

Research Article

Reduction of Nauplii Size in an Allochthonous *Artemia* Strain through Selective Breeding

Vikas PA^{1*}, Sajeshkumar NK², Thomas PC², Chakraborty K², Jayasankar J² and Vijayan KK²

¹Subject Matter Specialist-Fisheries Krishi Vigyan Kendra (Ernakulam) of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, (Indian Council of Agricultural Research) Narakkal, Kochi, Kerala, India

²Marine Biotechnology Division (MBTD), Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute (CMFRI), Cochin, Kerala, India

Abstract

The present study was aimed to develop an *Artemia* strain producing small nauplii through selective breeding. Fifteen generations of mass selection was carried out for the reduction of nauplii size in the indigenous *Artemia*, which was identified to be *Artemia franciscana* naturalized in the Indian salinas. Mean values of life history traits, heritability of nauplii size, selection differentials, standardized selection differential, and predicted and realized selection responses were estimated from the full sib data. The selection response realized from fifteen generation of selection was 14.9 per cent reduction in nauplii length (from $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$ to $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$). Five per cent reduction in cyst size (from $224.83 \pm 14.81 \mu\text{m}$ to $212.5 \pm 9.5 \mu\text{m}$) was realized as correlated response. Concurrently with the reduction in nauplii and cyst size, significant increase in cyst hatching percentage (10%) was also realized as correlated gain (from 54.4% to 64.58). Heritability estimates (h^2) were found to be generally very high. Heritability estimate for the first day nauplii length, pooled for the fifteen generations was 0.94 ± 0.27 . The reduction gained in nauplii length selection indicates the efficiency of selection. Since nauplii/cyst size and hatching efficiency along with the nutrient profile are the prime indicators that determine the suitability of *Artemia* as larval feed, the selectively bred *Artemia* of the present study make it a promising strain for larviculture activities.

Keywords: *Artemia*; Cyst size; Hatching percentage; Heritability; Selective breeding; Small nauplii

*Corresponding author: Vikas PA, Subject Matter Specialist-Fisheries Krishi Vigyan Kendra (Ernakulam) of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, (Indian Council of Agricultural Research) Narakkal, Kochi, Kerala, India, Tel: +91 4842394867/+91 9447993980; E-mail: vikaspattath@gmail.com

Citation: Vikas PA, Sajeshkumar NK, Thomas PC, Chakraborty K, Jayasankar J, et al. (2021) Reduction of Nauplii Size in an Allochthonous *Artemia* Strain through Selective Breeding. J Aquac Fisheries 5: 041.

Received: May 20, 2021; **Accepted:** May 28, 2021; **Published:** June 04, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Vikas PA, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Aquaculture continues to grow more rapidly than all other animal food production sectors in the world. Production from aquaculture has outpaced population growth, with the annual average per capita supply from aquaculture increasing at the rate of 7.1 per cent [1]. Success of any aquaculture venture principally depends on the timely availability of suitable larvae and fingerlings of cultivable size in the required numbers and this can only be provided by a hatchery based larval production system [2]. In hatcheries, live feeds play a key role in deciding the survival, growth and development of the larvae [3,4]. Live feeds can stimulate the feeding and improve the digestive process of the larvae than the artificial micro diets through its prey-predator interactions and exogenous digestive enzymes that aid in digestion [5,6]. Since larvae cannot biosynthesize the essential Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFAs) namely 20:5n3 and 22:6n3 from its precursors, it has to be supplied through external diets especially live feeds [3,7,8].

Among the different live feeds, *Artemia* are widely used as the starter diet in larviculture, mostly because of its on-demand hatching ability, soft texture, motility and nutrient content. Although all stages of *Artemia* are suitable diet for diversified group of finfish and shellfish larvae, nauplii stage is considered as the most preferred larval diet. Hatching efficiency, nauplii size and nutrient profile are the foremost indicators that determine the suitability of the *Artemia* for larviculture. The nauplii size is the most important factor in larviculture. This is because most of the finfish larvae are small with narrow buccal openings, and therefore, exogenous foods of small size are needed one or two days after hatching by which time vitellinic reserves are depleted [9]. Selection of the diet by the larvae depends to a great extent on the prey size rather than its nutritional quality. Pepin and Penney observed a positive correlation between the mouth widths of the larvae with the prey size. Live feed-larvae interactions have been studied in many fish species [10]. According to Munk [11], the prey size preferred by cod larvae is about 5% of its length. Fernandez-Diaz, et al., reported that the preferred size of live and inert prey for gilthead sea bream larvae is a function of mouth size in the range of 0.1-0.8 times the mouth width. Marine larvae switch to bigger prey slower than their physical capacity to ingest larger prey. In this scenario there is a need for reducing the *Artemia* nauplii.

