

## Research Article

# Different Concentrations of Protein and Fresh Mango as a Carbohydrate Source in the Tambaqui Diet (*Colossoma Macropomum*)

Altieri Felix e Silva<sup>1</sup>, Anderson Miranda de Souza<sup>6</sup>, Fúlvio Viegas Santos Teixeira Melo<sup>2</sup>, Gilmar Amaro Pereira<sup>3</sup>, Carlos Eduardo Copatti<sup>3</sup>, Antonio Cleber da Silva Camargo<sup>4</sup>, Luiz Gustavo Tavares Braga and José Fernando Bibiano Melo<sup>6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal da Bahia - UFBA, Programa de Pós-graduação em Zootecnia, Av. Adhemar de Barros, 500, Ondina, CEP 40170-110, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Instituto Federal de Educação Ciência e Tecnologia, Campus Catu, Rua Barão de Camaçari, 110, CEP 48110-000, Catu, Bahia, Brasil

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Av. Adhemar de Barros, s/n, 40170-290 Salvador, BA, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal do Pampa, BR 472 - Km 585, 97501-970 Uruguaiana, RS, Brazil

<sup>5</sup>Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Jorge Amado, km 16, 45662-900 Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil

<sup>6</sup>Universidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco - UNIVASF, Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciência Animal, BR 407, Km 12, Lote 543, Projeto de Irrigação Nilo Coelho, S/N, CEP 56300-000, Petrolina - PE, Brazil

## Abstract

A 45 – day feed study aimed to evaluate the effects of cornmeal and in *natura* mango at different concentrations of carbohydrates and proteins on performance, hematology, metabolism and digestive enzymes of tambaqui, *Colossoma macropomum*. In the experiment, 144 juveniles were randomly distributed in a factorial scheme, where eight experimental diets (C30P30, C36P28, C42P26, C48P24, M30P30, M36P28, M42P26 and M48P24) were tested for 45 days.

**\*Corresponding author:** José Fernando Bibiano Melo, Universidade Federal do Vale do São Francisco - UNIVASF, Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciência Animal, BR 407, Km 12, Lote 543, Projeto de Irrigação Nilo Coelho, S/N, CEP 56300-000, Petrolina - PE, Brazil Email Id: melojfb@yahoo.com.br

**Citation:** Silva AFE, Melo JFB, Souza AMD, Melo FVST, Pereira GA, et al. (2022) Different Concentrations of Protein and Fresh Mango as a Carbohydrate Source in the Tambaqui Diet (*Colossoma Macropomum*), J Aquac Fisheries 6: 045.

**Received:** April 13, 2022; **Accepted:** April 20, 2022; **Published:** April 27, 2022

**Copyright:** © 2022 Silva AFE, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Performance parameters, hematological indices, metabolites and digestive enzyme activity were evaluated. The best performance was observed in the fish that received the diet C42P26, with cornmeal as a carbohydrate source. The results of glucose, triglycerides and total plasma protein had a significant effect on levels, source and interaction effect. The levels of triglycerides and cholesterol were higher in the diets with fresh mango. The activity of the enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase presented variations, modulated from the carbohydrate and protein concentrations of the diet. The digestive enzymes activity adapted to the profile of the diets tested and were not related to fish performance. It is concluded that cornmeal diet (C42P26) is the best source of carbohydrate, promoting better performance and health of *C. macropomum*.

**Keywords:** Alternative feeding; Digestive enzymes; Hematology; Metabolism

## Introduction

Fish feed represents between 50-70% of the total production cost [1], due to the use of high levels of protein ingredients (fishmeal) and the preparation process used [2]. The addition of carbohydrate sources and levels has been studied, principally for omnivorous fish, since adequate inclusion levels can improve the efficiency on the use of other nutrients that make up the feed. Fish present a lower efficiency in metabolizing carbohydrates than mammals; however, the beneficial effects can be gained from carbohydrate supplementation in the diets [3].

Dietary carbohydrate utilization may vary according to the dietary habits of the species, as well as the source and the level of carbohydrates in the diet [4]. Fish diets supplemented with carbohydrates help reduce protein and lipid catabolism, provide metabolites for biological synthesis and reduces the costs of feed production [5-8]. Cornmeal is rich in carbohydrates and widely used as a raw material in several industrial products [9], among them is commercial fish feed, as an energy source [10].

There are other alternative carbohydrate sources, such as fruits, that have been studied in fish feed. They are collected from those deemed unfit for human consumption and have already been tested in *Oreochromis niloticus* [11], *Colossoma macropomum* [12, 13] and *Leporinus obtusidens* [14]. Among the fruits used, mango (*Mangifera indica*) stands out and it is commercialized *in natura* or in a processed form. In its composition are equivalent carbohydrate values of 16.5 g for every 100 g [15]. In the Haden and Tommy cultivars, sugars such as glucose, fructose and sucrose are found in proportions of 0.59%, 3.15% and 9.05%, respectively, and protein values of 4.4g kg<sup>-1</sup> in pulp [16]. Thus, the nutritional value of fruit residues can be a good alternative for use in feed, and it is necessary to investigate the use of these ingredients in performance and digestibility assays for better utilization of these residues.

Regarding the species under study, tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) is a native fish from the Amazon and Orinoco rivers, of omnivorous feeding habits, with herbivore and frugivore tendencies [17]. This species presents excellent growth performance and

resistance to hypoxia [18, 19], favorable characteristics for the cultivation of this species in different breeding systems. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of varying carbohydrate sources and levels plus different protein concentrations over performance, hematological, metabolic responses and activities of digestive enzymes of juvenile tambaqui.

## Materials and Methods

For this experiment, 144 tambaqui juveniles with an initial average weight of  $3.93 \pm 0.5$  g were used. The fish were randomly distributed in 24 PVC tanks with a capacity of 500 L in a closed system with recirculating, biofiltered water. Each experimental unit was composed of six juvenile tambaqui. Eight experimental diets (C30P30, C36P28, C42P26, C48P24, M30P30, M36P28, M42P26 and M48P24) were formulated varying two carbohydrates sources (corn meal - C and *in natura* mango - M) in four different levels (30, 36, 42 e 48%) and four crude protein (P) concentrations of 30, 28, 26 and 24%. The diets was formulated (Table 1) to meet the nutritional requeriments of *Colosso-ma macropomum*, according to [20].

