Focus on: In the Treatment of AAA is there Yet a Space for Open Surgery?
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Abstract
The Endo Vascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) has revolutionized the treatment of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) with low rate morbidity and mortality. A strong growth of EVAR with a progressive reduction of Open Repair (OR) is expected for the coming years. Despite this, there are important reasons in favour of open surgery: Patients unfit for EVAR with complex aortic anatomy, increasing risk of cancer related to computed tomography studies for endovascular graft surveillance, secondary interventions post EVAR, critically ill patients with ruptured - AAA not transferable to specialist vascular centres. In several cases AAA will still need open surgery and a new generation of vascular surgeons prepared for complex aortic anatomy will be required as well.

In the last decades, the evolution of Endo Vascular Aneurysm Repair has radically revolutionized the approach in vascular surgery of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm with a reduced perioperative rate of mortality and adverse events [1]. Italian Vascular Society Registry - AAA shows over the time a progressive reduction of Open Repair from 1930 patients in 2008 to 887 in 2014 with a short term mortality of 1.1% for EVAR vs 6.2% for OR [2]. The availability of various devices with low risks (fenestrated devices, branched devices, parallel stent-grafts CHIPS: Chimney - Periscope-Sandwich, Off the shelf devices) and new investigational endovascular Aneurysm Sealing Systems (Neck angulation up to 90°, Inflatable Proximal Rings and Inflatable Endobag-Nelly System) have expanded EVAR indications. The forecast growth of EVAR for the coming years shows an increase in Italy from 62% in 2013 to 72% in 2023 [3]. Facing these results is there yet a space for open surgery (Figure 1)?

There are several situations in which Open Repair is still needed:
Not suitable anatomy for EVAR: Aneurysm extension over renal arteries, absence of proximal aortic neck, presence of aortic thrombus in the proximity of aortic neck, extreme angles of abdominal aorta.
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Younger patients without high risk for OR: A continued surveillance after EVAR to detect aneurysm growth, due to endoleak, device migration, or structural failure is recommended [6]. Computed Tomography (CT) studies are routinely used for graft surveillance in EVAR patients, but up to 2% of all cancers in US may be attributable to their radiations [7]. In the EVAR patients the risk of cancer is higher in CT surveillance vs alternative modalities and a higher risk is present in younger people, with highest in those aged 50 to 55 years and lowest in patients aged >/= 80 years [8].
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The gold standard of treatment for an infected aortic aneurysm is still surgical debridement of infected tissue, followed by in situ revascularization or extra-anatomic grafting and long-term antibiotic therapy. Endovascular repair should be an alternative for high risk patients or used as a bridge while they await an open procedure [9].

Connective tissue disease: The American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines say that stent grafts are contraindicated in patients with Marfan Syndrome and related connective tissue disorders. Additionally, although stent grafting in these patients is feasible, post intervention surveillance confirms that the aorta continues to expand [10].

EVAR failure: Though the incidence is gradually declining, secondary interventions persist as the Achilles heel of EVAR. The rate of early conversion is about 1.5% (range 0.8%-5.9%) with an average mortality of 12.4%, the rate of late conversion is about 1.9% (range 0.4%-22%) with a mortality rate of 10%. A recent Cochrane review shows that EVAR mortality in short-term is significantly lower than OR, while in long-term there is no more difference [11]. A recent meta-analysis confirms the result in long term and argues that the mortality is related to AAA [12]. These data support the importance of both appropriate surveillance strategy and expert vascular surgeons in highly complex open interventions [13].

Unstable ruptured AAA (rAAA): Since the introduction of EVAR, there has been a significant decrease in the annual number of deaths related to treated rAAA from 44.3% in the period 1993-1998 to 39.9% in 2001-2005 [14]. A stratified comparison has demonstrated that in preoperative low and medium-risk rAAA patients, EVAR has a lower mortality and morbidity compared with OR, while in high-risk patients there was no difference [15]. OR remains, therefore, a viable option for high-risk patients and it becomes necessary for those patients afferent to a peripheral center without EVAR as our Hospital in Lavagna (Italy), organized on a HUB-SPOKE network for cardiovascular emergencies. The time necessary to transfers may erase the survival advantage guaranteed by HUB and therefore give rise the Hamletic emergencies. The time necessary to transfers may erase the survival advantage guaranteed by HUB and therefore give rise the Hamletic emergencies. The time necessary to transfers may erase the survival advantage guaranteed by HUB and therefore give rise the Hamletic emergencies.

Although EVAR has revolutionized the AAA treatment, OR should not be left behind. Among all others (unfavourable anatomy, associated pathology, long-term results, treatment in emergency in the peripheral centers, etc) one of the reasons is also the need for OR after EVAR. For the increased number of EVAR procedures performed, is expected an increased as well for complex OR and therefore an appropriate education of young generation of vascular surgeons will be required.
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