Heritability of the trait has an important role in the genetic gain realized from selective breeding since it determines the proportion of the selection differential that can be transferred to the progenies. Heritability is the proportion of the variance in a trait among individuals that is attributable to the differences in genotype. In the narrow sense is the ratio of additive genetic variance to phenotypic variance. Heritability is a concept that summarizes how much of the variation in a trait is due to variation in genetic factors [12]. Frequently, heritability is used in reference to the resemblance between parents and their offspring. In this context, high heritability implies a strong resemblance between parents and offspring with regard to a specific trait, while low heritability implies a low level of resemblance [12,13] has

used the intra-sire correlations or regressions of offspring on dam as a method of estimating heritability. Falconer [14] defined heritability as the regression of breeding value on phenotypic value ($h^2 = b_{GP}$), which is equivalent to the square of correlation between breeding values and phenotypic values ($h^2 = r^2_{GP}$).

Information on the selective breeding studies in *Artemia* is scanty. However, heritability estimates of hatching percentage, cyst size, growth rate, thermal stability and heat resistance have been reported, and these reports have indicated the superiority of genotypic influence over the phenotypic control on these traits [15-18]. Half-sib analysis of the naupliar length data of *Artemia franciscana* by Shirdhankar & Thomas [19] have shown heritability values of moderate magnitude.

Selective breeding in *Artemia franciscana* for reduction of the naupliar length by Shirdhankar & Thomas [19] have shown that it is very much amenable to selection as the genetic gain realized was substantial. Clegg *et al*, [16,20] reported that the changes in thermal stability and heat resistance may occur extremely rapidly using genetic selection in Vietnam strain of *Artemia*.

In this scenario, the objective of the present study was to develop a small nauplii producing *Artemia* by selective breeding. Generation's wise estimation of heritability of naupliar size, life history traits and hatching percentage were also made from the selectively bred *Artemia* and compared with the base generation.

Materials and Methods

Collection of *Artemia* cysts

Artemia cysts collected from the hypersaline habitats of Kelambakam (CKF), Southeast region of the India (12°47' N - 80° 13' E) during 2008 were brought to the Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin laboratory in hypersaline brine filled polyethylene bags. These cysts were cleaned and processed by biphasic flotation method and dried under sun.

Hatching and rearing

Base generation of *Artemia* (G_0) was developed by hatching the cysts (1gm Lr⁻¹) following the established procedure [21]. Freshly hatched nauplii were harvested and stocked (5 individuals per mL) in cylindrical acrylic tanks containing 35 ppt seawater (10Lr). Optimum temperature of 25±1°C, with mild aeration and photoperiod of 12h D: 12h L were maintained in all the three rearing tanks. Microalgae, *Isochrysis galbana* (30 X 10⁴ cells ml⁻¹) taken from the microalgae culture facility of Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, India were used as the feed (two times a day) for rearing *Artemia* daily. *Artemia* tanks were monitored daily and ten percent of the culture medium was exchanged daily using fresh seawater.

Selective breeding

Mass selection was carried out following the established method described by Falconer [22]. Freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii (about 1.5 lakhs) were used as base generation, and the small individuals (15,000 constituting about 10%) were selected using different mesh filtering unit of 500, 480, 450 and 400µm. Selected individuals were restocked (1 individual per mL) in to round acrylic tanks (12Lr) containing seawater (35 ± 2‰) for further rearing. The selected and unselected individuals were reared separately under standard culture conditions described above. By the age of fourteen days, males and females of both the selected and unselected populations started to

form riding pairs. From the selected population, the precopulatory pairs (1,000 pairs) were selectively harvested and stocked (1 precopulatory pairs per 20 mL) in cylindrical acrylic tanks (20L) and reared under uniform conditions.

Riding pairs started to produce first generation progenies (nauplii) from the third day (D3) of stocking. These progenies were collected and restocked in 3Lr plastic containers (2 individuals mL⁻¹). Small progenies were selected to produce the next generation (G_2). Likewise, progeny collection and selection process was continued up to eighth day (D8). The selected *Artemia* were finally pooled and reared in 50Lr circular acrylic tanks (5 individual per mL). From the offspring produced by G_2 , small nauplii were further selected as described earlier to produce the next generation (G_3). Selection for small size nauplii were carried out for fifteen generations (G_1 to G_{15}).

Recording and analysis of nauplii length, cyst biometry and hatching percentage

Nauplii length: Nauplii length or First Day Length (FDL) of the generations (G_0 to G_{15}) of the selected animals were recorded from the representative samples using a microscope attached with DIGI EYE 330 camera and software (Dewinter Bio-wizard, India) and the mean values were estimated generation wise.

Cyst biometry

The Selectively Bred *Artemia* Strain (SBAS) biomass was scaled up in one ton fiber-reinforced plastic tanks (FRP) following the standard culture conditions. Thereafter the salinity of the culture medium was increased slowly (10 ‰ daily⁻¹) to 250 ‰ to induce the oviparous reproduction in the SBAS. The newly formed cysts were collected, cleaned, processed, and dried under the shade and stored (4°C) until further analysis. To estimate the cyst biometry, cysts were incubated in fresh water at 28°C for 2 h for hydration and fixed with Lugol's Iodine solution to arrest further development and retain its circular shape. The diameter (µm) of the 500 representative cyst samples from each location was measured using a microscope attached with DIGI EYE 330 camera with the Dewinter software (Biowizard). Cyst biometry was compared with the reference strains such as *A. franciscana* (SFB), *Artemia salina* (ASL) *Artemia tibetiana* (TBS), *Artemia* Vietnam strain (VVC) and also with the native *Artemia* strains (CKF, GMJ, TTJ, TMM, VDA, and TNM).