The mango used to make the rations was unfit for human consumption (production rejects). After acquiring the mango, they were crushed and mixed with the other ingredients. It was carried out by taking advantage of the fruit humidity in the preparation of the experimental diets. The values of the *in natura* mango composition were determined on the basis of the dry matter for inclusion in the feed. After mixing, the ingredients were pelleted in a ball-type grinder, then dried in a forced-air recirculation oven for 24 hours at 55 °C, and finally crushed to fit the pellet for the fish's mouth.

The tambaqui juveniles were fed twice a day (9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.) up to apparent satiation for 45 days. 30 minutes after feeding, the boxes were siphoned daily for the removal of feces and possible feed leftovers. The water quality parameters were monitored during the experimental period using a multiparameter probe. All the experimental procedures performed with the fish were authorized by the Ethics Committee for Animal Use (CEUA) of the Federal University of São Francisco Valley, protocol number 0016/140415.

At the end of the experimental period the tambaqui juveniles were weighed to evaluate the growth performance through the following parameters: Total weight gain (TWG), Average daily weight gain (ADWG), Specific growth rate (SGR) and Condition factor (CF).

For the analysis of metabolic parameters and metabolic digestive enzymes we withdrew from six juvenile tambaqui, from each treatment, biological tissues (intestine and liver) plus blood for obtaining plasma.

The fish were subjected to blood collection puncture of the caudal vein with heparinized syringes. The plasma was obtained by blood centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes and then was frozen at -20 °C for later analysis. Soon the fish were anesthetized with benzocaine ( $1\text{ g } 10\text{ L}^{-1}$ ) and euthanized for liver and intestine collection.

For the assessment of hematological parameters, we used the blood collected to determine red blood cell (RBC) count, hematocrite and hemoglobin. From this data we calculated hematimetric parameters, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) according to the formulas describes by [21]. The hematocrit (Ht) was performed as described by [22], the heparinized

|                                       |                                    |       |       |       |                 |       |       |       |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Dietary level protein (%)             | 30                                 | 28    | 26    | 24    | 30              | 28    | 26    | 24    |
| Dietary level CHO (%)                 | 30                                 | 36    | 42    | 48    | 30              | 36    | 42    | 48    |
| Ingredient (%)                        | Corn meal                          |       |       |       | In natura mango |       |       |       |
| Fish meal                             | 11.41                              | 15.21 | 22.85 | 30.64 | 10.63           | 14.23 | 19.59 | 26.87 |
| Soybean meal                          | 47.99                              | 38.19 | 23.37 | 8.24  | 47.29           | 37.40 | 25.23 | 10.13 |
| Corn meal                             | 30.00                              | 36.00 | 42.00 | 48.00 | —               | —     | —     | —     |
| In natura mango                       | —                                  | —     | —     | —     | 30.00           | 36.00 | 42.00 | 48.00 |
| Soybean oil                           | 7.13                               | 7.59  | 8.77  | 10.03 | 8.43            | 9.14  | 10.18 | 11.78 |
| L-lysine                              | —                                  | —     | —     | 0.05  | —               | —     | —     | 0.15  |
| DL-methionine                         | —                                  | —     | —     | 0.03  | —               | —     | —     | 0.06  |
| Common salt                           | 0.50                               | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50            | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50  |
| Premix-APP 1                          | 2.00                               | 2.00  | 2.00  | 2.00  | 2.00            | 2.00  | 2.00  | 2.00  |
| Vitamin C 2                           | 0.50                               | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50            | 0.50  | 0.50  | 0.50  |
| Bicalcium phosphate                   | 0.46                               | —     | —     | —     | 0.64            | 0.22  | —     | —     |
| BHT 3                                 | 0.01                               | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01            | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  |
| Nutrients                             | Calculated nutritional composition |       |       |       |                 |       |       |       |
| Dry matter (%)                        | 94.91                              | 94.22 | 94.95 | 93.97 | 88.27           | 85.17 | 92.58 | 92.20 |
| Gross energy (Kcal kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | 4.200                              | 4.200 | 4.200 | 4.200 | 4.200           | 4.200 | 4.200 | 4.200 |
| Crude protein (%)                     | 30.00                              | 28.00 | 26.00 | 24.00 | 30.00           | 28.00 | 26.00 | 24.00 |
| Crude fiber (%)                       | 2.92                               | 2.37  | 1.54  | 0.70  | 2.87            | 2.31  | 1.63  | 0.79  |
| Total carbohy-drates(%)               | 44.48                              | 45.15 | 43.69 | 42.10 | 46.09           | 47.10 | 47.14 | 45.94 |
| Lysine (%)                            | 1.95                               | 1.80  | 1.65  | 1.52  | 1.90            | 1.73  | 1.57  | 1.52  |
| Methionine (%)                        | 1.33                               | 1.14  | 0.89  | 0.68  | 1.30            | 1.11  | 0.89  | 0.68  |

**Table 1:** Formulation and calculated composition of experimental diets on the based dry matter.

1Premix min. and vit. (mineral and vitamin mix): Guarantee levels per kilogram of product: Vit. A, 1,200,000 IU; Vit. D3, 200,000 IU; Vit. E, 12,000mg; Vit. K3, 2400mg; Vit. B1, 4,800mg; Vit. B2, 4,800mg; Vit. B6, 4,000mg; Vit. B12, 4,800mg; B.C. Folic acid, 1,200mg; Pantothenate Ca, 12,000mg; Vit. C, 48,000mg; Biotin, 48mg; Hill, 65,000mg; Niacin, 24,000mg; Iron, 10,000mg; Copper, 6,000mg; Manganese, 4,000mg; Zinc, 6,000mg; Iodine, 20mg; Cobalt, 2mg; Selenium, 20mg; 2Vitamin C protected: calcium salt 2-monophosphate of ascorbic acid, 42% active principle (calcic salt, ascorbicacid2-monophosphate-42% activeprinciple); 3Butyl-Hydroxytoluene;

micro-capillary tube is filled 2/3 of its total volume and soon after the sealing was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 5 minutes. Hemoglobin concentration (Hb) by the cyanomethabhamoglobin method described by [23] using the Drabkin reagent and reading was performed at 540nm. The red blood cell counts were made in a Neubauer chamber, using the [24] reagent.

Plasma measured metabolites were glucose, albumin, triglycerides, protein and total cholesterol following colorimetric methods of the reagents (labtest®). The free amino acids were determined following the [25] methodology, where a 1mm glycine standard was used and the extract was added to a 0.1% solution of ninhydrin in propanol and the reading was performed on a spectrophotometer at 570nm.

The hepatic glycogen (HG) determinations were performed as described by [26]. The liver samples from each fish were weighed in the

proportion of 100 mg and then transferred to test tubes to dissolution. 100 µl of this extract was transferred to a tube and added with 250 µl of ethanol and 100 µl of 10% K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, followed by stirring. Then, the sample was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. Subsequently, the supernatant was discarded by inversion and the precipitate resuspended in 2 ml of distilled water. After mixing 100 µl of the sample, 250 µl of phenol were transferred plus 1 ml of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to stop the reaction, finally the spectrophotometer was read at 480 nm.

To determine the activity of the enzyme glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), liver samples weighing approximately 100 mg were homogenized in buffer (10 mM phosphate/20 mM Tris-pH 7.0) at 4 °C using a mechanical homogenizer. The activity of the GDH enzyme was determined according to [27]. The reaction is based on the reduction of 2-ketoglutarate to glutamate. The reaction medium contained imidazole-HCl buffer pH 7.7-50 mM, 250 mM ammonium acetate, 0.1 mM NADH, 1 mM ADP, 0.5 mM NADP 0.5 mM 2-ketoglutarate. To determine the activity, 100 microliters of the homogenate was used, and the reading was performed at a wavelength of 360nm.

For determination of digestive enzymes intestine samples weighing approximately 100 mg were homogenized in 10 mM phosphate buffer / 20 mM tris-pH 7.0 at 4 °C using mechanical homogenizer. The intestinal amylase activity was determined according to the method proposed by [28]. With 1.0 ml of starch solution in 0.1M tris buffer (pH 7.0) containing 0.02M NaCl, a suitable volume of cell homogenate was added and the reaction mixture was incubated for 40 minutes at 25 °C. After the reaction time, 250 µl of 15% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added and the reaction mixture was centrifuged at 3000 g for 2 minutes. In the supernatant, the glucose concentration was estimated by the [29] method.

To determine the intestinal alkaline proteolytic activity, a 1% casein solution was used as the reaction substrate. The incubation mixture was composed of 250 – 400 µL of 1% azocasein, 0.1 Tris/HCl buffer (pH 8.0). After incubation of the mixture for 30 minutes at 35 °C, the reaction was stopped by adding 1.0 ml of 15% TCA, then centrifuged at 1800 g for 10 minutes [30]. Tyrosine was used as the standard and the unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme needed to catalyze the formation of 1 µg of tyrosine per minute.

Non-specific intestinal lipase activity was determined according to the method described by [31]. The reaction was incubated at 35 °C in medium containing 0.4 mM p-nitrophenyl meristat in a buffer solution of 24 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 7.8 and 0.5% Triton X-100. After 30 minutes, the reactions were stopped by the addition of 25 mM NaOH. The spectrophotometer reading was performed at 405nm.

The design used in this experiment was entirely random in a factorial scheme (4x2), with four protein levels (30, 36, 42 and 48%) and two carbohydrates sources (cornmeal or mango *in natura*) with three replicates. The results were analyzed by the computer program *Statistical Analysis System* - SAS (Version 9.1, 2003). The normality of residues was previously verified by the SHAPIRO-WILK test (PROC UNIVARIATE) and the variances were compared by orthogonal contrasts (linear, quadratic and deviation of the quadratic and source effect) with a significance level of 5% by PROC GLM. When significant, an interaction effect level versus source was deployed. Subsequently the contrast analyses, when significant, determined the parameters of the regression equations by PROC REG.

## Results

The results obtained in the analysis of water quality parameters during the experiment were: electrical conductivity  $91.2 \pm 2.1$  mS, total salinity  $43.61 \pm 1.8$  ppm, dissolved oxygen  $6.3 \pm 0.6$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, ammonia concentration  $0.059 \pm 0.007$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, nitrite  $0.044 \pm 0.008$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus  $0.286 \pm 0.33$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, nitrate  $2.832 \pm 0.238$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, pH  $7.23 \pm 0.3$  and average temperature of  $26.1 \pm 4.78$  °C.

There was a significant difference and interaction effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all parameters of zootechnical performance evaluated (Table 2). Fish presented better performance (TWG, ADWG and CF) in the diet C42P26%. With the *in natura* mango diet, the best result was in the diet M36P28.

The carbohydrate sources and the protein levels tested influenced the hematological parameters of tambaqui juveniles (Table 3). Mean hematocrit values were significant at levels and sources. The hemoglobin level and the number of erythrocytes showed influence ( $P < 0.05$ ) in levels, sources and showed interaction. The results of mean corpuscular volume (MCV) showed interaction ( $P < 0.05$ ) in levels. Mean values of mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) concentrations were only significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) between sources.

The results of metabolic intermediates plasma, liver glycogen (HG) and enzyme activity glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) activity measured in liver are shown in Table 4. The results of total analyzed glucose, triglycerides and total plasma proteins were significantly affected by source, levels and interaction effect. The glucose levels are higher in the inclusion of cornmeal treatments. The concentrations of triglycerides were higher in treatments (M42P26 and M48P24) with inclusion of fresh mango.

Plasma cholesterol had an effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) in relation to the sources, where the highest concentrations were in the fish fed with fresh mango. Plasma albumin concentrations were significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) in sources and levels, which decreased with increasing carbohydrate levels and reduction of dietary protein in both carbohydrate sources tested. The plasma proteins presented a quadratic effect the two tested carbohydrate sources. Total amino acid levels showed significant interaction Table 5.

The results of HG and GDH presented difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in levels and sources showed interaction. The HG levels are increased in fish receiving higher amounts of the cornmeal diet. However, the animals that were fed the highest levels of fresh mango and lower concentration of protein, presented less deposition in the liver.

The GDH enzyme activity was higher in fish fed with diets containing cornmeal. The greatest enzymatic activities occurred at the lowest and highest concentrations of carbohydrates and protein.

