Case Report: Robotic Multi-Recurrence Inguinoscrotal Hernia IPOM Repair
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Abstract

Background: This is a case report of a four-time recurrent inguinoscrotal hernia on the left side, that was finally successfully operated using robotic daVinci da Xi (Intuitive) intraperitoneal Onlay-Mesh-Plastic (rIPOM) hernia repair technique. To date, robotic IPOM operation procedures are less common in Europe. Therefore, we present our experience with this method for the treatment of a multiple recurrent inguinoscrotal hernia.

Case Presentation: This is a single-institute presentation and report of a challenging case. A 77-year-old man with a large four times recurrent inguinoscrotal hernia was treated using a minimally invasive robotic IPOM technique. The previous hernias repairs were performed during a period of 21 years between 1996 and 2015. In 1996, the initial hernia on the left side was an open transversalis plastic (Barwell). After two years, in 1998 the first recurrence occurred and was treated with open mesh plastic (Lichtenstein). Six years later, in 2004 the second recurrence occurred and was repaired with open preperitoneal mesh plastics (Rivers-Stoppa). Eleven years later, in 2015 the third hernia recurrence with incarceration of the colon was diagnosed. The hybrid operation mediad laparotomy for colon revision without resection and laparoscopic mesh palstic (TAPP) were performed. The current forth recurrence of the inguinoscrotal hernia appeared after four years in 2019. Its diagnosis was based on the patient’s history and physical examination. The rIPOM repair was performed and almost four years of follow-up showed that the patient was both recurrence and complications free.

Conclusion: The IPOM technique takes less time because intraoperative preparation of the preperitoneal space is not required and because it allows mesh coverage of the entire myopenetral orifice. The robotic approaches, as compared to laparoscopic approaches, provide the opportunity for better three-dimensional visualisation, elimination of surgeon tremors and precise dissection. We suggest the robotic IPOM technique in cases of multi-recurrence inguinoscrotal hernia if other open or minimally invasive hernia surgeries have failed.
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Background

This is the first report of a complicated four-time left site recurrent inguinoscrotal hernia that was successfully operated with a modern technique such as the robotic intraperitoneal Onlay-Mesh Plastic (rIPOM) inguinial hernia repair. Despite the increasing use of the mesh, there is a small reducing effect on the rate of recurrent hernia repairs [1]. The robotic approaches, as compared to laparoscopic approaches, provide the opportunity for better three-dimensional visualisation, elimination of surgeon tremors and precise dissection [2,3]. We recommend the robotic IPOM technique in cases of multi-recurrence inguinoscrotal hernia if other open or minimally invasive hernia surgeries have failed.

Case Presentation

A 77-year-old man with a BMI of 23 kg/m² presented in our hospital for the first time in 2019 with a symptomatic herniation in his left scrotum. Previously, he had four different hernia repairs on the left side in other hospitals (Figure 1) [4]. All operations were performed during a period of 21 years between 1996 and 2015. Each time, a different repair technique was applied and a different well experienced surgeon preformed the operation in a single academic hospital. The primary surgery was an open transversalis plastic modo Barwell with out mesh implantation in 1996. After two years, in 1998 the first recurrence occurred and was treated with open mesh Lichtenstein plastic. After six years, in 2004 the second recurrence happend and was treated with open Rivers-Stoppa preperitoneal mesh plastic. Eleven years later, in 2015 the third recurrence with incarceration of the colon was diagnosed. The hybrid operation mediad laparotomy with colon inspection without resection and Laparoscopic Mesh Palstic (TAPP) were performed. The patient had additionally the right inguinoscrotal plastic (Lichtenstein) in 1997.

The current forth recurrence of the inguinoscrotal hernia took place in 2019. Its diagnosis was based on his history and physical examination. The risk factors for actuell recurrence were advanced age of the patient, direct hernia and previous performed inguinal hernia surgeries.
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operations. The diagnosis was clinically evident, and no additional sonography or computer tomography was needed. The elective robot-assisted laparoscopic transabdominal intraperitoneal mesh repair surgery was performed. The surgeon was well experienced. Operation time was 180 minutes. A presurgical single dose of iv Zinacef 1.5g was given. During the operation, intra-abdominal adhesions, mostly located in the left pelvis, were found. After releasing of adhesions (Figure 2), the hernia sac was found and it contained a large part of the colon. The colon was inspected, no resection was needed and its reposition was performed. A large 4 cm diameter defect, direct inguinoscrotal hernia, R3M in European Society of Hernia (ESH) Classification was found (Figure 4). Our defect gap was directly sutured using V-Loc 0 (Medtronic) surgical suture (Figure 5). Bard 3-D Max Light Mesh Extralarge (Bard) at 17.0 x 12.2 cm, was used to cover the defect by attaching a Sorbafix (Bard) to the Lig. Cooperi and the entire myopectineal orifice (Figure 6). Neither we nor previous surgeons removed the old mesh.

Postoperative complications due to a known Benign Prostata Hyperplasie (BPH) was treated with a single urinary catheterisation. There were no further postoperative complications after surgical intervention. Almost a 4-year follow-up after our direct rIPOM hernia repair showed that the patient was free of recurrence and complications.

Discussion

Worldwide more than 20 million inguinal hernia repairs are being performed every year [2]. With approximately 13% are recurrent [2,5,6]. The risks for hernia recurrence are multifactorial and some of them are surgical and non-surgical [5]. Surgical risk factors are for example operation method such as minimally invasive one or suture repair [1,4], mesh fixation strategy or surgeon experience [5]. Advanced age, gender, smoking, connective tissue composition, type of admission, previous operations and additional or direct hernia are non-surgical risk factors and can play also an important role in hernia recurrence [5].

Both laparoscopic and robotic hernia repair are often performed in complex inguinal hernias, such as bilateral, recurrent or femoral hernias [2,5,6]. The robotic approach allows the surgeon to identify patients with mixed wall defects such as inguinal, femoral/obturator and contralateral hernias [1,6,7]. It allows for coverage of the entire myopectineal orifice with the mesh [1]. Robotic surgery has better three-dimensional optics and allows for better movement of wristed instruments and a more stable operation [2,3,8]. It also outperforms laparoscopic surgery in tremor correction and precise dissection [3]. Early clinical outcomes showed no significant differences between the robotic and laparoscopic groups in postoperative pain, quality of life, mobility, esthetic outcomes, wound morbidity, and complications [9]. The disadvantages of a robotic approach are longer operation time and increased expense compared to the laparoscopic procedure [6,10,11]. The costs of robotic platforms and instruments are higher [10,12,13]. However, time is a less important factor in this case because IPOM is less time-consuming.

Figure 1: Summary of performed operations [4], a - skin, b - Scarp’s fascia, c - external oblique muscle, d - internal oblique muscle, e - transversus abdominis muscle, f - fascia transversalis, g - peritoneum, h - spermatic cord, i - os pubis, 1 - primary operation, open transversalis plastic (Barwell) without mesh (1996), 2 - 1st recurrence, open mesh plastic (Lichtenstein) (1998), 3 - 2nd recurrence, open preperitoneal mesh plastic (Rivers-Stoppa) (2004), 4 - 3rd recurrence, hybrid operation with mediase laparotomy without colon resection and laparoscopic mesh plastic (2015), 5 - 4th recurrence, our robotic intraperitoneal mesh plastic (2019).

Figure 2: Removing of all adhesions from hernia sac.

Figure 3: The hernia sac containing a large part of the colon.
Compared to Europe, in the United States, robotic inguinal hernia repair is a very sophisticated minimally invasive technique for hernia surgery. It is very commonly performed and its use has recently increased exponentially [3,6]. In our opinion in Europe, robotic IPOM technique is performed as the last resort when the previous options have failed in cases of multiple recurrent hernias.

Our patient had already been operated on with many different surgical technique. We decided that a robotic IPOM technique would be a beneficial option for him. Our choice of technique has had a significant impact and is a growing popularity of this technique for primary and recurrence inguinal hernia repair in the United States [3,6].

Despite the higher costs, we prefer a robotic IPOM procedure for multi-recurrence inguinal hernia patients, especially when the defect in the abdominal wall is large. The robotic technique provides a better opportunity of recurrence free repair the wall defect because of precise dissection, improved wrist movements as well as much better three-dimensional visualisation [3,8,11]. IPOM technique gave the opportunity to fix posterior abdominal wall defect from an intraabdominal site, which was not tried yet. It also gives the chance to larger

Figure 4: A 4 cm diameter direct inguinal hernia, R3M in ESH Classification in (A), (B) and (C).

Figure 5: Closing an inguinal hernia with a V-Loc 0 (Medtronic) suture.

Figure 6: Bard 3-D Max Light Mesh Extralarge (Bard) implantation.
mesh size implantation. The study from India shows that the ideal mesh size for open inguinal repair is 9.0 x 15.0 cm, which allows to cover all the potential sites of recurrence [14]. IPOM give us the opportunity to implantate the larger size mesh 17.0 x 12.2 cm. All this above aspects had an impact of our decision to go for rIPOM in this recurrence. We also recommend robotic IPOM hernia surgery, especially if recurrence is difficult to repair.

Comparing robotic versus laparoscopic procedures, the robotic procedure takes significantly longer operation time but has less complications than the laparoscopic group [15]. The common complications of any inguinal hernia surgery technique are recurrence, urinary retention or vascular injuries causing ischaemic orchitis or testicular atrophy [1]. Inguinodynia and recurrent hernia are more likely to occur in both laparoscopic and robotic hernia repairs than in the open approach [15]. Both laparoscopic and robotic techniques have similar recurrence rates [15]. The readmission rate is without difference.

The indications for prophylactic antibiotic use are skin infection, psoriasis, immune system dysfunction, emergency operation as well as risk of bowel injury [1]. The goal of this prophylaxis is to decrease the risk of surgical site infection and subsequent complications [1]. Approximately 44.4% of general surgeons use preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis [16]. The National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) finds procedures such as mesh implantation as indications for prophylactic antibiotics [17]. The British Hernia Society also gives clear recommendations for high-risk patients, such as those with recurrent hernias [17]. In our department, we prefer zinacef as a prophylactic antibiotic and we performed it.

**Conclusion**

Both laparoscopic and robotic approach is a significant technique in detecting one of the most common causes of recurrence, such as a preoperative unrecognized hernia, mixed hernias or contralateral inguinal hernias, which are identified for the first time intraoperatively [1,6]. Robotic versus laparoscopic approaches provide the opportunity for better three-dimensional visualization, elimination of surgeon tremors, better wrist movement and precise dissection [2,3,8]. Robotic IPOM technique takes less time because preparation of the preperitoneal space is not required and because it allows for coverage of the entire myopectineal orifice with a mesh. IPOM is worth to try if it was not performed in multi-recurrence case yet despite the most important disadvantage of leaving the prosthetic material within the peritoneal cavity. We recommend the robotic IPOM technique in cases of multi-recurrence inguinoscrotal hernia if other open or other minimally invasive hernia surgeries have failed.
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