Hatching percentage

Hatching percentage of the SBAS were analyzed and compared with the reference *Artemia* and other indigenous *Artemia* collected from different hypersaline habitats of India viz., Vedaranyam (VDA), Tuticorin (TTJ), Marakanam (TMM), Tamaraikulam (TNM) and Gujarat (GMJ). *Artemia* cysts were individually incubated in micro plates (96 well) having seawater (35‰) at room temperature, and kept under light. The plates were observed hourly after 8 hours of incubation for nauplii. Hatching percentage (H %) of the cysts was calculated from the data as follows: hatching % = (number of nauplii hatched /total number of cysts) X 100.

Heritability estimation

Heritability of the selected trait was estimated for each generation. Heritability estimate from the data generated through pair mating. Generation wise heritability estimates were then pooled and mean heritability was estimated.

The variance component analysis was used to estimate sire component of variance, and heritability was estimated from it. The linear statistical model used was

$$Y_{ik} = \mu + P_i + e_{ik}$$

Where,

Y_{ik} = Observation of the k^{th} progeny of the i^{th} sire

μ = Overall mean

P_i = Effect of i^{th} sire, where $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, P$

e_{ik} = Random error attributed to individuals, assumed to be normally and independently distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2_e .

The Degree of Freedom (D.F.), Sum of Squares (SS), Mean sum of Squares (MS) and Expected sum of Squares (EMS) used for estimation of heritability are given below:

Analysis of variance

Source of variation	D.F.	SS	MS	EMS
Between pairs	P-1	SS _p	MS _p	$\sigma^2_w + K_1 \sigma^2_p$
Between progeny within pairs	n-P	SS _w	MS _w	σ^2_w

Where,

P = Total number of pairs

N = Total number of progeny

K_1 = Average number of progeny per sire

σ^2_p = Pair component of variance

σ^2_w = Error variance component

Computational formula

Sources of variation	Sum of squares	Mean squares
Correction terms (C.T.)	$\frac{Y_{...}^2}{n_i}$	-----
Between pairs	$\sum \frac{Y_{...}^2}{n_i} - \text{C.T.}$	$MS_p = SS_p / S-1$
Progeny within pair	$\sum \sum_j \frac{Y_{ij}^2}{n_i} - \sum \frac{Y_{...}^2}{n_i}$	$MS_w = SS_w / n. - P$

Estimation of variance and heritability

$$\sigma^2_w = MS_w$$

$$\sigma^2_p = \frac{MS_p - MS_w}{K_1}$$

$$h^2_p = \frac{2\sigma^2_p}{\sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_w}$$

The value of K_1 was calculated from the following formula:

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{P-1} \left[n. - \frac{n_i^2}{n} \right]$$

Standard error of heritability was calculated as per Swinger et al., [23] using the following formula.

$$S.E. h^2 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)(1-t)^2[1+K_1-1]t^2}{K_1^2(n.P)(P-1)}}$$

Where,

't' is interclass correlation

$$t = \frac{\sigma^2_p}{\sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_w}$$

The heritability estimates were pooled over generations, following the procedure of Enfield et al., [24]. The formula for pooling the estimate is as follows:

$$\text{Pooled } h^2 = \frac{\frac{h_0^2}{V_0} + \frac{h_1^2}{V_1} + \frac{h_2^2}{V_2} + \frac{h_3^2}{V_3} + \dots + \frac{h_n^2}{V_n}}{\frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_1} + \frac{1}{V_2} + \frac{1}{V_3} + \dots + \frac{1}{V_n}}$$

$$S.E. \text{ of Pooled } h^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{V_0} + \dots + \frac{1}{V_0}}$$

Where,

$h_0^2, h_1^2, h_2^2, h_3^2, h_4^2, \dots, h_n^2$ are the heritability of character in the corresponding generation $G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4, \dots, G_n$.

$V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_n$ are the squares of standard error of corresponding heritability's.

Selection differentials

Selection differentials were calculated as the difference between the mean of the selected individuals who has parented the next generation and the mean of the population before selection of the parents [14]. Standardized selection differential was estimated following the method described by Falconer [22].

$$\text{Standardized selection differential (i)} = \frac{\text{Selection differential}}{\text{Phenotypic standard deviation}}$$

Predicted response and selection gain in each generation was estimated from the full sib data following the method described by Falconer [22].

$$\text{Predicted genetic response (R)} = i \sigma^2_p h^2$$

R = Average predicted response per generation

i = Standardized selection differential

σ^2_p = Phenotypic standard deviation of the trait under selection

h^2 = Pooled heritability of selected trait

Pooled heritability was used for prediction of response since it is supposed to be more accurate than individual generation estimates [25].

