



## Original Article

### General Teaching Hospital “Enrique Cabrera”. Teaching Department of Surgery

**Pedro López Rodríguez\***, **Pablo G Pol Herrera**, **Jorge Satorre Rocha**, **Olga León González**, **Luis Manuel Danta Fundora**, **Eduardo García Castillo** and **Lais Angélica Ceruto Ortiz**

*Hospital General Docente “Enrique Cabrera”, University of Medical Sciences of Havana, Havana, Cuba*

#### Abstract

**Summary:** Various techniques have been developed for the repair of femoral hernia. The technique with the Lichtenstein Plug since 1989 has allowed to obtain a lower rate of complications and recurrences, as well as an early recovery of the patients usual activities. Its application, widely spread in elective surgery, can also be performed in emergency surgery. The aim of this work is to review the experience of our basic group of work in the surgical treatment of femoral hernia using this technique.

**Methods:** A retrospective descriptive observational study was conducted in our basic work group from the surgery service of the General Teaching Hospital “Enrique Cabrera” between 2009 and 2018, to which this surgical technique was applied. We study the anatomical variants of hernias as well as post-operative complications and clinical evolution.

**Results:** The mean age of the patients was 58.7 years (19-92 years), being the female with the highest incidence 78%, as well as, the most frequent location the right, 67.5%. The prosthesis used in the hernioplasty was that of polypropylene. Local anesthesia was applied to 29 patients (63%) of them. The average surgical time was 25 minutes, (15-65 minutes). Ambulation was early and the average hospital stay was less than 24 hours, in most patients. Only one infection of the wound and one hernia recurrence in one patient was confirmed.

**Conclusion:** Therefore, we believe that the Lichtenstein Plug technique should be considered among the techniques of choice in the treatment of femoral hernia.

\*Corresponding author: Pedro López Rodríguez, Hospital General Docente “Enrique Cabrera”, University of Medical Sciences of Havana, Cuba, Tel: +53 76413062; E-mail: lopezp@infomed.sld.cu; pedro.rolando.lopez42@gmail.com

**Citation:** Rodríguez PL, Herrera PGP, Rocha JS, González OL, Fundora LMD, et al. (2019) General Teaching Hospital “Enrique Cabrera”. Teaching Department of Surgery. J Med Stud Res 2: 009.

**Received:** April 28, 2019; **Accepted:** May 14, 2019; **Published:** May 21, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Rodríguez PL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Keywords:** Femoral hernia; Lichtenstein Plug technique; Polypropylene mesh

#### Introduction

Many technical procedure has been developed to repair femoral hernia. Since 1989 the Lichtenstein Plug technique has diminished the post operative complication and recurrence. The advantages present, in term of pain and post operative discomfort, recovery of physical and labor activity are very good. This technique can be indicated in complicated hernia [1,2]. The aim of this article is to describe the surgical technique and to analyze the preliminary results of our series of 46 patients.

#### Methods

We performed a descriptive and observational study with a retrospective character in our surgical group at “Dr. Enrique Cabrera” Teaching and General Hospital, between the years 2009-2018 to the patients who under went surgical repair of femoral hernia through the Lichtenstein Plug technique and their post operative behavior. The following variable were analyzed: age, type of hernia, tolerance to local anesthesia, surgical technique, operating time, post operative pain, wound sepsis and recurrence of hernia; return to activity. All these items were collected in Microsoft Excel base and later were processed in the SPSS statistics program.

#### Results

Table 1 shows the most relevant results of this series. We can see that the largest number of patients was women, 36 in total, 78% and there were only 10 men, 22%; which is in accordance with what has been reported with other authors. The most frequent location was the right one in 31 patients, 67.5% and the average age of the patients was 58, 7 years, with a range between 19 and 92 years [3]. 47 surgical interventions were performed in 46 patients, since there was a relapse, 2.2%. It was a patient who had undergone surgery for a recurrent, incarcerated femoral hernia and who had a wound infection in the postoperative period [4]. The most used anesthesia was the local one, which was applied to 29 patients. 63%, followed by the regional one in 12 patients, 26.2% of the cases, table 2. It is also observed in this table that the average duration of surgical interventions was 25 minutes and the hospital stay was 8 hours, like other authors [5-7].

	Number of Patients	Percentage %	ChI
Men	10	22, 0	0.08±0.01
Women	16	78, 0	0.08±0.01
Right	31	67, 5	0.19±0.01
Left	15	32, 5	0.17±0.01
Recidiva	1	2, 2	
Primary	45	97, 8	
Middle Ages	58,7 Years	( Range 19-92 )	

**Table 1:** Sex, Location, Recurrence, Varity, Middle Ages.

Type of Anesthesia	Number of Patients	Percentage %
Local	29	63,0
Regional	12	26,2
General	5	10,8
Average Duration	25 Minutes	(Range 15-65)
Hospital Media Stay	8 Hours	(Range 6-48)

**Table 2:** Type of Anesthesia, Average Duration, Hospital media stay.

The only recurrences observed in our series were in a patient who was operated on because of a relapsed, incarcerated hernia. In the surgical act a wide femoral orifice was observed that was occluded with a cylinder of polypropylene mesh like all the other patients. In the postoperative period he presented wound infection and recurrence at four months. In the reoperation, it was found that the cylindrical prosthesis was of insufficient size to occlude the femoral orifice. This patient underwent a pre-peritoneal repair with a wide patch of polypropylene mesh.

## Discussion

The great advantage of this technique is the absence of tension, and for this the mesh must completely occlude the hernia lorifice. Therefore the prosthesis will be adapted to the size of the hole and not the reverse, avoiding the partial closure of the hole when it is large, since this would give rise to tension zones with the consequent risks of recurrence. In the primary femoral hernia figure 1, the hernial orifice is small figure 2 and can be satisfactorily occluded with the polypropylenecy lindrical prosthesis figure 3. The low rate of complications and its simple and rapid execution Means that we consider it as a technique of choice in cases of primary femoral hernia. In recurrent femoral hernia, the ring is generally larger, and in cases of urgent surgery due to a stuck or strangulated femoral hernia, it is often necessary to expand the hernia ring to adequately manage the affected bowel. In no case should try to reduce the size of the hole by suture, even large, because of the danger of recurrence. In these cases, it may be useful to replace the Lichtenstein cylindrical prosthesis with a cone-shaped mesh as it has been used by other authors.

The prosthetic material used in the cases has been a monofilament polypropylene mesh, as it is considered the most appropriate, since it is strong, resistant to infection and the cases of intolerance are practically non-existent since the yellow a rapid interstitial fibroblastic proliferation that fixes it intimately to the tissues, which fixes it intimately to the tissues, according to reports Mansilla Molina D et al., [8]. In our series, we did not have any deaths and the highest morbidity occurred in the group of older patients. For this reason, to get her with the high probability of strangulation of the femoral hernia, [9] we believe that all patients diagnosed with femoral hernia, regardless of age and surgical risk, should undergo a programmed procedure after adequate preparation, thus avoiding situations adverse events that increase morbidity and mortality, according to what was expressed by Porrero JL in 1993 and Chamary V.L. also in 1993 [10-12]. Local anesthesia was the most used in our series, 63%, due to the great benefits they bring to the patients with high surgical risk, however, at present the most frequently used is the regional one [13,14]. Finally, we can affirm that the series we present is not very extensive, but it is supported by good results, both in the immediate post-operative period and in there incorporation of the patients to his habitual activity, as

well as, in the absence of recurrences or complications delayed, when applying the Lichtenstein Plug technique in the repair of the femoral hernia. We can conclude affirming like other authors [14-16]. Which are equally significant, the convenience of repair when performed under local anesthesia, which is ideal if it is scheduled surgery, since the reduction of tissues trauma and post-operative discomfort and a lower incidence of sepsis and tissues tension, reduce potential recurrence and favor early Ambulation [17-19].



**Figure 1:** Patients with right femoral hernia.



**Figure 2:** Hernia sac dissected through the dilated femoral orifice.



**Figure 3:** Occlusion of the femoral ring with a polypropylene cylindrical prosthesis.

## Conclusion

For all of the above, we believe that the Lichtenstein Plug technique should be of choice in the surgical treatment of femoral hernia.

## References

1. Lichtenstein IL, Shore JM (1974) Simplified repair of femoral and recurrent inguinal hernias by a "plug" technic. *Am J Surg* 128: 439-444.
2. Nicholson S, Keane TE, Devlin HB (1990) Femoral hernia: an avoidable source of surgical mortality. *Br J Surg* 77: 307-308.
3. Bendavid R (1989) New techniques in hernia repair. *World J Surg* 13: 522-531.
4. Lichtenstein IL (1987) Herniorrhaphy. A personal experience with 6,321 cases. *Am J Surg* 153: 553-559.
5. Gilbert AI (1992) Sutureless repair of inguinal hernia. *Am J Surg* 163: 331-334.
6. Robbins AW, Rutkow IM (1998) Mesh plug repair and groin hernia surgery. *Surg Clin North Am* 78: 1007-1023.
7. Lichtenstein IL, Shulman AG, Amid PK (1990) Use of mesh to prevent recurrence of hernias. *Postgrad Med* 87: 155-158.
8. Mansilla Molina D, Perez Folques JE, Civera Muñoz J, Vazquez Ruiz J, Polo Perez MI, et al. (1999) Hernioplasty without tension in crural hernias. *Cir Esp* 65: 176-178.
9. Cobaleda FSB, Muñoz-Najar AG, Trujillo BM, Borajo MC, Matas FA, et al. (2000) Recurrent inguinal hernia: treatment using a preperitoneal approach and a wide polypropylene mesh prosthesis. *Cir Esp* 67: 354-357.
10. Porrero JL, Ten M, Martín D, Lomar M (1993) Experience with the LUGtenstein PLUG technique in the treatment of Crural Hernia. *Cir Esp* 53: 97-99.
11. Chamary VL (1993) Femoral hernia: intestinal obstruction is an unrecognized source of morbidity and mortality. *Br J Sur* 80: 230-232.
12. Porrero JL, Sánchez-Cabezudo C, Bonachía O, López-Buenadicha A, Sanjuánbenito A, et al. (2005) [Inguinofemoral hernia: multicenter study of surgical techniques]. *Cir Esp* 78: 45-49.
13. Rafael RF, Fernandez EIM, Rabassa PPC, Curbelo ONM, Weinman ESE (2003) Uso de Bioprótesis en las hernias inguinocrurales complicadas. *Rev Cubana Cir* 42: 1-7.
14. de Juan A, Mena A, Die J, Rodríguez G, Sanjuanbenito A, et al. (2003) Is the Lichtenstein Plug technique suitable for the treatment of complicated crural hernia? *Spanish Surgery* 74: 104-107.
15. Acevedo A, Reyes E, Herrera JC (2005) Femoral hernia: study of the posterior wall of the Inguinal Canal. *Reuchi Cir* 57: 495-499.
16. Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL, et al. (2007) *Sabiston text Book of Surgery*. 18<sup>th</sup> ed. Saunders Elsevier, USA.
17. López Rodríguez PR, León González OC, Satorre Rocha J, Pol Herrera P, García Castillo E (2012) Femoral Hernia. Ten years of experience in using the Lichtenstein Plug Technique. *Rev Cuban Cir* 51: 211-216.
18. Townsend CM, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL (2017) *Sabiston Textbook of Surgery*. 20<sup>th</sup> ed. Elsevier Saunders, USA.
19. Lockhart K, Dunn D, Teo S, Ng Jy, Dhillon M, et al (2018) Mesh versus non-mesh for inguinal and femoral hernia repair. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 9: CD 011517.



Journal of Anesthesia & Clinical Care  
Journal of Addiction & Addictive Disorders  
Advances in Microbiology Research  
Advances in Industrial Biotechnology  
Journal of Agronomy & Agricultural Science  
Journal of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs  
Journal of Alcoholism, Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence  
Journal of Allergy Disorders & Therapy  
Journal of Alternative, Complementary & Integrative Medicine  
Journal of Alzheimer's & Neurodegenerative Diseases  
Journal of Angiology & Vascular Surgery  
Journal of Animal Research & Veterinary Science  
Archives of Zoological Studies  
Archives of Urology  
Journal of Atmospheric & Earth-Sciences  
Journal of Aquaculture & Fisheries  
Journal of Biotech Research & Biochemistry  
Journal of Brain & Neuroscience Research  
Journal of Cancer Biology & Treatment  
Journal of Cardiology: Study & Research  
Journal of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism  
Journal of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy  
Journal of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy  
Journal of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports  
Journal of Community Medicine & Public Health Care  
Current Trends: Medical & Biological Engineering  
Journal of Cytology & Tissue Biology  
Journal of Dentistry: Oral Health & Cosmesis  
Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders  
Journal of Dairy Research & Technology  
Journal of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care  
Journal of Environmental Science: Current Research  
Journal of Food Science & Nutrition  
Journal of Forensic, Legal & Investigative Sciences  
Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research  
Journal of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine  
Journal of Genetics & Genomic Sciences  
Journal of Hematology, Blood Transfusion & Disorders  
Journal of Human Endocrinology  
Journal of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care  
Journal of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare  
Journal of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases  
Journal of Light & Laser: Current Trends  
Journal of Modern Chemical Sciences  
Journal of Medicine: Study & Research  
Journal of Nanotechnology: Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology  
Journal of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics  
Journal of Nephrology & Renal Therapy  
Journal of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation  
Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Radiology & Radiation Therapy  
Journal of Obesity & Weight Loss  
Journal of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy  
Journal of Otolaryngology, Head & Neck Surgery  
Journal of Protein Research & Bioinformatics  
Journal of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research  
Journal of Pharmacology, Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance  
Journal of Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation & Disabilities  
Journal of Plant Science: Current Research  
Journal of Psychiatry, Depression & Anxiety  
Journal of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research  
Journal of Practical & Professional Nursing  
Journal of Reproductive Medicine, Gynaecology & Obstetrics  
Journal of Stem Cells Research, Development & Therapy  
Journal of Surgery: Current Trends & Innovations  
Journal of Toxicology: Current Research  
Journal of Translational Science and Research  
Trends in Anatomy & Physiology  
Journal of Vaccines Research & Vaccination  
Journal of Virology & Antivirals  
Archives of Surgery and Surgical Education  
Sports Medicine and Injury Care Journal  
International Journal of Case Reports and Therapeutic Studies

Submit Your Manuscript: <http://www.heraldopenaccess.us/Online-Submission.php>