The activities of digestive enzymes presented significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ). The alkaline protease and the amylase were influenced in levels, sources and presented interaction effect. Lipase was significantly affected on levels and interaction.

## Discussion

Some food and their concentrations influence the fish's performance, due to their bromatological characteristics. In addition, the nutrient contents present are responsible for the productive responses. In the present study, the zootechnical parameters evaluated were higher in fish that received the cornmeal and lower in the fish fed fresh

| Variables            | C30P30 | C36P28    | C42P26 | C48P24  | MSE   | M30P30   | M36P28 | M42P26 | M48P24    | MSE  |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--|--------|--------|-----------|------|
| TWG (g)              | 29.63  | 33.49     | 36.31  | 29.14   | 0.75  | 19.12  | 23.67  | 6.52   | 7.93      | 0.98 |
| ADWG (g)             | 0.63   | 0.71      | 0.77   | 0.62    | 0.02  | 0.41   | 0.50   | 0.14   | 0.17      | 0.02 |
| SGR (%)              | 4.54   | 4.78      | 4.85   | 4.87    | 0.05  | 3.73   | 4.01   | 1.95   | 1.91      | 0.13 |
| CF                   | 1.90   | 1.54      | 1.46   | 1.53    | 0.03  | 1.46   | 2.02   | 1.46   | 1.79      | 0.04 |
| Effect (Probability) |        |           |        |         |       |  |        |        |           |      |
| Rates                | Linear | Quadratic | Levels | Sources | L * S | RE   |        |        | R2        |      |
| TWG                  | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= -81.846+5.999x -0.076x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 21.217+0.526x-0.017x <sup>2</sup> |        |        | 0.44 0.51 |      |
| ADWG                 | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= -1.741+0.127-0.001x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 0.441+0.011-0.000x <sup>2</sup>      |        |        | 0.31 0.51 |      |
| SGR                  | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= 4.099+0.016x<br>M= 7.775-0.125x   |        |        | 0.23 0.61 |      |
| CF                   | NS     | NS        | NS     | *       | *     | C= 6.854-0.256x+0.003x <sup>2</sup><br>M= -4.697 + 0.327x -0.004x <sup>2</sup> |        |        | 0.61 0.33 |      |

**Table 2:** Growth performance of tambaqui juveniles fed diets containing different sources and levels of carbohydrates and reduction of crude protein levels. TWG: Total Weight Gain; ADWG: Daily Average Gain Gain; SGR: Specific Growth Rate; CF: Condition Factor. MSE: Medium Standard Error; \*: P <0.05; NS: Not Significant.

| Variables            | C30P30 | C36P28    | C42P26 | C48P24  | MSE   | M30P30   | M36P28 | M42P26 | M48P24       | MSE  |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--|--------|--------|--------------|------|
| Hct1                 | 25.00  | 25.75     | 23.20  | 20.75   | 0.49  | 22.40  | 22.00  | 13.80  | 16.75        | 0.93 |
| Hb2                  | 17.22  | 16.55     | 20.20  | 14.75   | 0.56  | 13.98  | 12.51  | 8.00   | 10.92        | 0.49 |
| RBC3                 | 2.21   | 4.38      | 3.88   | 3.65    | 0.18  | 3.03   | 2.53   | 2.29   | 2.00         | 0.12 |
| MCV4                 | 99.07  | 52.77     | 76.72  | 56.18   | 4.90  | 73.55  | 73.05  | 57.58  | 86.11        | 3.05 |
| MCH5                 | 94.63  | 43.23     | 53.80  | 40.73   | 4.80  | 43.41  | 57.45  | 35.59  | 51.94        | 2.27 |
| MCHC6                | 68.40  | 96.38     | 76.37  | 64.32   | 3.81  | 62.28  | 57.48  | 55.16  | 69.81        | 2.31 |
| Effect (Probability) |        |           |        |         |       |  |        |        |              |      |
| Rates                | Linear | Quadratic | Levels | Sources | L * S | RE   |        |        | R2           |      |
| Hct                  | *      | NS        | *      | *       | NS    | C= 33.593-0.253x<br>M= 35.790-0.439x   |        |        | 0.55<br>0.51 |      |
| Hb                   | NS     | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= -22.146+2.141x-0.028x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 62.90-2.477x+0.028x <sup>2</sup>                             |        |        | 0.38<br>0.62 |      |
| RBC                  | NS     | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= -23555600.000+1363733.33x-16666.67x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 6802566.667-170322.222x+1472.222x <sup>2</sup> |        |        | 0.66<br>0.42 |      |
| MCV                  | *      | *         | *      | NS      | *     | C= 417.408-16.680x + 0.194x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 353.736-15.251x + 0.0200x <sup>2</sup>                    |        |        | 0.38<br>0.33 |      |
| MCH                  | NS     | *         | *      | NS      | NS    | Y= 549.211-23.280x+0.266x <sup>2</sup>   |        |        | 0.71         |      |
| MCHC                 | NS     | NS        | NS     | *       | *     | C= -312.928+21.142x-0.277x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 247.384-10.198x+0.135x <sup>2</sup>                        |        |        | 0.34<br>0.23 |      |

**Table 3:** Hematological parameters of tambaqui juveniles fed diets containing different sources and levels of carbohydrates and reduction of crude protein levels.

1Hct: Hematocrit (%); 2Hb: Hemoglobin (g/dL); 3RBC: Red blood cells (106/ $\mu$ L); 4MCV: Medium Corpuscular Volume (fL); 5MCH: Medium Corpuscular Hemoglobin (pg); 6MCHC (g/dL-1): Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration.

MSE: Medium Standard Error; CP: Crude Protein; \*: p<0.05; NS: Not Significant.

| Variables            | C30P30 | C36P28    | C42P26 | C48P24  | MSE   | M30P30   | M36P28 | M42P26 | M48P24 | MSE       |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| GLI1                 | 106.96 | 97.12     | 110.18 | 114.15  | 2.98  | 112.31   | 99.40  | 84.46  | 85.11  | 2.85      |
| HG2                  | 261.12 | 264.45    | 265.32 | 274.81  | 1.79  | 269.83   | 264.42 | 263.63 | 259.27 | 1.96      |
| TRI3                 | 135.43 | 110.24    | 142.49 | 171.06  | 6.53  | 153.31   | 156.45 | 241.57 | 284.98 | 13.65     |
| COL4                 | 63.21  | 67.04     | 76.81  | 90.14   | 3.55  | 121.64   | 105.70 | 114.11 | 109.06 | 3.88      |
| ALB5                 | 0.93   | 0.85      | 0.80   | 0.63    | 0.03  | 0.84   | 0.65   | 0.65   | 0.48   | 0.03      |
| PROT6                | 3.43   | 3.54      | 3.88   | 3.21    | 0.13  | 3.13   | 3.60   | 3.00   | 2.84   | 0.09      |
| AAT7                 | 34.64  | 39.54     | 32.00  | 28.56   | 1.50  | 28.99  | 29.93  | 32.78  | 41.08  | 1.38      |
| GDH8                 | 378.09 | 388.35    | 178.48 | 420.48  | 23.33 | 200.27   | 94.75  | 127.08 | 230.36 | 12.23     |
| Effect (Probability) |        |           |        |         |       |  |        |        |        |           |
| Rates                | Linear | Quadratic | Levels | Sources | L * S | RE   |        |        |        | R2        |
| Glucose              | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C=307.100+9.502x + 0.101x <sup>2</sup><br>M=154.164-1.503x                         |        |        |        | 0.86 0.76 |
| Hepatic Glycogen     | NS     | NS        | NS     | *       | *     | C=239.171+0.698x<br>M=285.390-0.541x   |        |        |        | 0.30 0.21 |
| Triglycerides        | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C=49.360+2.319x<br>M=-103.004+8.002x   |        |        |        | 0.25 0.67 |
| Cholesterol          | NS     | NS        | NS     | *       | NS    | C=74.30<br>M=112.62  |        |        |        | —<br>—    |
| Albumin              | *      | NS        | *      | *       | NS    | C=1.410-0.015x<br>M=1.354-0.017x   |        |        |        | 0.37 0.64 |
| Proteins             | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C=-4.732+0.443x-0.006x <sup>2</sup><br>M=-2.534+0.326x+0.005x <sup>2</sup>         |        |        |        | 0.33 0.35 |
| Total Amino acids    | NS     | NS        | NS     | NS      | *     | C=-35.192+4.095x-0.058x <sup>2</sup><br>M=83.187-3.333x+0.051x <sup>2</sup>        |        |        |        | 0.24 0.51 |
| GDH Activity         | NS     | *         | *      | *       | *     | C=2770.427-126.903x+1.609x <sup>2</sup><br>M=2223.623-111.056x+1.450x <sup>2</sup> |        |        |        | 0.27 0.85 |

**Table 4:** Results of plasma metabolic intermediates, hepatic glycogen and GDH enzyme activity.

1Glucose (mg.dL<sup>-1</sup>), 2Hepatic Glycogen (mg/g/tcc), 3Triglycerides (mg.dL<sup>-1</sup>), 4Cholesterol (mg.dL<sup>-1</sup>), 5Albumin (g.dL<sup>-1</sup>), 6Total Proteins (g.dL<sup>-1</sup>), 7Total Amino Acids (µL.mL), 8Glutamate Dehydrogenase (U.g<sup>-1</sup> prot). MSE: Medium Standard Error; \*: P <0.05; NS: Not Significant.

| Enzymes              | C30P30 | C36P28    | C42P26 | C48P24  | MSE   | M30P30  | M36P28 | M42P26 | M48P24 | MSE          |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| Alkaline Protease    | 84.15  | 75.22     | 68.22  | 44.57   | 4.56  | 62.44   | 57.70  | 26.18  | 44.47  | 4.18         |
| Amylase              | 0.32   | 0.37      | 0.42   | 1.80    | 0.16  | 0.47  | 0.38   | 1.26   | 0.92   | 0.09         |
| Lipase               | 2.88   | 2.41      | 2.02   | 1.03    | 0.19  | 1.86  | 1.67   | 1.43   | 3.01   | 0.17         |
| Effect (Probability) |        |           |        |         |       |   |        |        |        |              |
|                      | Linear | Quadratic | Levels | Sources | L * S | RE  |        |        |        | R2           |
| Alkaline protease    | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= -1.051+ 5.874x-0.102x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 339.197 - 13.894x + 0.159x <sup>2</sup> |        |        |        | 0.68<br>0.48 |
| Amylase              | *      | *         | *      | *       | *     | C= 11.347-0.640x + 0.009x <sup>2</sup><br>M= -3.170 + 0.001x – 0.168x <sup>2</sup>  |        |        |        | 0.93<br>0.48 |
| Lipase               | *      | *         | *      | NS      | *     | C= 0.609 + 0.182x - 0.003x <sup>2</sup><br>M= 18.081-0.907x + 0.012x <sup>2</sup>   |        |        |        | 0.82<br>0.78 |

**Table 5:** Activity of intestinal enzymes (IU/mg protein) in tambaqui juveniles fed diets containing different sources and levels of carbohydrates and reduced on crude protein levels.

EPM: Medium Standard Error; \*: P <0.05; NS: Not Significant.



mango, in the extent of C42P26 and M36P28, respectively. This dietary influence over zootechnical indexes has already been reported in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) substituting cornmeal with fresh mango peel flour [32], in Hungarian carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) with tung meal [33], in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) substituting cornmeal for mango residue meal [34].

The effects of carbohydrate sources and their relation to dietary protein can be positive or not in fish growth, because they depend on their inclusion levels. In addition, they may be related to the feeding habit of the species and the nutritional composition of the ingredient. It is difficult to define the sources and levels of inclusion of carbohydrates in fish feed due to some factors such as physical state, composition and molecular complexity of the carbohydrate sources, which directly influence digestibility, absorption and metabolism of nutrients [4, 14].

Regarding fish hematological and hematometric variables, they can reflect to the nutritional states caused by food, nutrients and other physiological and pathological conditions. In this study, the sources tested and the protein levels used modified the profile of the red series. From the adjusted equations, we can observe the adjustment of the red series to the dietary conditions. The adaptations of hematological and hematimetric variables already were been described in tambaqui juveniles fed with diets containing leucine leaf meal [35] in Nile tilapia fed with diets containing triticale levels instead of corn [36].

The change in RBC variables, hemoglobin level, and hematocrit was higher in cornmeal fed animals, which may be related to higher performance. Erythrocytes contained the hemoglobin that carries oxygen ( $O_2$ ) [37] to the tissues and cells, which provides greater metabolism of the molecules. The hematological status is important for assessing fish's nutritional and health status [38], physiological state [39] and environmental conditions [40]. It is probable that in the tambaquis fed with *in natura* mango, the red series was impaired and compensatory adaptations were achieved, such as reduction of RBC, but with an increase of mean corpuscular volume and hemoglobin concentration. All this adaptation may have occurred due to the lower utilization of this food.

Another important aspect in fish nutrition studies is the metabolic response, which reflect and respond to the different types of food and nutrients present in a diet. The adaptation of metabolic parameters in fish caused by feeding has already been described by several authors [41, 42, 14]. The increase in glycemia was observed in juveniles of *Megalobrama amblycephala* fed with different carbohydrate sources [3]. Plasma glucose levels are directly related to osmoregulatory variations, presence of stress factors and diet composition [43]. In this study, a reduction in hepatic glycogen reserve was observed in fish fed with fresh mango. The reduction of hepatic glycogen reserve is related to type of carbohydrate in the diet and the ability of the species to mobilize glycogen for the maintenance of glucose homeostasis [44, 45].

Plasma triglycerides in the two sources tested higher for higher carbohydrate-lower protein concentrations. According to [43] excess glucose is converted to lipids through lipogenesis, and this induction may occur after feeding and directly involves glucose homeostasis [46]. Plasma total cholesterol showed only interaction between the sources and not between the diet carbohydrate and protein concentrations. Cholesterol is changed by the types of lipids present in the diet [47, 46]. The observed changes are within reference levels in fish [33, 12].

Other important metabolites are albumin and plasma protein, as they are directly related to fish nutritional status. Albumin responded with a linear decline in the two tested sources and protein levels in the diet. According to [48] albumin is a high-density lipoprotein, and its synthesis is influenced by nutrition, hormonal balance, general liver status, and stress. Total protein presented a quadratic effect. Its reference values are related to metabolic strategies of transport and tissue damage, and especially in malnutrition [37, 49]. The total plasma protein is altered mainly by changes in plasma volume [50]. It is likely that the reduction in these metabolites in this study happen at greater concentration of the two carbohydrate sources, and the that lower levels of dietary protein promoted smaller amounts of nutrients provided in the digestion.

The change in the plasma free amino acid profile presented different responses in the two foods tested. In the highest concentrations of cornmeal there was a reduction of the plasma amino acids. However, fish that received higher concentrations of fresh mango had increased amino acids in the plasma. The reduction of this metabolic with the use of the mango denotes mobilization for use in the energy processes, since the performance of these animals was lower, suggesting gluconeogenesis. This fact has been described by [51] in rainbow trout and Nile tilapia fed rations with different protein proportions. Amino acids can be used for energy synthesis, in addition to other compounds [19, 52].

Another response that denoted the use of amino acids as an energy source by tissues is the GDH enzymatic activity. GDH activity occurred in both the highest and the lowest carbohydrate and protein concentrations for both sources tested. The GDH activity is indicative of amino acid deamination for energy purposes. The reduction of GDH enzyme activity in rainbow trout and Nile tilapia fed diets with lower protein levels [51] and the increase of enzyme activity in *Dicentrarchus labrax* with high carbohydrate protein ratio [53]. Thus, it appears that the sources tested and the protein concentrations in this study produce a profile of energy utilization from increased GDH activity.

Some authors have described changes in the activity of digestive enzymes due to the composition of the diet used to feed fish [54-56]. In this study the reduction in nonspecific alkaline protease activity may be related to the reduction of protein levels in the rations. The substitution of foods of protein origin in diets for *Argyrosomus regius* presented a similar response in proteases, reducing activities [56]. The activity of amylase and lipase digestive enzymes in this study did not present a clear relationship with the tested diets, they were only responsive. In a study with *Odontesthes bonariensis* the amylase activity is reduced when it decreases the carbohydrate levels in the diet [57-59]. [7] found a reduction in lipase enzyme activity in *Larmichthys crocea* when fed diets containing lower lipid levels. The result of the present study reinforces that the diet composition directly influences fish digestive enzyme activity. The enzymatic responses of the tambaqui digestive system presented seem to adapt to the foods and nutrients tested, however, they do not present a clear relation with the performance.

## Conclusion

In summary the diet C42P26 is better for tambaqui performance. The concentrations of *in natura* mango in the diet modify the erythrocytes, hemoglobin and hematocrit, however without damaging the health of this specie. The analyzed metabolites were responsive to

the tested diets as a performance strategy. The reduction of amino acid deamination occurred in the diets C42P26 and M36P28 where the fish reached its highest performance in the sources tested. The activity of digestive enzymes modifies their profile without presenting clear relation to food and protein concentration, relative to performance.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Brazil). The authors would like to thank CODEVASF, Petrolina, PB, Brazil (Companhia de Desenvolvimento dos Vales do São Francisco e do Parnaíba) for donating fish.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

## References

- Almeida LCD, Avilez IM, Honorato C, Hori TS, Moraes G (2011) Growth and metabolic responses of tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*) fed different levels of protein and lipid. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 17: 253-262.
- Xavier TO, Michelato M, Vidal LVO, Furuya VRB, Furuya WM (2014) Apparent protein and energy digestibility and amino acid availability of commercial meat and bone meal for Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 45: 439-446.
- Ren M, Habte-Tsion HM, Xie J, Liu B, Zhou Q, et al. (2015) Effects of dietary carbohydrate source on growth performance, diet digestibility and liver glucose enzyme activity in blunt snout bream, *Megalobrama amblycephala*. *Aquaculture* 438: 75-81.
- NRC (National Research Council). 2011. Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. National Academies Press, Washington DC, 376 pp.
- Krogdahl Å, Hemre GI, Mommsen TP (2005) Carbohydrates in fish nutrition: digestion and absorption in post larval stages. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 11: 103-122.
- Tian LX, Liu YJ, Yang HJ, Liang GY, Niu J (2012) Effects of different dietary wheat starch levels on growth, feed efficiency and digestibility in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Aquaculture International* 20: 283-293.
- Zhou P, Wang M, Xie F, Deng DF, Zhou K (2016) Effects of dietary carbohydrate to lipid ratios on growth performance, digestive enzyme and hepatic carbohydrate metabolic enzyme activities of large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*). *Aquaculture* 452: 45-51.
- Li X, Zhu X, Han D, Yang Y, Jin J, et al. (2016) Carbohydrate utilization by herbivorous and omnivorous freshwater fish species: a comparative study on gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*, var CAS III) and grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Aquaculture Research* 47: 128-139.
- Butolo JE (2010) Qualidade de ingredientes na alimentação animal. Mundo Agro Editora, Campinas, São Paulo, 430p.
- Hardy RW, Barrows FT (2002) Diet formulation and manufacture. In: Fish nutrition J E.
- Santos EL, Ludke MCM, Barbosa JM, Rabello CBV, Ludke JV (2009) Digestibilidade aparente do farelo de coco e resíduo de goiaba pela tilápia do nilo (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Caatinga* 22: 175-180.
- Bezerra SK, Souza RC, Melo JFB, Campeche DFB (2014) Crescimento de tambaqui alimentado com diferentes níveis de farinha de manga e proteína na ração. *Archivos de Zootecnia* 63: 587-598.
- Souza SA, Souza RC, Campeche DFB, Campos RML, Melo JFB (2014) Relação proteína: carboidrato no desempenho e no metabolismo de híbridos de *Pseudoplatystoma fasciatum* (fêmea) X *Leiurus marmoratus* (macho). *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia* 66: 879-886.
- Lazzari R, Uczay J, Rodrigues RB, Pianesso D, Adorian TD, Mombach PI (2015) Uso do resíduo de frutas em dietas para Piava. *Boletim do Instituto de Pesca* 41: 227-237.
- Marques A, Chicaybam G, Araujo MT, Manhães LRT, Sabaa-Srur AUO (2010) Composição centesimal e de minerais de casca e polpa de manga (*Mangifera indica* L.) Cv. Tommy Atkins. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura* 32: 1206-1210.
- Bernardes-Silva APF, Lajolo FM, Cordenunsi BR (2003) Evolução dos teores de amido e açúcares solúveis durante o desenvolvimento e amadurecimento de diferentes cultivares de manga. *Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos* 23: 11-120.
- Nunes ESS, Caveno BAS, Pereira-Filho M, Roubach R (2006) Enzimas digestivas exógenas na alimentação de tambaqui. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 41: 139-143.
- Araújo-Lima C, Goulding M (1998) Os frutos do tambaqui: ecologia, conservação e cultivo na Amazônia. Tefê, AM: Sociedade Civil de Mamirauá, Brasília: CNPq 186p.
- Baldiasserotto B (2009) Respiração e circulação. In: Baldiasserotto B Fisiologia de peixes aplicada à piscicultura 2 ed. Santa Maria: UFSM 352p.
- Guimarães I G, Martins GP (2015) Nutritional requirement of two Amazonian aquacultured fish species, *Colossoma macropomum* (Cuvier, 1816) and *Piaractus brachipomus* (Cuvier, 1818): A mini review. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 31:57-66.
- Wintrobe MM (1934) Variations on the size and hemoglobin content of erythrocytes in the blood of various vertebrates. *Folia Haematologica* 51: 32-49.
- Goldenfarb PB, Bowyer FP, Hall E, Brosious E (1971) Reproducibility in the hematology laboratory: the micro hematocrit determinations. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 56: 35-39.
- Drabkin DL (1948) The standardization of hemoglobin measurement. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences* 215: 210-211.
- Natt MP, Herrick CA (1952) A new blood diluent for counting the erythrocytes and leucocytes of the chicken. *Poultry Science* 31: 735-738.
- Copley NG, Frondoza C G (1941) Alloxan and ninhydrin test. *Analyst* 66: 492-493.
- Bidinotto PM, Souza RHH, Moraes G (1997) Hepatic glycogen in eight tropical freshwater teleost fish: A procedure for field determinations of microsamples. *Boletim Técnico CEPTA* 10: 53-60.
- Hochaschka PW, Guppy HE, Storey KB, Hulbert WC (1978) Metabolic biochemistry of water vs air-breathing fishes: muscle enzymes and ultra structures. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 56: 736-750.
- Hidalgo MC, Urea E, Sanz A (1999) Comparative study of digestive enzymes in fish with different nutritional habits. Proteolytic and amylase activities. *Aquaculture* 170: 267-283.
- Park JT, Johnson MJ (1949) Submicro determination of glucose. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 181: 149-151.
- Walter HE (1984) Proteinases: Methods with hemoglobin, casein and azocoll as substrates. In Bergmeyer, H.U.(Ed.). *Methods of enzymatic Analysis*, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 5: 270- 277.
- Gawlicka A, Parent B, Horn HM, Ross N, Opstad I, Torrisen J (2000) Activity of digestive enzymes in yolk-sac larvae of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*): indication of readiness for first feeding. *Aquaculture* 184: 303-314.
- Souza RC, Melo JFB, Filho RMN, Campeche DFB, Figueiredo RACR (2013) Influência da farinha de manga no crescimento e composição corporal da tilápia do nilo. *Archivos de Zootecnia* 62: 217-225.
- Pretto A, Silva LP, Goulart FR, Battisti EK, Loureiro BB, et al. (2014) Farelo de tungue in natura ou detoxificado na alimentação de carpa húngara (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, 66: 1891-1900.

34. Lima MR, Ludke MCM, Neto FFP, Pinto BWC, Torres TR, et al. (2011) Farelo de resíduo de manga para tilápia do Nilo. *Acta Scientiarum Animal Sciences* 33: 65-71.
35. Pereira-Junior G, Pereira-Filho M, Brasil EM, Barbosa PS (2014) Farinha de folha de leucena (*Leucaena eucocephala* Lam. de wit) como fonte de proteína para juvenis de tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum* CURVIER, 1818). *Acta Amazonica* 43: 227-234.
36. Tachibana L, Gonçalves GS, Guimarães IG, Falcon DR, Barros MM, et al. (2010) Substituição do milho pelo triticale na alimentação de tilápias-do-nilo. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 39: 241-246.
37. Ranzani-Paiva MJ, Silva-Souza AT (2004) Hematologia de peixes brasileiros. In: Ranzani-Paiva MJ, Takemoto RM, Lizama MAP (eds.). *Sanidade de organismos aquáticos*. Editora Varela, São Paulo, pp 89-120.
38. Farhat, Khan MA (2014) Response of fingerling stinging catfish, *Heteropneustes fossilis* (Bloch) to varying levels of dietary L-leucine in relation to growth, feed conversion, protein utilization, leucine retention and blood parameters. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 20: 291-302.
39. Yuji-Sado R, Bicudo AJA, Possebon-Cyrino JE (2014) Hematology of juvenile pacu, *Piaractus mesopotamicus* (Holmberg, 1887) fed graded levels of mannan oligosaccharides (MOS). *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research* 42: 30-39.
40. Soltanzadeh S, Fereidouni AE, Ouraji H, Khalili KJ (2016) Growth performance, body composition, hematological, and serum biochemical responses of beluga (*Huso huso*) juveniles to different dietary inclusion levels of faba bean (*Vicia faba*) meal. *Aquaculture International* 24: 395-413.
41. Abdel-Tawwab M, Sharafeldin KM, Mosaad MNM, Ismaiel NEM (2015) Coffee bean in common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L. diets: Effect on growth performance, biochemical status, and resistance to waterborne zinc toxicity. *Aquaculture* 448: 207-213.
42. Apun-Molina JP, Santamaria-Miranda A, Luna-González A, Ibarra-Gómez JC, Medina-Alcantar V, et al. (2015) Growth and metabolic responses of whiteleg shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* and Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* in polyculture fed with potential probiotic microorganisms on different schedules. *Latin American Journal Aquatic Research* 43: 435-445.
43. Polakof S, Panserat S, Soengas JL, Moon TW (2012) Glucose metabolism in fish: a review. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* 182: 1015-1045.
44. Li S, Sang C, Zhang J, Chen N, Li Z, et al. (2018) Effects of acute hyperglycemia stress on plasma glucose, glycogen content, and expressions of glycogen synthase and phosphorylase in hybrid grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* E. *lanceolatus*). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 44: 1185-1196.
45. Souza AM, Silva AT, Silva AF, Campeche DFB, Melo JFB, et al. (2019) Mesquite bean (*Prosopis juliflora*) meal in diets of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*): Nutritional value, growth, physiological responses and health. *Aquaculture Research* 50: 49-62.
46. Castro C, Corraze G, Perez-Jimenes A, Larroquet L, Cluzeaud M, et al. (2015) Dietary carbohydrate and lipid source affect cholesterol metabolism of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. *British Journal of Nutrition* 114: 1143-1156.
47. Ribeiro PAP, Costa LS, Pereira RT, Murgas LDS, Rosa PV (2013) Parâmetros metabólicos de pacus alimentados com diferentes fontes de óleo. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* 48: 1035-1042.
48. Hasegawa MY, Fonteque JH, Kohayagawa A, Boretti LP (2002) Avaliação do perfil eletroforético das proteínas séricas em matrizes pesadas (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) da linhagem Avian Farm. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola*, 4: 203-207.
49. Dallagnol JM, Higuchi LH, Maluf MLF, Feiden A, Boscolo WR (2014) Avaliação sérica de pacus submetidos a dietas com diferentes níveis de proteína e energia cultivados em tanques-rede. *Acta Iguazu* 3: 97-108.
50. Melo DC, Oliveira DAA, Melo MM, Junior DV, Teixeira EA, et al. (2009) Perfil proteico de tilápia nilótica chitralada (*Oreochromis niloticus*), submetida ao estresse crônico por hipóxia. *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia* 61: 1183-1190.
51. Figueiredo-Silva AC, Saravanan S, Schrama JW, Panserat S, Kaushik S, et al. (2013) A comparative study of the metabolic response in rainbow trout and Nile tilapia to changes in dietary macronutrient composition. *British Journal of Nutrition* 109: 816-826.
52. Bombardelli RA, Meurer F, Syperreck MA (2003) Metabolismo proteico em peixes. *Arquivos de Ciências Veterinária e Zootecnia* 7: 69-79.
53. Viegas I, Rito J, Jarak I, Leston S, Caballero-Solares A, et al. (2015) Contribution of dietary starch to hepatic and systemic carbohydrate fluxes in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *British Journal of Nutrition* 113: 1345-1354.
54. Lundstedt LM, Melo JFB, Moraes G (2004) Digestive enzymes and metabolic profile of *Pseudoplatystoma corruscans* (Teleostei: Siluriformes) in response to diet composition. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 137: 331-339.
55. Pérez-Jiménez A, Cardenete G, Morales AE, García-Alcázar A, Abellán E, et al. (2009) Digestive enzymatic profile of *Dentex dentex* and response to different dietary formulations. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 154: 157-164.
56. Couto A, Barroso C, Guerreiro I, Pousão-Ferreira P, Matos E, et al. (2016) Carob seed germ meal in diets for meagre (*Argyrosomus regius*) juveniles: Growth, digestive enzymes, intermediary metabolism, liver and gut histology. *Aquaculture* 451: 396-404.
57. Gómez-Requeni P, Kraemer MN, Canosa LF (2012) Regulation of somatic growth and gene expression of the GH-IGF system and PRP-PACAP by dietary lipid level in early juveniles of a teleost fish, the pejerrey (*Odonesthes bonariensis*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology* 182 B: 517-530.
58. Beitz DC (1996) Metabolismo de proteínas e aminoácidos in: Swenson, M.J., Reece, W.O. *Dukes-Fisiologia dos animais domésticos*. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan p430-446.
59. Halver JE, Hardy RW (2002) 3rd edition. Academic Press, London, p 505-600.





Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665

Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X

Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126

Archives Of Urology

Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779

Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering

International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X

Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276

Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292

Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370

Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594

Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X

Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562

Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608

Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879

Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397

Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751

Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523

Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780

Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry

Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research

Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546

Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X

Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943

Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771

Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844

Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801

Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978

Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107

Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315

Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783

Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X

Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798

Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020

Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076

Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X

Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566

Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485

Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662

Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999

Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care

Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640

Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654

Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493

Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends

Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657

Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences

Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044

Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X

Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313

Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400

Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419

Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372

Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887

Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052

Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X

Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research

Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649

Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670

Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743

Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681

Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics

Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150

Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177

Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574

Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060

Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284

Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735

Journal Of Translational Science And Research

Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193

Journal Of Virology & Antivirals

Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829

Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: <https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript>