

Research Article

Using Quality Improvement Methodology to Standardize Procedures of the 6 Minute Walk Test on an Inpatient Cardiopulmonary Program

Danielle Griscti Vaidyan and Danielle Struble-Fitzsimmons*

Rehabilitation Services Division, Burke Rehabilitation Hospital, White Plains, NY, USA

Abstract

Background: The 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT) assesses aerobic capacity and endurance and is recommended for use in the geriatric cardiopulmonary patient population. 6MWT procedures should follow the gold standard guidelines described by American Thoracic Society (ATS) to ensure reliability and validity of measurement. The Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) quality improvement framework can be utilized by physical therapists to systematically improve clinical practice when deviations from the standard of care are identified. The purpose of this study was to utilize a PDSA cycle to implement standardization of the 6MWT in an inpatient cardiopulmonary rehabilitation setting.

Methods: The full quality improvement cycle took a total of 8 months to complete. The project required the development of new training materials, changes to testing locations and processes, and modifications to the Electronic Medical Record (EMR). Outcome measures were identified in two domains: (1) staff training and (2) patient related.

Results: 35 physical therapy staff were trained. A between groups design was used to compare the old and new 6MWT measurements. Pulmonary patients who completed the 6MWT with the new format walked significantly farther than patients who completed the 6MWT using the old format, $t(84) = -2.29$, $p=0.025$. Cardiac patients who completed the 6MWT with the new format had no significant difference compared to old format, $t(75) = -.02$, $p = 0.986$.

*Corresponding author: Danielle Struble-Fitzsimmons, Rehabilitation Services Division, Burke Rehabilitation Hospital, White Plains, NY, USA, Tel: +1 914597-2843; E-mail: dstruble@burke.org

Citation: Vaidyan DG, Struble-Fitzsimmons D (2020) Using Quality Improvement Methodology to Standardize Procedures of the 6 Minute Walk Test on an Inpatient Cardiopulmonary Program. J Gerontol Geriatr Med 6: 081.

Received: December 18, 2020; **Accepted:** December 28, 2020; **Published:** December 31, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Vaidyan DG, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Conclusion: The data from this project showed that pulmonary patients walked 111.32 feet farther on the 6MWT when tested with the new format when compared to the old format, representing a significant difference. This finding indicates that proper administration of the 6MWT using ATS standards is critical to obtaining valid and accurate measurements. The PDSA methodology allowed for improved physical therapist clinical practice within a cardiopulmonary rehabilitation program.

Keywords: Cardiopulmonary rehabilitation; 6 Minute walk test; Physical therapy; Plan-do-study-act; Quality improvement

Abbreviations

6MWT: 6-minute walk test

ATS: American Thoracic Society

PDSA: Plan-Do-Study-Act

IRF: Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility

EMR: Electronic Medical Record

RPE: Rate of Perceived Exertion

RPD: Rate of Perceived Dyspnea

Introduction

The 6-Minute Walk Test (6MWT) is a submaximal exercise test that is used to assess aerobic capacity and endurance [1]. It has been found to be a reliable tool for evaluation of older populations (≥ 65 years) with chronic heart failure, and can be used as a predictor of pulmonary related hospitalizations and all-cause mortality [2-4]. In an inpatient rehabilitation setting, the 6MWT is used by physical therapists to measure a patient's baseline status, identify progress, justify services, and allow clinicians to set attainable and meaningful goals. Patients also benefit from use of the measurement tool for self-monitoring functional progress [5,6]. To be a valid and reliable assessment, however, the 6MWT procedures must be followed according to American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines. Published in 2002, these testing procedures are considered the gold standard, and have very specific guidelines regarding test administration [5].

Quality improvement methodology is an important tool in healthcare delivery, and is modeled to improve clinical practice. A commonly used framework for Quality Improvement (QI) is the Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycle. This successful problem-solving model was initially developed for product manufacturing by Deming (1986), and was adapted by Langley (1996) for use in healthcare. PDSA is a four-stage problem solving model used for improving a practice and carrying out change. The "plan" phase defines the objective, identifies and analyzes the problem, and puts a plan into action. The "do" phase consists of plan execution and data collection. In the "study" phase data analysis and summary are completed. The "act" phase ends the cycle by taking an account of recommended outcomes and adoption or modification of practice [7].

