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Abstract

Giant Cell Tumor of the bone (GCTb) is a relatively benign but locally aggressive tumor, capable of producing debilitating lytic bone lesions with a rare likelihood of producing lung metastases. The proximal femur is an uncommon location for its occurrence, with more than 50% of these epi-metaphyseal lesions occurring around the knee joint. The reported incidence of GCTb in the proximal femur is only reported to be around 3-4%. However, selecting the appropriate treatment is challenging due to the proximity with the hip joint, and the risk of damaging the insertion of several essential hip muscles. Joint-salvaging surgery primarily involves extended curettage, with or without adjuvant therapy, bone graft, or cementing. Conversely, there is still considerable high recurrence rate even after extended curettage with cementing (0-29%). As such, hip replacement surgery is a suitable treatment for patients with pathologic fracture and for those with an extensive lesion of the proximal femur. We report our experience of a young patient with a pathologic femoral neck fracture, secondary to GCTb of the proximal femur. A calcar replacing stem was utilized since the tumor and surgical resection involved the lesser trochanter.
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Level of Evidence: Level IV, Case report

Case Report

A 35 year old male truck driver had a 4 month history of intermittent, constricting pain of the right hip and groin area with a maximum VAS score of 6/10. The pain was present even at rest and was not exacerbated by physical activity nor position. He complained of walking with a limp, and difficulty in ambulation without support. The patient did not recall any history of fall nor previous trauma to the right hip. There were no other associated symptoms such as fever, weight loss, or presence of mass on the right hip. The patient sought initial consult with a general practitioner, and diagnostic work-up including plain radiographs of the hip were done. The results of the blood examination which included complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, and alkaline phosphatase did not imply infection or malignancy. The plain radiographs of the hip showed a well-delineated osteolytic lesion in the proximal femur, from the femoral neck extending down to the area of the lesser trochanter. There was no cortical break noted on the plain X-ray films. The patient was prescribed analgesics for pain, advised non-weight bearing, and was given a referral to an orthopedic tumor specialist. However, the patient did not comply with the advised referral and follow up. He resumed his regular physical activities and was full weight bearing against medical advice.

Two weeks prior to surgery, the patient's pain increased in intensity up to a VAS score of 9/10. He did not recall any incident of fall or trauma. His limp worsened and was unable to put weight on his right lower extremity. He was eventually brought to our institution's out-patient clinic. After initial assessment from the clinic's triage, the patient was referred to our institution's orthopedic and musculoskeletal tumor service. Physical examination done both by the orthopedic-musculoskeletal tumor specialist and orthopedic resident, indicated leg length shortening of 0.5 cm on the right lower extremity, right hip tenderness upon palpation, and a positive heel pound test. No skin lesions, palpable mass, nor lymphadenopathy were noted. The modified Musculoskeletal Tumor Society rating functional (MSTS) score was 4/30. Repeat plain radiographs of the hip revealed a pathologic fracture of the right femoral neck at the area of the lesion (Figure 1). The proximal femoral lesion was characterized as epi-metaphyseal, osteolytic with well demarcated borders, with endosteal expansion, cortical break, and has soft tissue extension anteriorly; but without any matrix. The lesion extended from the femoral neck up to the base of the lesser trochanter. The patient was admitted for further work-up and his right lower extremity was placed in skin traction. Magnetic resonance imaging of the hip confirmed a well delineated homogenous 8x7 cm lesion from the greater trochanter up to the level of the base of the lesser trochanter. The patient was eventually brought to our institution's in-patient clinic. After initial consult with a general practitioner, and diagnostic work-up including plain radiographs of the hip, CT scan of the chest, magnetic resonance imaging of the hip, the biopsy, and bone examination which included complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, and alkaline phosphatase did not imply infection or malignancy. The plain radiographs of the hip showed a well-delineated osteolytic lesion in the proximal femur, from the femoral neck extending down to the area of the lesser trochanter. There was no cortical break noted on the plain X-ray films. The patient was prescribed analgesics for pain, advised non-weight bearing, and was given a referral to an orthopedic tumor specialist. However, the patient did not comply with the advised referral and follow up. He resumed his regular physical activities and was full weight bearing against medical advice.

Two weeks prior to surgery, the patient's pain increased in intensity up to a VAS score of 9/10. He did not recall any incident of fall or trauma. His limp worsened and was unable to put weight on his right lower extremity. He was eventually brought to our institution's out-patient clinic. After initial assessment from the clinic's triage, the patient was referred to our institution's orthopedic and musculoskeletal tumor service. Physical examination done both by the orthopedic-musculoskeletal tumor specialist and orthopedic resident, indicated leg length shortening of 0.5 cm on the right lower extremity, right hip tenderness upon palpation, and a positive heel pound test. No skin lesions, palpable mass, nor lymphadenopathy were noted. The modified Musculoskeletal Tumor Society rating functional (MSTS) score was 4/30. Repeat plain radiographs of the hip revealed a pathologic fracture of the right femoral neck at the area of the lesion (Figure 1). The proximal femoral lesion was characterized as epi-metaphyseal, osteolytic with well demarcated borders, with endosteal expansion, cortical break, and has soft tissue extension anteriorly; but without any matrix. The lesion extended from the femoral neck up to the base of the lesser trochanter. The patient was admitted for further work-up and his right lower extremity was placed in skin traction. Magnetic resonance imaging of the hip confirmed a well delineated homogenous 8x7 cm lesion from the greater trochanter up to the level of the base of the lesser trochanter. The patient was eventually brought to our institution's in-patient clinic. After initial consult with a general practitioner, and diagnostic work-up including plain radiographs of the hip, CT scan of the chest, magnetic resonance imaging of the hip, the biopsy, and bone examination which included complete blood count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, and alkaline phosphatase did not imply infection or malignancy. The plain radiographs of the hip showed a well-delineated osteolytic lesion in the proximal femur, from the femoral neck extending down to the area of the lesser trochanter. There was no cortical break noted on the plain X-ray films. The patient was prescribed analgesics for pain, advised non-weight bearing, and was given a referral to an orthopedic tumor specialist. However, the patient did not comply with the advised referral and follow up. He resumed his regular physical activities and was full weight bearing against medical advice.
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calcar-replacing femoral stem was selected due to the involvement and possible excision of the calcar femorale and lesser trochanter.

**Surgical Technique**

The patient was placed on lateral decubitus position under general anaesthesia. A curvilinear longitudinal skin incision was made starting proximal to the greater trochanter and following a parallel course to the femoral shaft. After retraction of the subcutaneous tissue, careful dissection was carried out to perform a wide resection of the proximal femur lesion up to 1.5 cm distal to the base of the lesser trochanter including the insertion of iliopsoas, vastus lateralis, glutaeus medius, short external rotators, and proximal portion of tensor fascia lata. The proximal femur lesion was separated distally from the shaft with the use of an oscillating saw, while the femoral head was dislocated from the acetabulum (Figure 3). An intralesional tissue sample was sent for frozen section to confirm diagnosis, while surrounding soft tissue samples were sent to check adequacy of resection margins. An uncemented press-fit grit-blasted titanium acetabular cup, coated with hydroxyapatite (Figure 4), was inserted along with titanium cancellous screws (United Orthopedic Corporation, Taiwan). This was mounted with an Ultra High Molecular Weight (UHMW) polyethylene acetabular liner fitted with a BIOLOX™ (United Orthopedic Corporation, Taiwan) ceramic head. For the femoral stem, a 160 mm long cobalt chrome GTF calcar-replacing femoral stem (United Orthopedic Corporation, Taiwan) was inserted with a 2nd generation cementing technique. Excess cement was moulded around the junction between the femoral shaft and the calcar component of the implant (Figure 5). The glutaeus medius and iliopsoas were attached to the hole on the proximal part of the femoral component using Ethibond Excel™ sutures. The vastus lateralis was sutured to the tensor fascia lata. A drain was positioned beneath the tensor fascia lata prior to skin closure. There was a leg lengthening of 0.5 cm of the operated limb on inspection, and an abduction pillow was placed between the patient’s legs post-operatively. Prophylactic deep venous thrombosis management have been routinely administered. The patient was instructed touch-weight bearing for up to two weeks, and progressively improved to full weight bearing in 6 weeks post-op. The final histopathology report noted osteoclastic giant cells sporadically distributed throughout the stromal cells which confirmed the diagnosis of GCTb (Figure 6). The stromal cells were comprised of mononuclear round cells, instead of the spindle-shaped variant which is associated with the risk of malignant transformation [1]. His succeeding follow-up appointments at two weeks, first month, 3rd month, and 6th month post-op were straightforward. His latest follow-up was at one year post-op, where the patient was ambulating full weight-bearing and without use of any assistive device. The patient walked without limp and only had a slight Trendelenberg sign on physical exam (Figure 7). The most recent radiographs showed no implant loosening, peri-prosthetic fracture, nor any evidence of tumor recurrence (Figure 8). A repeat chest radiograph noted no evidence of metastasis. The latest musculoskeletal tumor society rating functional score improved to 28/30 on latest follow-up.

**Discussion**

Aside from the scarcity of its location, selecting the appropriate surgical treatment for giant cell tumor of the proximal femur is still debatable [1-5]. Non-surgical management for consideration may include treatment with radiation therapy, embolization, and intralesional injections (steroid, interferon, calcitonin, and denosumab). Early history regarding the use of radiation for GCTb control was unsatisfactory, with local recurrence at 50-70% and the risk of malignant transformation was 7-25% [1]. Recent technological advances have improved outcomes, with reported oncologic control of 85-90% and risk of malignant transformation decreased to 0-8% [6-8]. Pre-operative trans-arterial embolization have been used in difficult to resect GCTB lesions of the spine and pelvis, where extensive blood loss would have been expected [9]. A handful of case reports in maxillofacial surgery have described the use of intralesional injections with either steroid...
[10], interferon [11], calcitonin [12], bisphosphonates [13], or denosumab [14] for GCTb eradication. However, the results are inconclusive and there is no evidence to support its use for a proximal femur lesion.

Joint salvaging surgery is primarily contemplated especially if the patient is relatively young, and the lesion is small and well contained [15-17]. This classically involves extended curettage, +/- adjuvant, and packing the defect either with bone graft or bone cement. Local recurrence rate after extended curettage however varies in literature, as it is 4.5-78% without cementing and 0-29% after cementing [18-20]. En-bloc resection of the lesion will certainly decrease, if not, eliminate the risk of tumor recurrence [21]. Additionally, it is presumed that there is no role for joint salvaging measures if the patient has a displaced pathologic fracture, or if the tumor is uncontained. In the contrary, Sim and Lang (1997) published a case report about a proximal femur GCTb with a transcervical fracture treated with internal fixation using a dynamic hip screw combined with valgus osteotomy, curettage, and bone grafting [17]. The latest follow-up was at 35 months, and the patient did not show any evidence of metastasis nor implant failure. However, at the latest follow-up there was a noted deformation of the femoral head that was absent prior to surgery. Furthermore, pre-operatively their patient did not have an extensive cortical break or soft-tissue extension unlike in our case. For our case, we opted for tumor resection and reconstruction due to the patient's pathologic fracture, cortical break, soft-tissue extension, better tumor control and the lesser incidence of recurrence. The
options for hip reconstruction after resection, includes excisional arthroplasty, semi-arthroplasty or total hip replacement.

Hip joint reconstruction following excision is valuable for maintaining the stability and normalization of gait. However, there is always the risk of sacrificing vital tendinous insertions of hip abductors, adductors, flexors, and external rotators if the excision involves removing either the greater or the lesser trochanter. Furthermore, there is also the risk of taking out the dense vertically oriented calcars femorales at the postero-medial portion of the proximal femur, which support majority of the hip axial load. As with our patients, we expected to resect distal to the base of the lesser trochanter so we opted for a calcar-replacing long femoral stem. The aim is to have proximal portion of the femoral implant sitting on top of the femoral cortex, while the long stem provides stability and diaphyseal fixation. There are also designed holes on the proximal part of the femoral implant for re-attachment of the hip abductors and the iliopsoas (Figure 4B). Another option for hip reconstruction after excision of the proximal femur, would be an Allograft-prosthesis Composite (APC). Malhotra et al., reported a case series of 18 patients diagnosed with GCT of the proximal femur treated with excision of the proximal femur, and APC using irradiated fresh-frozen allograft. With a mean follow-up of 54 months, all patients had complete graft union and excellent Harris hip scores in 13 patients [22]. We did consider using a proximal femoral allograft composite prior to the operation, however there was none available at our institution at that time. We also decided to do a cemented femoral fixation not only for stability, but also since the use PMMA bone cement seems to reduce wear and loosening. The MSTS score is 28.3.

Comparing to data reported on literature, our patient had significant improvement in his MSTS score. Albeit the short term follow-up, it is important to note that the patients did not have any tumor recurrence or any mechanical signs of implant failure. It would be interesting to note the long term survivalship of this implant and follow-up the functional outcome of the patient in the future.

With joint replacement in literature, there were only two patients who have had tumor recurrence. All studies reported improvement of latest functional outcome scores (Harris hip or MSTS score).

Conclusion

Giant Cell Tumor of the bone (GCTb) of the proximal femur is an uncommon location for the lesion, but it can certainly present as a surgical and treatment challenge. A calcar-replacing total hip replacement is a feasible surgical option for a proximal femur GCTb, especially if it is complicated by extensive cortical erosion and a pathologic fracture of the femoral neck.

Table 1: A review of literature investigating the treatment of proximal femur GCT with excision and hip joint replacement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors/ Year</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Type of resection</th>
<th>Implant used</th>
<th>Mean follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kulkami SS et al. [23]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Charnley type low-friction THA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence; Patient pain-free, full-weight bearing, but with mild Trendelenburg lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakayama K et al. [24]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Two THA (Implant not specified) and two bipolar hemi-arthroplasty</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence. The mean MSTS functional score was 93%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan SA et al. [25]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Customized, bipolar, cemented proximal femoral megaprosthetic</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence; No incidence of aseptic loosening or dislocation. The mean MSTS score is 28.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakano S et al. [26]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Cemented custom-made prosthesis</td>
<td>384 (32 years)</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence, and with signs of bone formation at muscle re-attachment sites to the prosthesis. Mean Harris hip score of 100.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhatt S et al. [27]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Custom made megaprosthesis</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence nor any metastasis; no implant loosening. The MSTS score is 26.7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malhotra R et al. [22]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Uncemented Acetabular cup; ceramic-on-ceramic bearings; proximal femoral allograft-prosthesis composite</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>No tumor recurrence; Harris hip scores: 13 excellent and 5 good outcomes. All allografts united.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisbek AE et al. [28]</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Wide excision</td>
<td>Total hip replacement (8 pxs), hemi-arthroplasty (1 px), endoprosthetic joint replacement (5 pxs)</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>Mean MSTS scores for THR was 25.7 and endoprosthesis was 24.7. Complications: Two patients treated with THR had tumor recurrence; 1 THR and 1 endoprosthesis had dislocation; 1 hemi-arthroplasty was revised to a THR and 1 THR had an acetabular cup revision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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