Statistical analysis

Generation-wise means of all parameters were examined for significance by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using the Duncan multiple tests by SPSS programme 13.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA).

Results

Phenotypic parameters

Morphological observations revealed the length of the freshly hatched *Artemia* nauplii within the base generation (G0) ranged from 400.0 μm to 570.0 μm with a mean value of $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$ (Table 1).

Generation	Mean nauplii length with SD* (μm)
G0	517.0 \pm 39.8 ^a
G1	514.6 \pm 20.5 ^{ab}
G2	504.7 \pm 38.5 ^{abc}
G3	501.7 \pm 20.3 ^a
G4	491.0 \pm 38.7 ^{cd}
G5	490.1 \pm 19.4 ^{cd}
G6	482.5 \pm 23.1 ^{de}
G7	477.1 \pm 27.1 ^{ef}
G8	471.4 \pm 27.1 ^{efg}
G9	464.1 \pm 30.1 ^{fgh}
G10	463.4 \pm 24.8 ^{fgh}
G11	459.4 \pm 21.4 ^{gh}
G12	454.5 \pm 29.1 ^{hi}
G13	452.2 \pm 25.0 ^{hjk}
G14	444.4 \pm 31.8 ^{jk}
G15	439.3 \pm 27.0 ^k

Table 1: Generation wise mean nauplii length (μm) in selectively bred *Artemia*.

* SD= Standard Deviation.

Values with same superscript are not significantly different at ($P>0.01$).

Heritability estimates of nauplii length

Heritability estimate of the *Artemia* nauplii (first day length) are presented in table 2. Heritability estimates of the selected *Artemia* showed generation to generation variations. Heritability was 0.99 \pm 0.36 in the base generation while it varied between 0.36 and 1.64 in other generations. Though, the heritability estimates and the standard errors associated with individual generations varied widely the pooled heritability and standard error of the selected trait was 0.96 ± 0.01 (Table 2).

Selection differentials

Mass selection was practiced in the *Artemia* nauplii to bring about change in nauplii size. Selection differentials and standard selection differential are presented in table 3. Selection differential in the base generation was -25.97 and it showed variations over the generations. Selection differentials ranged from -33.17 to -8.66 μm in other generations. Lowest selection differential was noticed in G14. Standard selection differential was -0.65 at the base generation and it showed generation wise variations and ranging from -0.95 to -0.27. Phenotypic standard deviation of the different generations is illustrated in

Generation	Heritability	Standard error
G0	0.99	0.36
G1	1.10	0.30
G2	0.73	0.39
G3	1.27	0.24
G4	0.47	0.18
G5	0.98	0.35
G6	1.09	0.28
G7	0.31	0.23
G8	0.36	0.31
G9	1.36	0.24
G10	1.21	0.29
G11	1.15	0.22
G12	1.64	0.21
G13	0.42	0.28
G14	0.53	0.30
G15	1.46	0.19

Table 2: Heritability estimate and standard error of the *Artemia* nauplii (first day length).

table 3. Base generation showed highest phenotypic standard deviation (39.84 μm) followed by G4 (38.75 μm) and G2 (38.59 μm).

Generation	Selection differential (μm)	Phenotypic standard deviation (μm)	Standard Selection differential
G0	-25.97	39.84	-0.65
G1	-33.17	35.52	-0.93
G2	-29.98	38.59	-0.78
G3	-32.54	34.38	-0.95
G4	-24.17	38.75	-0.62
G5	-25.78	27.48	-0.94
G6	-21.26	23.15	-0.92
G7	-16.52	27.19	-0.61
G8	-13.73	27.10	-0.51
G9	-10.19	30.13	-0.34
G10	-11.43	24.86	-0.46
G11	-11.82	21.44	-0.55
G12	-14.19	29.19	-0.49
G13	-14.02	25.09	-0.56
G14	-8.66	31.84	-0.27
G15	NA	27.09	NA

Table 3: Selection differential of length (μm), Phenotypic standard deviation (μm) and Standard Selection differential of the fifteen generations of selected *Artemia*.

Response to selection

The generation wise mean values of nauplii length with standard deviation are presented in table 1. The mean nauplii length was $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$, after fifteen generations of selection, as against $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$ in the base generation. A gradual decrease in nauplii length was noticed during the selection process. Mean nauplii length was $514.6 \pm 20.5 \mu\text{m}$ in G1 while it was $504.7 \pm 38.5 \mu\text{m}$ in G2, likewise reduced in other generations also. Selection gain was $-2.40 \mu\text{m}$

in G1 while it reduced sharply in G2 to -9.86 μm maximum selection gain was noticed in G4 (-10.70 μm) and minimum was in G10 (-0.76 μm). Selection gain in the Fifteenth Generation (G15) was -5.13 μm . Cumulative selection gain after the fifteen generations of selection was 77.67 μm table 4.

Generation	Predicted gain (μm)	Realized gain (μm)	Cumulative gain (μm)
G0	-24.93	NA	NA
G1	-31.84	-2.4	-2.4
G2	-28.78	-9.86	-12.26
G3	-31.24	-2.97	-15.23
G4	-23.2	-10.7	-25.93
G5	-24.75	-0.95	-26.89
G6	-20.41	-7.61	-34.49
G7	-15.85	-5.33	-39.82
G8	-13.18	-5.78	-45.6
G9	-9.79	-7.21	-52.81
G10	-10.97	-0.76	-53.57
G11	-11.35	-3.94	-57.51
G12	-13.63	-4.96	-62.47
G13	-13.46	-2.31	-64.78
G14	-8.31	-7.76	-72.54
G15	NA	-5.13	-77.67

Table 4: Predicted, realized and cumulative gain (μm) in nauplii length of *Artemia* from fifteen generations of selective breeding.

Correlated response

Cyst size: A reduction in cyst size was realized in the Selectively Bred *Artemia* Strain (SBAS) as a correlated response. After 15 generations of selection the cyst size in the selected line got reduced to $212.5 \pm 9.4 \mu\text{m}$ from $224.83 \pm 14.81 \mu\text{m}$ in the base generation. It was also smaller than reference strains VVC ($218.26 \pm 10.84 \mu\text{m}$), SFB ($222.0 \pm 14.5 \mu\text{m}$), ASL ($261.7 \pm 17.4 \mu\text{m}$) and TBS ($319.4 \pm 24.7 \mu\text{m}$) as well as the other indigenous strains studied (236.4 to $219.6 \mu\text{m}$) (Table 5). Duncan's multiple range test categorized *Artemia* strains based on the cyst size into eight different homogeneous subsets. SBAS formed the first sole group with lowest cyst size, while the reference VVC and SFB strains and the native TMM strains formed the second group with marginally higher cyst size (218 to 221 μm). Other native strains viz, VDA and TNM, and exotic species like ASL and TBS which possessed significantly higher cyst sizes (231.56, 236.38, 261.72 and 319.39 respectively) formed different subsets (Table 5).

Hatching percentage

Selective breeding for nauplii size reduction resulted in a correlated increase in the hatching percentage also. At the end of 15 generations of selection the hatching percentage in the selectively bred strain was 64.58% as against 54.4% in the base generation which is collected from wild. It was higher than other indigenous strains except GMJ (84.52%) and exotic strains except SFB (72.22%).

Discussion

The genetic studies in *Artemia* are limited to cytogenetics, genetic diversity, molecular taxonomy, phylogenetic analysis, etc. There is an apparent knowledge gap in quantitative genetics of the *Artemia* since only few attempts to study quantitative genetic parameters and quantitative genetic manipulations have been made hitherto.

<i>Artemia</i> strain	Mean with SD ¹ (μm)	SE ²
Base generation ³	224.83 ± 14.81^a	1.3
SBAS ⁴	212.49 ± 9.418^d	1.41
TTJ ⁵	223.35 ± 11.57^{ca}	0.85
TMM ⁶	219.63 ± 10.99^{bc}	1.54
VDA ⁷	231.56 ± 15.61^e	1.17
TNM ⁸	236.37 ± 19.00^f	2.03
GMJ ¹⁰	226.16 ± 14.01^a	1.42
VVC ⁸	218.26 ± 10.84^b	1.16
ASL ¹¹	261.72 ± 17.35^g	1.66
TBS ¹²	319.39 ± 24.74^h	2.41
S _c ³	221.95 ± 14.45^{ca}	1.46

Table 5: Mean cyst diameter of the base, selectively bred *Artemia* strains (SBAS) and the different reference *Artemia* strains.

¹SD: Standard Deviation, ²SE: Standard Error, ³CKF/ Base strain: Kelambakam, ⁴SBAS: Selectively Bred *Artemia* Strain, ⁵TTJ: Tuticorin, ⁶TMM: Marakanam, ⁷VDA: Vedaranyam, ⁸VVC: *Artemia* Vietnam strain, ⁹TNM: Tamaraikulam, ¹⁰GMJ: Mithapur- Gujrat, ¹¹ASL: *Artemia salina*, ¹²TBS: *Artemia tibetiana* and ¹³SFB: *A. franciscana*.

Values with same superscript are not significantly different at ($P > 0.01$).

In the present study, 14.9 per cent reduction in the nauplii length was realized from fifteen generations of selective breeding for size reduction in the indigenous *Artemia*. Concurrently, 5 per cent reduction in the cyst size and 10% increase in hatching percentage were also realized as correlated response in the selectively bred *Artemia* strain.

The nauplii length in the SBAS could be brought down to $439.3 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{m}$ from $517.0 \pm 39.8 \mu\text{m}$ in the base generation through fifteen generation of mass selection. Size-wise, it is smaller than the nauplii of the commercial strain of *Artemia franciscana* (502.6 ± 97.13) hatched along with the selected strain, and nauplii size reported for *A. franciscana* (487.07 μm and 490.67 μm) by Shirdhankar et al., [26]. Needless to say it is smaller than the nauplii size reported for various *Artemia* species globally viz., *Artemia franciscana*, Greek *Artemia* sp. (507.4 to 455.0 μm) [27], *Artemia urmiana* (466.3 to 505.0 μm) [28], Spanish Lamata *Artemia* (469.2 μm) [29], Italian Margherita di Savoia *Artemia* (517.0 μm) [30], Portugal Samouco *Artemia* (503.5 μm) [31] and *Artemia tibetiana* strain (667.0 μm) [32]. The Italian Tore Colimena strain (422.7 μm) has the smallest nauplii length [33].

Correlated response by way of reduction in the cyst size and increase in hatching percentage were also realized in the selectively bred *Artemia* strain. Selection has resulted in 5% (-12.34 μm) reduction in cyst size than that of base generation. SBAS cyst was found to be smaller than that of various *Artemia* strains reported worldwide viz., *A. urmiana* (262.7 to 286.6 μm) [28], Srilankan *Artemia* sp. (248.7 to 267.9 μm) [34], Algerian *Artemia* sp. (236.0 μm) [35] and *A. parthanogenetica* (260.0, 244.9 μm) [29,36]. The reduction gained in cyst size and nauplii length after fifteen generations of selection indicates the efficiency of selection. Phenotypic differences observed among the offspring were due to genetic differences among parents pursuant to selection because all were cultured under the same environmental conditions. Size reduction following the selective breeding is brought about through changes in gene frequencies at loci that influence the selected character [22]. Selection induces a change in gene frequency by separating the individuals into large and small groups

with a difference in gene frequencies, from which the small groups were selected for developing the SBAS.

Heritability of the selected trait

Trait under selection was nauplii size and the full-sib values of nauplii length were used to estimate the heritability. Higher heritability (h^2) estimates were obvious in the different generations of *Artemia* subjected to selection in the present study. Heritability estimated from the full sibs produced from pair mating is the heritability in the broad sense and it represents the ratio of the total genetic variance to the total phenotypic variance. The total genetic variance includes additive genetic variance, variance due to dominance deviation and epistatic interaction [37] and hence are of higher magnitude than the estimate from half-sib data. Lester [38] has reported that the maternal effects and genetic difference among families may also result in an inflated value for heritability estimated from full sib data resulting from pair mating.

Though the pooled h^2 estimates for FDL in the SBAS was high (0.96 ± 0.01), it was lower than the h^2 estimates of *A. franciscana* strain (1.33 ± 0.04 , $1.4 \pm .04$ and $1.3 \pm .04$) as reported by Shirdhankar & Thomas [19]. High heritability estimates values observed in full sibs from sire dam pair mating may be due to a substantial quantum of dominance deviation and / or epistatic interaction and maternal effects in the population under study as suggested by Shirdhankar [37] and Lester [38]. Briski et al. [18], observed wide variations in h^2 values in the *Artemia* sp. subjected to selection (0.11 to 0.95). Reports of h^2 estimate beyond the normal theoretical limits in several species are not uncommon in the literature [39,40]. Lester [38] suggested that the maternal effects and genetic differences among the families can result in inflated h^2 estimates from full sib data as evidenced in the early growth phase of *Penaeus stylirostris* (1.31 ± 0.62 to 0.64 ± 0.58). Falconer [41] has observed that variations in the h^2 often occur in generations, but they do not follow any particular trend as reported earlier. According to Wickins [42], ectotherms aquatic animals generally lack sophisticated endogenous homeostasis mechanisms like mammals and birds possess, and because of this environment holds profound effect on phenotypic expression of the individual's genotype.

The h^2 estimates of the FDL from the present work clearly indicate that the genetic effect on the nauplii length of *Artemia* sp profound. A substantial portion of the variance in the population is due to additive genetic variance, which is reflected in the cumulative selection gain of the mass selection programme. However, the higher h^2 values indicate that non-additive genetic factors are also contributing substantially to the total genetic variance.

Hatching percentage is an important quality indicator which decides the potential of the *Artemia* strain for aquaculture application. The present study shows that the cyst hatching percentage has significantly improved after the selection (10% increase) when compared to the base generation. The SBAS had higher hatching percentage (64%) than the unselected indigenous strains studied (45 to 55%), except the GMJ strain and exotic SFB strain of *A. franciscana*.

Nauplii/cyst size, hatching efficiency and nutrient profile are considered as the prime indicators that determine the quality of the *Artemia* strains for larviculture. The selectively bred *Artemia* strain developed from the present study fulfils all the above requirements making it a promising candidate strain for larviculture activities.

Conclusion

Present study reports the reduction in nauplii length by 14.9% as the direct response to fifteen generations of mass selection for nauplii size reduction in indigenous *Artemia*. Reduction of cyst size (5%) and increase in the hatching percentage (10 %) were also realized as correlated response. The selectively bred *Artemia* strain developed from the present work has small sized nauplii and cyst size and high hatching efficiency making it a promising candidate strain for larviculture. Further studies are required to validate the performance of the strain in field conditions.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Director, CMFRI and Cochin for providing necessary facilities to carry out the work. The senior author thankfully acknowledges the fellowship from Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India. Thanks are due to P. Shiju for his help rendered in laboratory works at CMFRI, Kochi, India.

References

1. FAO (2010) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2010. FAO, Rome, Italy. Pg no: 6.
2. Vikas PA, Sajeshkumar NK, Thomas PC, Chakraborty K, Vijayan KK (2012) Aquaculture related invasion of the exotic *Artemia franciscana* and displacement of the autochthonous *Artemia* populations from the hypersaline habitats of India. *Hydrobiologia* 684: 129-142.
3. Vikas PA, Chakraborty K, Kumar NKS, Thomas PC, Sanil NK (2016) A unraveling the effects of live microalgal enrichment on *Artemia* nauplii. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 59: 111-121.
4. Sorgeloos P, Dhert P, Candreva P (2001) Use of the brine shrimp, *Artemia* spp., in marine fish larviculture. *Aquaculture* 200: 147-159.
5. Kamarudin MS, Jones DA, Vay LL, Abidin AZ (1994) Ontogenetic change in digestive enzyme activity during larval development of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Aquaculture* 123: 323-333.
6. Baskerville-Bridges B, Kling LJ (2000) Early weaning of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae onto a microparticulate diet. *Aquaculture* 189: 109-117.
7. Vikas PA, Thomas PC, Kumar NKS, Chakraborty K, Sanil NK (2016) Effect of salinity stress on biochemical constituents and ArHsp22 gene expression in *Artemia franciscana*. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 61: 150-156.
8. Sargent J, Tocher D, Bell G (2002) The lipids In: Halver JE, Hardy RW (eds.). *Fish Nutrition*, (3rd edn). Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA. Pg no: 181-257.
9. de Barros HP, Valenti WC (2003) Food intake of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* during larval development. *Aquaculture* 216: 165-176.
10. Olsen AI, Attramadal Y, Jensen A, Olsen Y (1999) Influence of size and nutritional value of *Artemia franciscana* on growth and quality of halibut larvae (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) during the live feed period. *Aquaculture* 179: 475-487.
11. Munk P (1992) Foraging behaviour and prey size spectra of larval herring *Clupea harengus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 80: 149-158.
12. Lush JL (1940) Intra-sire correlations or regressions of offspring on dam as a method of estimating heritability of characteristics. *Journal of the American Society of Animal Production* 1940: 293-301.
13. Wray N, Visscher P (2008) Estimating trait heritability. *Nature Education* 1: 29.
14. Falconer DS (1960) Introduction to quantitative genetics. Oliver and Boyd Ltd, Edinburg, London.