The authors determined that there was a need to standardize 6MWT procedures used by physical therapists in an inpatient cardiopulmonary program since the clinical test procedures used were not consistent with the gold standard practice described by the ATS [5]. Inconsistencies included test instructions, patient preparation, testing location, therapist encouragement and distance measurement techniques. To adopt the standardized 6MWT procedures in a systematic manner, a PDSA cycle was completed. The purpose of this study was to utilize quality improvement methodology to implement standardization of the 6MWT procedures in an inpatient cardiopulmonary rehabilitation setting.

Materials

This quality improvement project was completed in a specialized cardiopulmonary rehabilitation program located within a suburban New York Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility (IRF). The program serves a primarily geriatric population, with a mean patient age of 72.4 years. Patients receive comprehensive physical rehabilitation services for diagnoses such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, interstitial lung disease, and myocardial infarction, as well as post-operative patients after coronary artery bypass graft, valve repair and heart or lung transplant. The 6MWT was a core assessment utilized by the program, and was measured by physical therapists for all patients on initial evaluation, weekly assessments, and discharge evaluations. The PDSA cycle framework was used to standardize the 6MWT to ATS standards [5,4]. The entire project was developed and managed by the authors, whose roles are staff physical therapist and program director, respectively. The full quality improvement cycle took a total of 8 months to complete, beginning with the planning phase in March 2019, and ending with the act phase in October 2019. The planning phase lasted 2 months and revolved around three main questions: why are we changing, what are we changing, and what data needs to be collected?

During the planning phase, multiple deviations from the standardized 6 MWT procedures were identified in the domains of patient instruction, preparation, encouragement and test location. Under the old test procedures, clinicians were instructing patients to ambulate as far as they were able to for the test, however patients would be encouraged to sit prematurely if desired. Patient preparation varied regarding exercise intensity prior to 6 MWT assessment, and use of an assistive device also varied during testing. The old testing location made a loop pattern in a crowded gym and hallway environment instead of the quiet, long hallway recommended by ATS. Verbal encouragement varied from one clinician to another, and was provided inconsistently instead of the recommended one minute intervals. Upon completion of the test, therapists calculated distances walked adding together those distances measured after seated rest breaks, rather than stopping the test when a patient sat down. Changes made were aligned specifically with ATS guidelines for administration of 6MWT. Over a month, meetings took place with the research team to measure and mark two chosen corridors at appropriate lengths. Both test locations, a primary location, and secondary back-up option, were uncrowded straight hallways 30 m in length. Two pieces of tape on the walls were used to mark the new courses, to ensure therapists performing the test completed testing at the same locations during each trial. Testing documents were developed in both paper and electronic form. The paper document was presented to staff as a worksheet of required information for

Electronic Medical Record (EMR). The worksheet was provided on a clipboard during performance of the test and clarified test location and post-test vital signs. In addition, a pocket-sized clinical guide was developed to ensure consistent dictation of instructions and phrases of encouragement. EMR modifications were made to reflect new procedures to ensure that all necessary data were captured, and included: test location, distance walked, device used, assistance level, supplemental oxygen use and oxygen caddy management. Post-test vital signs (Borg Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE) and Dyspnea (RPD), heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory and oxygen saturation) were also included in this documentation. The only new equipment ordered for the change were 3 small lap counters; these were purchased and hung in a central location within the therapy gym to ensure easy access for testing. Training documents were developed for review of practice guidelines including a Word document and PowerPoint presentation. The PowerPoint presentation included a training video that reviewed required equipment, test location, instruction for test, and various scenarios for management of patients. Information reviewed was provided to clinicians on a centralized database to ensure overall adherence to new protocols.

Methods

Changes were actively implemented during a 3-month time period. Staff training occurred in two phases. During phase one, the core cardiopulmonary therapy team was provided with a didactic presentation of the new standard of practice, and in-person follow up training sessions were provided to ensure staff consistently demonstrated competency adhering to the protocol. In phase two, a second training presentation was provided to the hospital's physical therapy staff from the other four inpatient programs to account for cross-covering patients on the cardiopulmonary program.

The new test procedures, aligned to ATS standards, were implemented concurrently with staff training, and lasted for a period of three months. During this process, all testing changes identified in the planning phase were implemented. Under the new procedure, all 6MWT tests were completed at the start of a therapy session before any rigorous physical activity occurred. Patients were transported via wheelchair to either of the two hallway testing locations and were provided with scripted instructions at the start of the test. Therapists used standardized phrases of encouragement at minute intervals during test administration. Patients were able to use their usual walking aids during the test (cane, walker, etc.). Upon test completion patient post-test vitals and RPE/RPD were recorded. All clinical findings were entered into the new 6MWT template in the electronic medical record.

Outcome measures were identified in 2 domains: (1) staff training and (2) patient related. Staff related data would include the number of physical therapy staff trained in the new process. Patient related data included 6MWT distances pre and post the procedural change.

Results

This study met local criteria as a quality improvement project and did not need Institutional Review Board (IRB) review. Data analysis took a period of 3 months. Staff related data were obtained from retrospective review of in-service attendance logs. Patient related data were obtained from retrospective reviews of the electronic medical records. A between groups design was used to allow for comparison.

The old format group was those patients who had a physical therapy evaluation completed during the 3-month period prior to change. The new format group included those patients tested using the new format during a 3-month period. Patients were excluded from analysis if data were missing or if they did not have a cardiac or pulmonary diagnosis. The medical records were reviewed for five specific variables: (1) diagnosis, (2) gender, (3) age, (4) date of initial physical therapy evaluation and (5) 6MWT distance on evaluation. All data were analyzed with Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 [8].

Staff outcomes

Two in-service presentations were given to physical therapists in the facility. The first in-service was presented to 8 physical therapists on the cardiopulmonary program. These same physical therapists also completed in-person follow up training with the QI project lead (DGV). The second training in-service was presented to 27 physical therapists who were assigned to other inpatient programs, but at times were required to complete coverage on the cardiopulmonary program.

Patient outcomes

Pulmonary patients: The total pulmonary group sample size was $N = 86$. 41 patients were tested using the old 6MWT format and 45 patients were tested using the new format. The average age of the old format group was 69.80 years ($SD = 9.84$), with a minimum age of 48 and a maximum age of 89. The average age of the new format group was 72.93 ($SD = 12.16$), with a minimum age of 43 years old and a maximum age of 98 years old. The mean age of the old format group ($M = 69.80$, $SD = 9.84$) was not significantly different from that of the new format group ($M = 72.93$ years; $SD = 12.16$), $t(84) = -1.30$, $p = 0.196$. Both groups had similar gender proportions. The old format group was 61% female ($n = 25$) and 39% male ($n = 16$). The new format group was 62.2% female ($n = 28$) and 37.8% male ($n = 17$). The mean 6MWT distance for the old format group was 263.88 feet ($SD = 215.88$) and the mean distance for the new format group was 375.20 ($SD = 234.22$). Patients who completed the 6MWT with the new format walked significantly farther than patients who completed the 6MWT using the old format, $t(84) = -2.29$, $p = 0.025$.

Cardiac patients: The total cardiac group sample size was $N = 77$. 48 patients were tested using the old 6MWT format and 29 patients were tested using the new format. The average age of the old format group was 72.06 years ($SD = 14.60$), with a minimum age of 24 and a maximum age of 98. The average age of the new format group was 74.10 ($SD = 11.04$), with a minimum age of 47 years old and a maximum age of 91 years old. The mean age of the old format group ($M = 72.06$, $SD = 14.60$) was not significantly different from that of the new format group ($M = 74.10$ years; $SD = 11.04$), $t(75) = -.65$, $p = 0.519$. The groups varied in gender proportions. The old format group was 39.6% female ($n = 19$) and 60.4% male ($n = 29$). The new format group was 58.6% female ($n = 17$) and 41.4% male ($n = 12$). The mean 6MWT distance for the old format group was 373.21 feet ($SD = 218.96$) and the mean distance for the new format group was 374.28 ($SD = 316.35$). There was no significant difference between 6MWT distances between the two groups, $t(75) = -.02$, $p = 0.986$.

Discussion

The data from this project showed that pulmonary patients walked 111.32 feet farther on the 6MWT when tested with the new

format when compared to the old format, representing a significant difference. We hypothesize that using the old procedures, therapists were encouraging patients to sit prematurely, particularly those patients who demonstrated dyspnea during testing, thus leading to shorter 6MWT distances. Using the new protocol, however, therapists used a scripted statement for rests which allowed patients to take standing rest breaks without ending the test, allowing for longer 6MWT distances. Interestingly, there was only a 1.07-foot increase in 6MWT distances for cardiac patients tested with the new format; this difference was not significant when compared to the old format. It is possible that 6MWT distances for cardiac patients were less influenced by the old, incorrect test procedures, accounting for less of a difference. A second explanation of this finding may be that the current samples, which were not proportionate in size or gender, were not representative and were unable to capture a difference.

Within the physical therapy profession there is limited quality-based literature. Quality improvement methodology can strengthen clinical practice by improving decision making so that patients are impacted positively [9]. A question that arises regarding quality-based research is a clinician's ability to perform a quality improvement project in a work environment focused on patient care. There are limitations for a clinician's ability to perform both research and data analysis within a patient centered environment. Another barrier to completion includes a clinician's statistical knowledge to analyze data. The research that was performed during this quality improvement project was completed by a physical therapist that has advanced statistical training, as well as an administrative role within the rehabilitation facility. Having an administrative role allowed for flexibility to collect and analyze data within a clinical environment. Educational meetings and materials were developed by a staff physical therapist, however without the ability to analyze data there is no way to quantify change.

In summary, the use of the PDSA cycle for quality improvement in this inpatient rehabilitation setting allowed for improved clinical practice within this cardiopulmonary program, and all modifications described have been adopted. This project allowed clinicians to obtain valid and reliable distance measurements for justification of services and identification of patient improvement. Implementation of this standardization resulted in a successful change in clinical practice, and physical therapists are encouraged to participate in quality improvement initiatives to enhance care delivery, despite the challenges to this in clinical settings.

Acknowledgement

Both authors affirm this work as original, and both authors met criteria for authorship. No funds were used in this project. Neither author has any conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

1. Spruit MA, Polkey MI, Celli B, Edwards LD, Watkins ML, et al. (2012) Predicting outcomes from 6-minute walk distance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Am Med Dir Assoc 13: 291-297.
2. Durheim MT, Smith PJ, Babyak MA, Mabe SK, Martinu T, et al. (2015) Six-minute-walk distance and accelerometry predict outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease independent of Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2011 Group. Ann Am Thorac Soc 12: 349-356.

3. Uszko-Lencer NHMK, Mesquita R, Janssen E, Werter C, Brunner-La Rocca HP, et al. (2017) Reliability, construct validity and determinants of 6-minute walk test performance in patients with chronic heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 240: 285-290.
4. Casillas JM, Hannequin A, Besson D, Benaïm S, Krawcow C, et al. (2013) Walking tests during the exercise training: Specific use for the cardiac rehabilitation. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 56: 561-575.
5. ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Pulmonary Function Laboratories (2002) ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. *ATS Committee on Proficiency Standards for Clinical Pulmonary Function Laboratories. Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 166: 111-117.
6. Stevens JG, Beurskens AJ (2010) Implementation of measurement instruments in physical therapist practice: Development of a tailored strategy. *Phys Ther* 90: 953-961.
7. Taylor MJ, McNicholas C, Nicolay C, Darzi A, Bell D, et al. (2014) Systematic review of the application of the plan-do-study-act method to improve quality in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Safe* 23: 290-298.
8. IBM Corp (2016) IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA.
9. Westby MD, Klemm A, Li LC, Jones CA (2016) Emerging Role of Quality Indicators in Physical Therapist Practice and Health Service Delivery. *Phys Ther* 96: 90-100.



- Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665
- Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X
- Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126
- Archives Of Urology
- Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779
- Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering
- International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X
- Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276
- Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292
- Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370
- Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594
- Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X
- Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562
- Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608
- Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879
- Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397
- Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751
- Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523
- Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780
- Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
- Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research
- Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546
- Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X
- Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943
- Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771
- Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844
- Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801
- Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978
- Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107
- Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315
- Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783
- Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X
- Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798
- Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020
- Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076
- Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X
- Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566
- Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485
- Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662
- Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999
- Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
- Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640
- Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654
- Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493
- Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends
- Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657
- Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences
- Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044
- Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X
- Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313
- Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400
- Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419
- Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372
- Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887
- Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052
- Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X
- Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
- Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649
- Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670
- Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743
- Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681
- Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
- Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150
- Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177
- Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574
- Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060
- Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284
- Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735
- Journal Of Translational Science And Research
- Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193
- Journal Of Virology & Antivirals
- Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829
- Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: <https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript>