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Introduction

The coronavirus is raising many questions and resulting in some steps being taken in some very different (and sometimes even contradictory) directions; this is observed at all levels: international, national, institutional, educational, economic, and includes that of the family, the couple and individually [1,2].

Since December 2019, the ongoing expansion of the Covid-19 (SARS-CoV-2) continues to create various socio-relational upheavals: organizational, financial, emotional but also medico-psychological. In this context, institutions will make choices depending on their roles (health care providers or not) and their management types.

Facing Covid-19, individuals’ reactions will vary according to their personality type and can take extreme forms: ranging from total denial (the “corona-skeptics”) to uncontrolled panic associated to behaviors typical of what used to be called “health anxiety”.

In this climate of uncertainty due do the pandemic, human disarray and cyberchondria can be expected as well as rumors spreading, conspiracy theories, constant feeling of being threatened and lacking security, and deviant behaviors of all kinds (discrimination, ignoring social distancing, assaults in shops, food hoarding, abuse of health care workers by confined people, theft, etc.) [3-5].

Knowing the current world shortage of screening material, protective masks and vaccine, the purpose of this paper is to identify the major civic behaviors in the population facing the pandemic, using the signal detection theory and the health locus of control concept. While the present protection measures apply, public behavior, and therefore public psyche, is more important and far more determinant for the future than the virus itself.

Since January 27, 2020, Elsevier has implemented an information Centre (https://www.elsevier.com/connect/coronavirus-information-center) dedicated to the corona virus with daily updates, and multiple organizations such as the WHO are mapping the virus propagation as soon as the information reaches them.

This reminds us of the HIV era: shame, fear, embarrassment and worries about how to communicate information to the public and how it will impact on people.

Medico-Psychological Aspects

The concept of “Health Locus of control”

The notion of “health locus of control” first appeared in 1966, thanks to Julian Rotter and has been quoted 30,588 times to date [6]. It was formulated after observing recurrent patterns in how people perceive what is happening to them.

On one hand, Rotter noticed that some individuals consider that the “reinforcements” they receive do not result from their own actions but are depending on external factors (luck, fate, others: external locus of control). On the other hand, he observed that some other individuals believe they are directly responsible for what they are experiencing (internal locus of control).

More specifically, this theory aims to predict which behavior an individual will adopt, knowing different reactions are possible. Subsequently multiple works have applied this concept to the health sector, and have identified 3 subtypes in terms of beliefs, thinking and behaviors: internal locus of control, external “powerful others” locus of control and external “chance” locus of control [7] (Figure 1).

Today, a Pubmed search for “health locus of control” gives 11,798 results while including 482 in 2019 and already 134 in early April 2020, proving how it is successfully used in health matters.
How does it relate to Covid-19?

There are some obvious connections to Covid-19, even though they have not been proven yet as the virus has just recently occurred. So far no work in English has been published regarding the relationship between Covid-19 and the health locus of control.

On one side, as shown in multiple health studies, people who have a rather internal locus of control will self-observe (fever, cough, shortness of breath, sore throat, headaches), while individuals with an external locus of control, will consider that the course of the coronavirus depends either on chance or on “the others”.

On the other side, prevention measures (frequent hand washing for at least 20 seconds, avoiding touching your face, coughing and sneezing in the crook of your elbow, no handshaking and no kissing), keeping things/places clean, avoiding contact with sick people, staying away from crowds, not traveling in infected zones, using hydroalcoholic gel when hand washing) will of course be better complied to by people with an internal locus of control who feel responsible (“response-able” or “able to provide a proper response” in order to protect their health).

Moreover, this concept applies to both general population and health care workers.

Knowing that professional exhaustion in health care workers significantly increases the amount of nosocomial infections in hospital, it multiplies exponentially the risks of propagation [8].

Knowing that locus of control, depression and immunity were proven to be associated to the natural killer cells activity: opting for alcohol (General subscale) and not (Multidimensional subscale) health locus of control subscale.

The theory of signal detection

Some individuals (health care worker or not) can underestimate the Covid-19 psychological risk, and therefore become “hypo-estimators” or “deniers” (Miss) (Figure 2).

Others, anxious about their health, will become “hyper-estimators”, hyper-vigilant or even hypochondriac or cyber-chondriac (False alarm).

The theory of the signal detection was created to optimize radar operator’s efficiency in submarines during the second world war. It compares what is expected and what is observed [13,14].

On his radar screen in the submarine, the operator sees visual signals which can be either a “real” signal (an enemy submarine) or a “noise” (an artefact or a non-enemy submarine).

• The primary efficiency of the operator is not only to spot, report and fire the supposed enemy submarine, but rather to identify a non-enemy submarine as such and to prevent wasting a torpedo and alarming the crew.

• The second efficiency of the operator is to avoid two types of mistakes: reporting a submarine when there is none and not reporting a submarine when there is one. This can apply to the Covid-19 situation.

In this pandemic, we need to be mainly wary of “deniers or hyper-estimators” rather than fear the “false alarm”, besides the “hits” illustrated in Figure 2.

Conclusion

Regarding the corona virus, health care providers and the whole population should rather be hyper-vigilant than hypo-vigilant in order to protect their and others health while containing the epidemic. It is all about empirical falsification, as described by Karl Popper in 1934 in his book “the logic of scientific discovery” [15].

False alarm is preferable to omission. Civic-minded behaviors and an internal health locus of control are to be strongly encouraged.
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