ranged from 3.45g/100g to 13.3g/100g. The diet 1 and 5 had the least fat content (3.45 and 0.8g/100g respectively) when compared with the formulated food samples. The total fat content of the food samples are varied i.e., from 0.8g/100g to 2.6g/100g. The formulation could serve as a practical means of upgrading the protein levels of the traditional sorghum and maize based complementary foods.
4.35g/100g respectively) when compared with the formulated food samples taken by diet 3 and 7 (13.3 and 11.5g/100g respectively). To a great extent, fats contribute to energy value of food as well as provide essential fatty acid for optimal neurological, immunological and functional developments in infants and children [36]. Fat content of diet 4 with (9.78g/100g) and diet 8 with (9.31±0.6g/100g) correspondence to that recommended by Protein Advisory group and the fat composition for complementary foods should not be more than 10% [33]. The reduction of fat content of formulated food samples after fermentation may be attributed to the activities of micro-organisms on these nutrients in utilizing them to synthesize protein for their growth [37]. Complementary foods with low fibre content are very important since it helps in the safety of children, considering the appetite they have to consume more to get satisfied to meet their daily energy requirement [38]. The fiber content of the food samples ranges from 1.58g/100g to 4.41g/100g. The formulated food samples had the highest fibre content compared to control food samples. Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased the crude fiber content in diet 1 and increased the crude fiber content in diet 3 [34]. The reduction of crude fibre content in diet might be due to enzymatic degradation of the fibrous material during fermentation [39]. The low crude fibre content is nutritionally appreciated because it traps less protein and carbohydrates [40]. The crude fiber content of infant foods is expected to be low [41], as food with high fibre content tends to cause indigestion in infants. Hence, samples with low fibre content were rated good as potential complementary foods.

The diet 1 and diet 5 had the highest carbohydrate content (83.95 and 85.56g/100g respectively) while diet 3 and 7 had the least carbohydrate content (66.39 and 69.45g/100g respectively). Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased total carbohydrate content in diet 1 and diet 5 which is in agreement with the values reported by Mihiret [31] and Bekele [11] respectively. The decrease in carbohydrates content in diet might be due to enzymatic degradation of the fibrous material during fermentation [39]. The low crude fibre content is nutritionally appreciated because it traps less protein and carbohydrates [40]. The crude fiber content of infant foods is expected to be low [41], as food with high fibre content tends to cause indigestion in infants. Hence, samples with low fibre content were rated good as potential complementary foods.

The energy value of food samples ranged from 402.52kcal/100g to 421.4kcal/100g. The formulated food samples had the highest energy value in diet 1 and increased the energy value in diet 3 which is in agreement with the values reported by Mihiret [31] and Bekele [11] respectively. The decrease in energy value is energy value in diet 3 while the low value obtained from diet 6 with value 1.96g/100g. The energy value of food samples ranged from 402.52 to 421.4kcal/100g respectively). Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased the energy value in diet 1 [31] and decreased the amount of energy value in diet 3 which is in comparable with the values obtained by Akanbi et al., [34]. Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) increased the energy value in diet 5 while decreased in diet 7. However, the values are same with values reported by Bekele [11] and as reported by Onilude et al., [43], they are comparable with the values of unfermented blend. It is believed that frequent consumption of the diet along with breast-milk, would satisfy the daily energy requirements of infants. Nutritionally, protein contents and energy value of formulated food samples fulfill the specification guidelines for the young child complementary food formulations.

The mineral composition of control and formulated food sample presented in table 3 shows that calcium had the highest mineral content in diet 3 (231.67mg/100g) followed by diet 7 (217.52mg/100g) while the low value obtained from diet 6 (5.64mg/100g). High iron content is observed in diet 3 (5.15mg/100g) and low value obtained from diet 5, 6 and 8 with values 2.57, 2.50 and 2.52mg/100g respectively. On the other hand the diet 6 had the least zinc value (1.21mg/100g) while diet 3 and 7 had the highest zinc value (3.67 and 3.44mg/100g respectively). However fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased the calcium, iron and zinc value in formulated food samples. In comparison, the mineral contents of formulated food samples are high when compared with traditional complementary food samples (control samples). This observation indicates that formulated food samples would serve as a good source of minerals particularly calcium which is essential for bone and teeth formation and development in infant and children. This formulated food samples were also suitable as complementary food for both infants and children. Reduction of calcium, iron and zinc contents in food samples may be due to utilizing some of hydrolyzed elements by microorganisms for their metabolic activities and also through decantation of water during the drying process in fermentation [44].

The values given in the table are the mean of triplicate ± SD. Mean with the same letter in a column are not significantly different (p<0.05). Sample code is same as mentioned in table 1.

Antinutrient composition of control and formulated food samples are presented in table 4. High tannin content is observed in formulated food samples compare to control food samples. This enhancement of tannin content food samples are in accordance with the observation made by Ochieng’anyango [45], he reported that as the cowpea protein was increased the tannin content of the food samples. However, 72 hrs fermentation decreased the tannin content of all food samples. Low tannin content was obtained from diet 6 with value 1.96mg/100g. These observations are in agreement with that reported by Fagbemi et al., [46] and he stated that reduction in tannin contents was due to fermentation effect that has been caused by the activity of tannase of fermenting microflora on tannins.

The values given in the table are the mean of triplicate ± SD. Mean with the same letter in a column are not significantly different (p<0.05). Sample code is same as mentioned in table 1.
Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased the phytate content of all food samples except for diet 5. The high phytate content was obtained in diet 1 with value 362.43mg/100g while the least value (173.40mg/100g) was recorded in formulated food samples (diet 8) at 72 hrs fermentation time. The results of this study are in agreement with those reported by Cosgrove et al., [47] and he stated that the reduction of phytic acid content in food samples may be due to hydrolysis of phytate content by activity of enzyme phytase into lower inositol phosphates which are activated during fermentation process.

Molar ratios of phytate and zinc, iron and calcium of food samples to predict theirs bioavailability which are shown in table 5. The phytate: Calcium molar ratio was the highest in diet 6 (2.72) followed by diet 5 (1.57) and diet 1 (1.21) respectively. Phytate: Calcium molar ratios >0.24, indicative of poor calcium available and were found in diet 1, diet 2, diet 5 and diet 6 with values 1.21, 0.63, 1.57 and 2.72 respectively, whereas high calcium availability was observed in diet 3 (0.07), diet 4 (0.06), diet 7 (0.06) and diet 8 (0.09) [48]. The phytate: Iron molar ratios >0.34, indicative of poor bioavailability of iron in all food samples [49]. This could be due to high phytate level in these food samples.

Changes in pH of fermented diets are shown in figure 1. Results indicated that fermentation was found to cause gradual reduction in pH value with increase in fermentation period. The highest pH value (4.13) was obtained in diet 8 (fermented maize/soybean blend) whereas diet 6 (fermented maize) gave the least pH value (3.81) at 72 hrs of fermentation.

Changes in Total Titratable Acidity (TTA) of fermented diets are shown in figure 2. The Total Titratable Acidity (TTA) of all diets are increased with fermentation period. Diet 8 had the least titratable acidity (0.32) as shown in figure 2, while diet 4 had the highest titratable acidity (0.46) after 72 hrs of fermentation time. According to Akinrele [55], the metabolic activities of microorganism during fermentation reduce the pH and increase the titratable acidity. The increase in acidity is great significance, as it was reported to reduce the incidence of diarrhea in infants consuming fermented maize porridge [56].

The functional properties of control and formulated food samples are presented in table 6. In the present study the results showed that the bulk density of food samples ranged between 0.58±0.0g/ml and 0.9±0.0g/ml for diet 4 and 5 respectively. The decrease in bulk density of the fermented diet would be an advantage in the preparation of infant and children foods. Fermentation has been reported as a useful and traditional method for the preparation of low bulk complementarity foods [57]. Nutritionally, low bulk density promotes easy digestibility of food products, particularly among children with immature digestive system [58]. The dispersibility of food samples ranged between 68.5±0.7% and 80.5±0.7% for diet 8 and 2 respectively and high value was obtained from diet 2 and low value was recorded in diet 8. The water absorption of food samples ranged between 1.93±0.06g/g and 2.51±0.05g/g for diet 2 and 8 respectively. Low water absorption capacity would be desired in order to decrease the microorganism of food that causes spoilage of diets [59]. Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) increased the oil absorption capacity of all food samples, high value was obtained from diet 4 while low value was obtained from diet 7 [11]. Oil absorption capacity is important as oil acts as

---

### Table 5: Relationship between phytate and bioavailability of selected minerals (calcium, iron and zinc) (molar ratio).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Phytate: Calcium</th>
<th>Phytate: Iron</th>
<th>Phytate: Zinc</th>
<th>(Ca)(Phytate): Zinc (mol/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet 1</td>
<td>1.21±0.12a</td>
<td>7.62±0.18a</td>
<td>20.71±0.23a</td>
<td>0.09±0.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 2</td>
<td>0.63±0.05b</td>
<td>4.11±1.45a</td>
<td>10.94±1.43a</td>
<td>0.05±0.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 3</td>
<td>0.07±0.00c</td>
<td>4.57±0.49a</td>
<td>7.50±0.03a</td>
<td>0.43±0.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 4</td>
<td>0.06±0.00</td>
<td>3.83±0.00</td>
<td>7.41±0.06</td>
<td>0.34±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 5</td>
<td>1.57±0.01a</td>
<td>8.68±1.79a</td>
<td>14.92±5.18a</td>
<td>0.03±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 6</td>
<td>7.27±0.08a</td>
<td>8.63±0.22a</td>
<td>20.78±1.25a</td>
<td>0.02±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 7</td>
<td>0.06±0.00</td>
<td>4.34±0.41a</td>
<td>6.34±0.11a</td>
<td>0.34±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 8</td>
<td>0.09±0.00</td>
<td>5.93±0.74a</td>
<td>10.74±0.78a</td>
<td>0.31±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Critical values*: >0.24, >1.0, >15, >0.5

---

*Sources: Phytate: Calcium >0.24 [48], Phytate: Iron >1 [49], Phytate: Zinc >15 [50,51] and Ca × Phytate: Zinc >0.5 [52,53]*.
flavor retainer and gives soft texture to food improving the mouth-feel [60, 61]. Since the diets from current study had good oil absorption capacity, it suggests the presence of good lipophilic constituents and therefore may be suitable for production of foods [61].

The sensory score associated with the gruels made from formulated food samples and control food samples are shown in table 7. Results showed that the color of the gruels made from control food samples (diet 1 and 5) was high while the gruels from formulated food samples was like slightly light and moderate for diet 4 and 8. The control food samples were significantly rated higher in terms of color when compared with the formulated food samples. This observation is in agreement with Mihiret and he who reported that the length of fermentation period decreases the perceived characteristic of color of the products [31].

Table 6: Functional properties of fermented sorghum, maize, maize/soybean blend and maize/soybean blend flours.
The values given in the table are the mean of triplicate ± SD. Mean with the same letter in a column are not significantly different (p<0.05). Sample code is same as mentioned in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Bulk Density (g/ml)</th>
<th>Dispersibility (%)</th>
<th>Water Absorption (g/g)</th>
<th>Oil Absorption (g/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet 1</td>
<td>0.86±0.04</td>
<td>76.0±0.00</td>
<td>2.44±0.01</td>
<td>3.67±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 2</td>
<td>0.76±0.00</td>
<td>80.5±0.70</td>
<td>1.93±0.06</td>
<td>3.95±0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 3</td>
<td>0.78±0.02</td>
<td>73.5±2.12</td>
<td>2.48±0.02</td>
<td>4.18±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 4</td>
<td>0.58±0.00</td>
<td>70.0±0.00</td>
<td>2.49±0.04</td>
<td>4.69±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 5</td>
<td>0.90±0.00</td>
<td>75.7±0.35</td>
<td>2.15±0.03</td>
<td>3.66±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 6</td>
<td>0.70±0.00</td>
<td>73.0±0.00</td>
<td>2.35±0.04</td>
<td>4.12±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 7</td>
<td>0.78±0.02</td>
<td>71.5±0.70</td>
<td>2.47±0.12</td>
<td>3.54±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 8</td>
<td>0.72±0.02</td>
<td>68.5±0.70</td>
<td>2.51±0.05</td>
<td>3.78±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Sensory characteristics of gruels from formulated and control food samples.
The values given in the table are the mean of triplicate ± SD. Mean with the same letter in a column are not significantly different (p<0.05). Sample code is same as mentioned in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Code</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Aroma</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Overall Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet 1</td>
<td>8.00±1.22</td>
<td>7.20±2.90</td>
<td>5.80±1.40</td>
<td>6.20±0.83</td>
<td>6.80±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 2</td>
<td>6.80±0.83</td>
<td>6.00±1.00</td>
<td>5.20±1.30</td>
<td>6.80±0.44</td>
<td>6.20±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 3</td>
<td>7.00±1.22</td>
<td>6.60±2.77</td>
<td>7.11±1.64</td>
<td>7.60±0.54</td>
<td>7.00±1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 4</td>
<td>6.20±1.48</td>
<td>6.01±2.04</td>
<td>7.00±1.74</td>
<td>8.40±0.64</td>
<td>7.10±1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 5</td>
<td>8.80±0.44</td>
<td>8.20±0.83</td>
<td>5.40±1.78</td>
<td>5.80±1.11</td>
<td>6.87±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 6</td>
<td>7.80±0.43</td>
<td>5.40±0.89</td>
<td>5.21±1.77</td>
<td>6.60±1.89</td>
<td>6.23±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 7</td>
<td>7.80±1.09</td>
<td>7.60±0.81</td>
<td>6.20±0.83</td>
<td>6.40±1.81</td>
<td>7.00±0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet 8</td>
<td>7.20±1.14</td>
<td>6.70±1.09</td>
<td>6.12±1.48</td>
<td>7.20±0.83</td>
<td>6.20±0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) decreased the taste score of both formulated and control food samples, the gruels made from control food samples were like moderate for diet 1 and high for diet 5 while the gruels made from formulated food samples were like slightly light for diet 4 and 8. Regarding the aroma, the gruels made from control food samples were neither liked nor disliked by diet 1, 2, 5 and 6 while diet 3 and 4 moderately liked the aroma which were made from formulated food samples. Fermentation significantly (p<0.05) influenced the texture of the gruels made from both control and formulated food samples. There was a significant (p<0.05) difference between the texture score of the formulated and control food samples. The texture of the gruels from composite food samples were moderate for diet 3 and high for diet 4 while the control was slight for diet 1 and neither like nor dislike for diet 5. Supplementation of soybean significantly (p<0.05) increased the texture score of formulated food sample compared with the control food samples. These results are similar with those observed by Omueti et al., [62]. Relative to overall acceptability, the gruels made from food samples were like slightly low for diet 2, 6 and 8 while the gruels made from formulated food samples were like moderate for diet 3, 4 and 7.

A ranking system using three nutritional criteria, i.e., protein content, energy value and sensory attributes, was devised to determine the optimal blend combination according to the method modified by Ijarotimi [8] (Table 8). Based on the relative importance and interrelationship of those criteria, ranking was reported on an equal weight basis. The weighting of the above criteria is important to produce identical conclusive results. The four blends which ranked from 1 to 4 were objectively determines the choice of complementary foods. The complementary food which yields lowest score was considered as the most suitable nutritional characteristics. As per table 8 fermented sorghum/soybean blends had lowest rank score followed by unfermented sorghum/soybean, unfermented maize/soybean and fermented maize/soybean blend diet. Therefore, fermented sorghum/soybean blend diet was concluded to possess the most desirable nutritional profile among formulated food samples.

Table 8: Ranking of fermented and unfermented formulated food samples to determine optimal nutritional profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
<th>Energy (kcal)</th>
<th>Sensory Attributes</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfermented sorghum/soybean</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented sorghum/soybean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfermented maize/soybean</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermented maize/soybean</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

In conclusion, this research shows that nutritious, acceptable and affordable complementary foods can be formulated using our locally available food items that can be better than traditional complementary foods. In the present work, it was concluded that enriching sorghum and maize flours with soybean flour increased the protein, energy, fat, ash, fibre and minerals contents of sorghum and maize-based complementary foods. In this study, it also observed that the natural fermentation process significantly improves nutritional value of formulated foods by reducing antinutrients. Fermented sorghum/soybean blend flour was concluded to possess the most desirable nutritional profile among the formulated foods followed by sorghum/soybean, maize/soybean and fermented maize/soybean blends respectively.
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