15. Tackaert W, Vanhaecke P, Sorgeloos P (1987) Preliminary data on heritability of some quantitative characteristics in *Artemia*. In: Sorgeloos P, Bengtson DA, Declair W, Jaspers E (eds.). *Artemia Research and its Applications Vol 1 Morphology Genetics Strain characterization Toxicology*. Universa Press Wetteren Belgium. Pg no: 241-248.
16. Clegg JS, Jackson SA, Hoa NG, Sorgeloos P (2000) Thermal resistance, developmental rate and heat shock proteins in *Artemia franciscana*, from San Francisco Bay and southern Vietnam. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 252: 85-96.
17. Clegg JS, Trotman CAN (2002) Physiological and biochemical aspects of *Artemia* ecology. *Artemia: Basic and Applied Biology* 1: 129-170.
18. Briski E, Stappen GV, Bossier P, Sorgeloos P (2008) Laboratory production of early hatching *Artemia* sp. cysts by selection. *Aquaculture* 282: 19-25.
19. Shirdhankar MM, Thomas PC (2003) Heritability Estimates of Naupliar Length in *Artemia franciscana* Using Different Methods. *Asian Fisheries Science* 16: 69-76.
20. Clegg JS, Trotman CAN (2002) Physiological and biochemical aspects of *Artemia* ecology. *Artemia: Basic and Applied Biology*. Pg no: 129-170.
21. Sorgeloos P (1986) Live animal food for larval rearing in aquaculture: The brine shrimp *Artemia*. In Bilio M, Rosenthal H, Sindermann CJ (eds.). *Realism in Aquaculture: Achievements Constraints Perspectives*. World Conference on Aquaculture Venice, Italy. Pg no: 199-214.
22. Falconer DS (1981) *Introduction to quantitative genetics* (2nd edn). Longman, New York, USA. Pg no: 340.
23. Swinger LA, Harvey WR, Everson DO, Gregory KE (1964) The variance of intraclass correlations involving groups with one observation. *Biometrics* 20: 818-826.
24. Enfield FDRE, Comstock RE, Braskerud O (1966) Selection for pupa weight in *Tribolium castaneum* I Parameters in Base Populations Genetics. 54: 523-533.
25. Kinney TB, Shoffner RN (1967) Phenotypic and genetic responses to selection in a meat type poultry population. *Poultry Science* 45: 900-910.
26. Shirdhankar MM, Thomas PC, Barve SK (2004) Efficacy of selection in sexually breeding *Artemia* (*Artemia franciscana* Kellogg, 1906) *Aquaculture Research* 37: 1276-1281.
27. Moraiti-Ioannidou M, Castritsi-Catharios J, Miliou H, Kotzamanis YP (2007) Fatty acid composition and biometry of five Greek *Artemia* populations suitable for aquaculture purposes. *Aquaculture Research* 38: 1664-1672.
28. Abatzopoulos TJ, Baxevanis AD, Triantaphyllidis GV, Criel G Pador EL, et al. (2006) Quality evaluation of *Artemia urmiana* Günther (Urmia Lake, Iran) with special emphasis on its particular cyst characteristics (International Study on *Artemia* LXIX). *Aquaculture* 254: 442-454.
29. Hontoria F (1990) Caracterizacio a 'rea levantina espan toral Thesis Universidad Auto 'ola del crusta 'n de tres poblaciones originarias del 'podo *Artemia* Doc 'ceo branquio 'noma de Barcelona. Pg no: 326.
30. Vanhaecke P, Sorgeloos P (1980) International Study on *Artemia* IV The biometrics of *Artemia* strains from different geographical origin. In: Persoone G, Sorgeloos P, Roels O, Jaspers E (eds.). *The Brine Shrimp Artemia Vol 3 Ecology Culturing Use in Aqua- culture* Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium. Pg no: 393-405.
31. Vilela MH, Branco MAC (1987) Characterization of Portuguese *Artemia* strains. In: Sorgeloos P, Bengtson D, Declair AW, Jaspers E (eds.). *Artemia Research and its Applications Vol 1 Morphology Genetics Strain Characterization Toxicology*. Universa Press, Wetteren, Belgium. Pg no: 211-217.
32. Abatzopoulos TJ, Zhang BO (1998) *Artemia tibetiana*: preliminary characterization of a new *Artemia* species found in Tibet (People's Republic of China). International Study on *Artemia* LIX. *International Journal of Salt Lake Research* 7: 41-44.
33. Mura G, Baxevanis AD, Lopez GM, Hontoria F, Kappas I, et al. (2005) The use of a multidisciplinary approach for the characterization of a diploid parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Torre Colimena (Apulia, Italy). *Journal of Plankton Research*. Pg no: 1-27.
34. Kuruppu MM, Ekaratne SUK (1995) Ecology and population structure of the *Artemia* parthenogenetica population inhabiting a major saltern in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Salt Lake Research* 4: 117-131.
35. Kara MH, Bengraïne KA, Derbal F, Chaoui L, Amarouayache M (2004) Quality evaluation of a new strain of *Artemia* from Chott Marouane (Northeast Algeria). *Aquaculture* 235: 361-369.
36. John CJA, Abatzopoulos TJ, Marian PM (2004) Characterization of a new parthenogenetic *Artemia* population from Thamaraiikulam, India. *Indian journal of biological research* 2: 63-74.
37. Shirdhankar MM (1999) Studies on genetic architecture and predicted response in biometrical traits of sexually breeding *Artemia*. PhD Thesis.
38. Lester LJ (1988) Differences in larval growth among families of *Penaeus stylirostris* Stimpson and *P vannamei* Boone. *Aquaculture Research* 19: 243-251.
39. McLaren I, Corkett C (1978) Unusual genetic variation in body size development times oil storage and survivorship in the marine copepod *Pseudocalanus*. *Biol Bull* 155: 347-359.
40. Durborow RM, Avault JW, Jr Johnson WA, Koone KL (1985) Differences in mortality among full-sib channel catfish Families at Low Dissolved Oxygen. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 47: 169-175
41. Falconer DS (1955) Patterns of response in selection experiments with mice. *Cold Spring Harbour Symposium on Quantitative Biology* 20: 178-196.
42. Wickins JF (1981) Water quality requirements for intensive aquaculture a review. In: Tiews K (eds) *Proc World Symp on Aquaculture in heated effluents and recirculation systems* Stavanger. Pg no: 28-30.



- Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665
- Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X
- Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126
- Archives Of Urology
- Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779
- Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering
- International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X
- Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276
- Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292
- Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370
- Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594
- Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X
- Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562
- Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608
- Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879
- Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397
- Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751
- Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523
- Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780
- Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
- Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research
- Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546
- Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X
- Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943
- Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771
- Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844
- Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801
- Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978
- Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107
- Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315
- Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783
- Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X
- Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798
- Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020
- Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076
- Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X
- Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566
- Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485
- Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662
- Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999
- Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
- Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640
- Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654
- Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493
- Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends
- Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657
- Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences
- Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044
- Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X
- Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313
- Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400
- Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419
- Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372
- Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887
- Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052
- Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X
- Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
- Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649
- Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670
- Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743
- Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681
- Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
- Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150
- Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177
- Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574
- Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060
- Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284
- Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735
- Journal Of Translational Science And Research
- Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193
- Journal Of Virology & Antivirals
- Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829
- Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: <https://www.heraldoopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript>