Radiation-Induced Intrapelvic Osteosarcoma Treated with Radical Pelvic Surgery: Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract

Osteosarcoma is a rare but serious sequel of radiotherapy. Surgery used to be the main treatment option in those cases in which in-bloc radical resection was possible. Surgical management of these tumors must take into consideration the possibility of complete resection and surgery impacts the patient’s quality of life. Reconstruc-tive surgery after tumor removal is still controversial but technically feasible when the tumor is located in the pelvis bone. We present the case of radiation-induced intrapelvic osteosarcoma centered on the right acetabulum with radiological criteria of aggressiveness affecting the hip joint and extending to adjacent soft tissues of the right hemipelvis with suspected internal stop infiltration and isquiococ-cigeo muscle. Surgery in-bloc resection of the mass performing a total internal hemipelvectomy involving the ilium and the whole of the acetabulum, ischium, pubis and the head of femoral bone were done. Reconstructive pelvic surgery was done with allograft bone inserted in the remainder of the ilium with plates and screws. Multidisciplinary approach to treat pelvic bone sarcoma consist on general surgeon, orthopedic surgeon, plastic surgeon, radiologist and oncologist could be safe and result in good functional results and overall survival.
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Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a rare but serious sequel of radiotherapy [1]. Radiation-induced osteosarcomas represent about 0.1% of all cancer cases. The latency period can last up to 10 to 14.3 years after treatment [2,3]. The mean time interval between the administration of radiotherapy and the onset of osteosarcoma was 10 to 14.3 years. Epidemiological data concerning irradiation-induced sarcoma risk showed an average relative risk of 1.42 per 1Gy of dose of gamma irradiation [4].

Surgery used to be the main treatment option in those cases in which in-bloc radical resection was possible. Surgical management of these tumors must take into consideration the possibility of complete resection and surgery’s impact the patient’s quality of life. Reconstructive surgery after tumor removal is still controversial but technically feasible when the tumor is located in the pelvis bone.

We present a case of radiation-induced osteosarcoma of the pelvic bone after radiotherapy for prostate cancer. The diagnosis, treatment and reconstructive surgery approach of irradiation induced intrapelvic bone sarcoma are discussed.

Case Description

A 65-year-old man was treated in September 2001 with prostatectomy for prostate adenocarcinoma T3aN0M0, ten years later he received radiotherapy treatment due to disease relapse. In June 2014, four years after the completion of treatment with radiotherapy, the patient is referred to our center with a history of lower back pain which radiates to the back of the right leg over the previous four months. X-ray study of the pelvis bone and lumbosacral spine showed degenerative changes in right hip with subchondral sclerosis and marginal osteophytes in the acetabulum. Magnetic resonance revealed a mass centered on the right acetabulum with radiological criteria of aggressiveness affecting the hip joint and extending to adjacent soft tissues of the right hemipelvis with suspected internal stop infiltration and isquiococ-cigeo muscle (Figure 1).

Computer tomography ruled out any distant metastases. Computed tomography guided needle core biopsy was performed showing the presence of spindle cell proliferation of indeterminate type, suggestive of intermediate-grade spindle cell sarcoma. The tumor cells were characterized by a proliferation of strain mesenchimall growing strands forming solid nests and identifying up to 22 mitotic figures in 10 high power fields. The tumors cells were positive for vimentine, CD 138 and p53 expression. The proliferation cellular index Ki 67 was forty per cent.

A multidisciplinary team consisting of general, orthopedic and plastic surgeons, radiologists and oncologists evaluated the complex...
ase in a committee of tumors. After a joint decision, the patient underwent a selective embolization of the right hypogastric artery and, surgery afterwards.

The patient was positioned in semi lateral position (45º) with a slight elevation of the ipsilateral hip. Utilitarian incision was performed, its ilioinguinal component was advanced medially to the pubic symphysis then, the posterior line is brought to the level of the sacroiliac joint. The abdominal wall musculature, sartorius, and tensor fasciae latae were transected from the iliac crest and reflected away from the ilium. Exposure and mobilization of the femoral vessels, nerve and bladder was performed. Surgery in- bloc resection of the mass performing a total internal hemipelvectomy involving the ilium and the whole of the acetabulum, ischium, pubis and the head of femoral bone were done.

Reconstructive pelvic surgery was done with allograft bone inserted in the remainder of the ilium with plates and screws (Matta Pelvic System. Stryker®). Hip replacement was completed with an acetabular ring (Burch-Schneider reinforcement cage. Zimmer) and proximal femoral prosthesis (MPTM femoral stem. LINK®) A polipropilene mesh served as a reconstructive soft tissue repair (Figure 2).

The postoperative period was uneventful. Total hospital stay was of 38 days. The patient was discharged 35 days after surgery without any complications. At the six months follow-up the patient showed no local recurrence, and the surgical wound had evolved positively. The patient achieved a good functional outcome and recovered a worthy quality of life.

Discussion

In-bloc radical resection could be the main treatment for aggressive pelvic bone sarcoma. Hemipelvectomy may be indicated in the treatment of select tumors of the pelvis and lower extremity. External hemipelvectomy, which involves pelvic resection and amputation of the lower extremity was traditionally been performed in this case. Internal hemipelvectomy represents and alternative approach with reasonable long-term functional outcomes and survival rates [5].

It could involve an extensive bony and soft tissue defects carrying and important reduction of quality of life and functional outcomes.

Bone reconstruction is indicated when a complete resection of the ilium is performed that originate a pelvic ring instability. Reconstructive techniques such as iliofemoral arthrodesis, ischiofemoral arthrodesis, allograft or custom-made prosthesis have been published. Reconstruction of bone defect with allograft and a total hip replacement is a surgical treatment with better functional results that other surgical options.

We proposes a multidisciplinary approach that includes orthopedic surgeons and general surgeon to perform hemipelvectomies that includes vascular control, exposure and excision of tumor that is include in the pelvic ring, to reduce complications rates and increase the safety of the surgery improving the patients selection, the elective treatment and the technically approach.

Conclusion

Multidisciplinary approach to treat pelvic bone sarcoma consist on general surgeon, orthopedic surgeon, plastic surgeon, radiologist and oncologist could be safe and result in good functional results and overall survival.
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