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Pregnancy-related Diastasis Rectus Abdominis (PDRA) is a prevalent condition. Recently it has been reported that 100 % of women have a PDRA by 35 weeks’ gestation, that the separation persists in 40 % when measured at six months postpartum, and that up to 32 % have a PDRA 12 months after postpartum [1,2].

Liaw et al. [3] found that the resting IRD (Inter Recti Distance) was reduced, and that abdominal wall strength improved up to six months postpartum but not to normal values. Sperstad et al. [2], found that 33 % present with PDRA in second trimester already and that the risk of developing DRA increases with heavy resistance training more than 20 h/week. Current evidence has not clarified the risk factors for this condition, but clinically women with PDRA present with a complexity of symptoms from the pelvic girdle, organ support and pelvic floor, such as PGP/ LBP, digestion issues and general discomfort [4]. This is also what I see in my clinic week.

PDRA is a separation of the left and right rectus abdominis and while it occurs in both men and women, pregnancy is the most common preceding event [4]. In biomechanics words this would be correct to say is an unnatural separation since the separation has always been there [5]. In the definition from [6a,b], PDRA is an impairment to the Linea Alba (LA), an aponeurosis or fibrous raphe running along the sheaths of the rectus abdominis muscles. The process involves a widening and thinning of the LA, and hence, DRA is defined as by an increased Inter-Recti muscle Distance (IRD) from normal values. The condition involves all the fascia of the abdominal wall according to Brauman et al. [7] and Coldron et al. [8]. To be more accurate one would also need to take into consideration that clinically what might also be present is the widening at the semilunaris (fascia at the lateral border of the R.abd).

Normal values of IRD differ hugely. According to Beer et al. [9], they found that women between 20 and 45 years of age present with a high variability at all levels, and that widths wider than these were considered abnormal. The most variability was found 3 cm above the umbilicus (13 mm +/- 7), and this is also where Axer et al. found the LA to be thinner [6a,b]. PDRA can involve all regions of the LA, although it is more common in the umbilical and supraumbilical regions [4].

According to Mota et al. [1] and Bo et al. [10], there is no evidence supporting a link between PDRA and PGP (Pelvic Girdle Pain) or LBP (Low Back Pain), measured up to 12 months after delivery. In my clinical work, these women are often presenting with PDRA and this complexity of symptoms years after a delivery and it is therefore my opinion that there is a need to look at the prevalence of this condition in a perspective that reaches further than the 12 months postnatal. In 2019 Benjamin et al [11] showed weak evidence that PDRA may be associated with pelvic organ prolapsed and PDRA severity (width) with impaired health-related quality of life, impaired abdominal muscle strength and low back pain severity.

In my physiotherapy clinic I see women who have been living with PDRA for many years, presenting with back- and pelvic pain, incontinence, POP (Pelvic Organ Prolapse) and abdominal muscle weakness. What Diane Lee has made me even more aware of and what I also see clinically is that the wider the IRD (and with increased width comes often increased depth), the tendency is that the more symptoms they present with. They often have a sense of being unprotected and vulnerable in the belly area when handling and interacting with their kids, when clothes add a pressure to the tissue, or having a feeling of discomfort when lying on their side at night or when bending forward. Often what they tell me is that they have been working out trying to strengthen their abdomen specifically, but that they experience a lack of connection to the deep support system, lack of support in the belly area despite muscular contraction, and that the belly keeps doming in their belly midline, sometimes giving a feeling of the belly “falling out” in side laying position, sometimes even more after exercise. An example of what I see is ex. that even the gentle forces generated when lifting both arms over head standing, can create a pyramid shaped Linea Alba doming in the belly midline. This LA doming represents the distortion or laxity in the LA, where functionally there is a lack of tension and support with increased IAP (Intra-Abdominal Pressure).

Long-Term Implications

The implications of long-term lack of support in the belly area are often a mixture of many things and more often than my other clients they also present with digestion issues like gas, belly volume increasing unproportionally to the amount of the intake of food, and constipation or loose stools, or both. As soon as their movement strategies change and there is a more integrated functional support unit around the belly, this is one of the first improvements they experience: More stable digestion system.
Evidence-Based Methods

To this date we still have no evidence-based method for strengthening and healing the connective tissue deformation specifically as seen in PDRA. Nor is there an evidence-based method for functionally reintegrating Linea Alba specifically dealing with core stability and movement. According to Benjamin et al. [13], the evidence available and quality of this evidence shows that non-specific exercise may or may not help to prevent or reduce PDRA during the ante- and postnatal periods. We should be aware though that most of these studies are looking at reduction of the IRD only, which in my opinion is less important and a late part of the process. As I will discuss later in this article, the ability to general tension and transfer load across the midline is more important for function. There is, on the other hand, quite a few studies on mechano-stimulation and tissue remodeling in tendon, and clinical perspectives that might shed some light on how this condition can be treated more efficiently. Since 2007 there has been an increasing activity in the research field of connective tissue, more specifically fascia and the fascial system, its plasticity, and its role in movement and movement control.

Even with poor evidence, exercise is one of the most common modalities used by physiotherapists to address PDRA during perinatal period [13]. The PDRA is dealt with in numerous online theories and programs worldwide, despite limited clinical research on the condition. Contradicting recommendations and poor evidence-based knowledge make it a very unsatisfying situation for both the women (and some men) suffering from the condition and finding their way dealing with it, and for the therapists trying to treat it [4].

The Core

PFM (Pelvic Floor Muscles) contribute to both postural and respiratory functions, and it is fair to say; is the “ground floor” of the core [14]. The locally stabilizing function of the core has for some time been linked to other deeper tissues of the core as well, I will call it the Deep Stabilizing System (DSS). The deeper and more locally stabilizing structures involving the Pelvic Floor Muscles (PFM), Tr. Abdominis (Tr.abd.), the diaphragm and transvers spinal system. While R. Abdominis (R.abd.), Oblique Externus (OE) and Oblique Internus (OI) are considered part of the more superficial and globally stabilizing movement-generating structures. Research has shown that the deepest muscles of the abdominal canister (Tr.abd, diaphragm and the PFM), never stop working and are multitasking. Tr. Abd is tonically active, physically responsive to breathing, and has peaks of activity in response to certain movements. Al three muscle groups (Tr.abd, diaphragm and the PFM) are anticipatory to anything that perturbs the trunk in any direction [14-18]. The more superficial abdominal muscles (OS, OI, R.abd) have been noted as more phasic, direction specific muscles [4,19,20].

Current evidence suggests that the muscles and fascia of the lumbo-pelvic region play a significant role in musculoskeletal function as well as continence and respiration [21]. It is also clear that synergistic function of all trunk muscles is required for loads to be transferred effectively through the lumbo-pelvic region during multiple tasks of varying loads, predictability, and perceived threat. Optimal strategies for transferring loads will balance control of movement while maintaining optimal joint axes, maintain sufficient intra-abdominal pressure without compromising the organs (preserve continence, prevent prolapse or herniation) and support efficient respiration.

The diaphragm is also anticipatory and increases its tonic activation and phasic response during tasks that perturb the trunk through the extremities [15,16]. The same pattern holds true for the deep muscles of the pelvic floor including the levator ani: They are tonically active, eccentrically lengthen during inhalation, concentrically shorten during expiration, are anticipatory and phasically responsive to increases in Intra-Abdominal Pressures (IAP) and tasks that perturb the trunk [14,22]. Respiration and especially expiration has been shown to be more connected to the deep pelvic floor muscular layer than the superficial, but both layers are connected to respiration [14,22,23], seen as task-specific differences in respiration-related activation of deep and superficial pelvic floor muscles [22].

There is a synergy between PFM and Tr.abd, and it has been shown that an 10-15 % PFM activation is enough to co-contract the Tr.abd [4,14,17]. This co-activation results in increased intra abdominal pressure and, therefore, increased tension in the thoracolumbar fascia and likely the anterior abdominal fascia and LA. A study from Kim et al. in 2016 [24], also showed that PFM activation seems more efficient in recruiting Tr.abd than an active expiration or pushing the belly button inwards, and that these latter strategies seem to recruit the OE more.

Summary of the Core

The core is functioning through a complex myofascial recruitment pattern as a whole unit, and optimal strategies for transferring loads will balance control of movement and support systems. The Deep Stabilizing System (DSS) plays a crucial role in stability and transfers of loads.

The Fascial System

Before describing the hypothesis of a possible pathway, it’s important to understand the physiology and function of connective tissue, both the loose irregular connective tissue and dense connective tissue called fascia – together forming what is called the fascial system. To understand the functional forces at play in PDRA we especially need to know the aponeurotic or fibrous raphe making the Linea Alba running along the sheaths of the rectus abdominis muscles. All fasciae are connective tissue, but connective tissues are not always fascia since this also covers specialized connective tissues such as blood
and bone. In 2018 the 4th international Fascia Research Conference Nomenclature Committee said that “the fascial system consists of the three-dimensional continuum of soft, collagen containing loose and dense fibrous connective tissues that permeate the body”. In 2019 there was an update: “A fascia is a sheath, a sheet, or any other dissectible aggregations of connective tissue that forms beneath the skin to attach, enclose, and separate muscles and other internal organs. The fascial system surrounds, interweaves between, and interpenetrates all organs, muscles, bones, and nerve fibers, endowing the body with a functional structure, and providing an environment that enables all body systems to operate in and integrated manner [25].

The fascial system therefore consists of both more, or less regulated dense connective tissues such as fascia, and loose irregular connective tissue and “padding” tissues, also called Sliding System by Guimberteau [26]. Sliding system is the loose, irregular continuum of fibrillar network that forms fluid filled micro volumes everywhere in the body. It is a highly present continuity of fluid filled intra-tissue fibrillar network that extends from the surface of the skin to the periosteum, connecting and allowing movement such as sliding and gliding function between adjacent structures [26]. In the body there is no separate layers: “The concept of tissue layers, neatly arranged in separate strata, compartments and sheets, although useful as a means of teaching and learning anatomy, is ultimately false”, Guimberteau states. This means that there is both a fibrous component and the component of the loose, irregular connective tissue where fluids are even more present, mainly proteoglycans and water. Carla Stecco presentation describes this very well: If our target is the fibrous component, we need to stimulate the fibroblasts increasing the fascial tension according to specific directions. If our target is the loose connective tissue, we need to pay attention to temperature; hydration and the movement must be multidirectional [27].

There is reason to think that there is a high degree of sliding involved in the complexity of the core function, since the fascial system plays at bigger role in the myofascial recruitment patterns of the core, not only in LA. But in the perspective of healing PDRA the fibrous component is equally interesting.

The Fibroblast is the primary cell responsive for producing and organizing collagen in connective tissues primarily through tension stimuli [28,29]. Meanwhile the fasciacytes are devoted to creating gliding through the production of Hyaluronan from shearing forces [30]. This mechano transductive process via the fibroblasts’ highly mechano sensitive function is depending on the direction and variation of mechanical stimuli such as tension, shearing forces and compression. Chee Ping NG, Boris Hinz and Melody A. Swartz showed how interstitial fluid flow induces myofibroblast differentiation and collagen alignment [31]. This leads to the recognition, that our connective tissue is constantly object to forces or the lack of it, and therefore highly mechano sensitive whether we are conscious of it or not, whether the tissues are exercised or not. Not only exercise matters. Movement matters, and the positions in-between movements matter.

Linea Alba

The Linea Alba has the function of providing a strong point of attachment and offering resistance to a working connected muscle-essential for producing force [5]. In the case of the core, together with the thoracolumbar fascia posterior this is a central point of attachment for many of the core muscles, and therefore the aponeuroses of Linea Alba has great importance for core function and movement. Linea Alba is a highly organized fibrillar network and is derived from the aponeuroses of the lateral abdominals and the rectus sheaths [4]. Axer et al. [6a,b] found that Linea Alba has a general pattern of fibril orientation antero posterior. In the supraumbilical and infraumbilical region primarily, the intermediate zone consists mainly of transversal fibrils that comes from the aponeuroses of Tra, while there is a superficial ventral zone of obliquely orientated fibers from OE and OI, and a thin dorsal zone of obliquely orientated fibers. This supports the understanding that at pull from Tr. Abd will create tension in L.A, and that this fibrillar arrangement provides greater resistance to lateral forces while still permitting elongation in the craniocaudal dimension [4,6a,b]. This also contradicts earlier suggestions from Grays Anatomy (2008) that Tr. Abd. narrows IRD like a corset when it contracts suggesting that it crosses and becomes the poneuroses of another muscle [4]. In other words, it is unlikely that an abdominal muscle contraction can reduce IRD through tension in Linea Alba. But rather that LA tension generated from transversal forces through Tr. Abd can create lines of stress. And that this tension prevents the distance from getting bigger, feeds the ongoing mechanotransductive process, and that this resistance over time prevents the diastasis from opening more.

According to Michael Kjaer, collagen fibers respond to loading through tension, and lay down along lines of stress [32]. From the data present today, it is clear that mechanical loading provides one of the strongest stimuli, if not the strongest, towards an adaptation of matrix tissue that becomes stronger and, in an injury recovery situation, heals faster and better than if no loading were present [33].

If collagen synthesis and reorganization is required for repair of PDRA, then loading the tissue is necessary. In other words and for this reason, abdominal binders should not be used carelessly, also supported in Diane Lees clinical guide [4].

According to Carla Stecco, the mechanotransductive process in the fascial system is vulnerable when there is a lack of variation and direction, and the loads are too constant [27]. Not too much and not too little is crucial to enhance load transmission. In swedish there is a word “lagom” for that. The key is to find how much is enough and how often is enough.

Magnusson and Kjaer have also showed that the connective tissue is very vulnerable when not being mechanically loaded [34]. Lack of tension removes the mechanotransductive signaling and context related to remodeling, and the result might be a more chaotic collagen organization, less adapted to loads. 2 weeks of immobilization showed up to 80 % reduction of collagen synthesis [34]. The complete turnover time in tendons is much slower than in muscle tissue, estimated to be 100 % in 2 years/24 months, intramuscular collagen turnover being faster, and that exercise do speed the turnover up to some degree. We don’t know enough, we don’t know everything, and possibly only 5 % of the tissue is mechanically responsive to tissue loading and remodeling after the age of 18. These 5 % might also only be present in the inter fascicular areas, as seen in that of tendons [35,36].

Ceydeli et al. [37] has showed that the abdominal fascia after ce- cerian only has 59 % scar tissue strength 6 weeks postnatal, yet up to 93 % 6-7 months postnatal. This too points in the direction of a long-term remodeling activity, and of finding meaningful lifetime interventions that can stimulate this connective tissue healing in a functionally adaptable context.
Smeets et al. [38] also suggests that musculoskeletal tissues such as tendon, bone, cartilage, ligament and menisc may express a greater level of tissue plasticity and protein synthesis rates than generally believed, ex. being greater in anterior cruciate ligament than m. vastus lateralis, and lower in post. Cruciate ligament, where loads are lower.

Kjaer states that humans may stimulate their connective tissue with low levels of intensity, at a time where the tissue would otherwise be too weak to tolerate heavy muscle resistance training [32].

Studies from Wingerden et al., [39], confirmed Axers findings that the viscoelastic property of LA differs in the transversal and the longitudinal plane and that the most stabilizing and least elastic properties is found in the transversal plane in the intermediate part, and that this indeed is connected to the myofascial connection to Tr.abd. muscle. Also, they found that there is a great deal of sliding between this posterior myofascial connection of the rectus sheath and the posterior aspect of the R.abd, while the ventral portion of the R.abd. Muscle and the ventral sheath were well adhered. This study in all points to the direction that the posterior part of the rectus sheath functionally is more connected to Tr.abd and to the intermediate part of LA where the most stabilizing properties are found. They suggest that Tr.abd. Pulls the LA down and out, creating tension.

And that this demands coordinated muscle recruitment especially in relation to R.abd, PFM and R.abd. Hodges and Lee also have demonstrated how the distortion of LA increases and the IRD decreases in a curl-up when the tr. abd. is not recruited sufficiently to tense the LA. Meanwhile the IRD increases and the distortion of the LA decreases when Tr.abd is recruited, creating tension in LA. Since tension is at crucial parameter in collagen synthesis and remodeling, this could be a central mechanism. Wingerden furthermore challenges the typical views on abdominal exercise and strength, by shedding light on the core function as a pressure-tolerant container. Both muscular and fascial tissues contribute to supporting and creating more light on the core function as a pressure-tolerant container. Both muscular and fascial tissues contribute to supporting and creating pressure tolerance, and Wingerden also recommends strengthening the abdominal wall without creating unnecessary compression on the internal organs, since this will lead to an increased IAP and fluid filled organs are not able to compress.

Summary Core, Fascial System and Linea Alba

Based on present knowledge there is fair reason to say that the facial system is plastic, and that the overall structure in LA is a result of the loads, the direction and variation of the loads over time and that if our target is the fibrous component, we need to stimulate the fibro-blasts increasing the fascial tension according to specific directions. Tension in LA is primarily generated through contraction of Tr.abd, yet this is an integrated part of the synergies of the core as a unit. Based on present knowledge there is a fair reason to say that recruitment patterns and muscular strategies that predominantly builds on superficial abdominal muscles, although often efficient in presenting with a toned and in an esthetic sense strong abdomen, is not necessarily generating the tension and healing circumstances necessary for increased LA integrity.

Perspectives

There is to this date no evidence-based link between strengthening Tr.abd and healing PDRA. Nor between trying to reduce the IRD and healing PDRA. The Norwegian study 2018 from Gluppe et al. [40], shows very clear that a weekly, postpartum, supervised exercise program, including strength training of the pelvic floor and abdominal muscles, in addition to daily home training of the pelvic floor muscles, did not reduce the prevalence of PDRA. But there are three interesting aspects to this study: First, that the before-and-after results that were measured were IRD and the purpose was to reduce this. Dufour et al. [12] shows in a study that generates 28 expert-based recommendations, that there is a wide agreement that “measurement of the inter-recti distance does not provide sufficient and meaningful clinically relevant data.” Furthermore that it is important that language emphasizing neuromuscular physiology rather than structure or gap is used when working with these women. An evolved understanding of restoring the integrity of the LA does not translate into “closing the gap as has been understood until now.” They also do not recommend crunches alone to address the complexity of DRA. They recommend that DRA should be assessed thorough generation of tension in LA with voluntary PFM contraction, assessment of Pelvic floor function, and assessment of LA at rest via palpation to determine integrity via depth and qualitative assessment of LA. This calls for a different approach than the measures used in the study from Gluppe et al. to even measure LA behavior.

Secondly, the study from Gluppe et al. had an intervention that lasted for 4 months only, from 6 weeks postpartum. We know from Coldron et al. [8], that a PDRA that does not heal spontaneously within the first 6-8 weeks needs a more specific intervention. But we also know that turnover is slow, and if the collagen synthesis of LA is the aim of the intervention, 4 months is most likely not enough time. Thirdly, there are no cues as to how the women integrated synergies of the core as a unit in motor control and myofascial recruitment patterns in their exercise classes or in their pelvic floor muscle training at home. We simply do not know, or they did not use such muscle recruitment cues to add PFM contractions functionally in their abdominal strength training. The focus of the exercise protocol was to strengthen the PFM, but the program also contained strengthening exercises for the abdominal, back, arm, and thigh muscles, stretching, and relaxation. The pelvic floor exercises took basis in isolated pelvic floor contractions in different positions (maximum, prolonged and fast maximum). The exercise classes focused on abdominal strength training. All exercises, except the PFM exercises, were performed to music. The weekly exercise class included different abdominal exercises, like: Draw-in on all fours or prone, half-plank, side-plank and oblique sit-up, straight sit-up. All exercises are described without any cues for muscle recruitment patterns or synergies. Instead, it is described, that the abdominal exercises were a natural choice when aiming to close the DRA, choosing abdominal exercises that have always been a part of this comprehensive exercise program. Also they were aiming for isolated PFM contractions providing a training effect of Tr.Abd.

From my clinical point of view, most of the abdominal exercises used in this study, are with intensities and complexities that women with DRA only will be able to perform safely much later in the process and only when a functional use of the Deep Stabilizing System (DSS) already is established. Most of the women I see in my clinic, would need to exercise with loads much less for the loads to not compromise LA integrity with increasing IAP, and to respect the degree of motor control.

To me what Gluppe et al. most importantly proves, is that it does matter how you engage your Tr.abd and that there are more complex recruitment patterns at stake than isolated strengthening the abdominal muscles and the pelvic floor. And finally, if you want to heal
PDRA through increased LA integrity and strength of LA, that the tissue needs tension. And that this takes focused attention and movement quality, time, and patience.

**Conclusion Hypothesis**

The conclusion could be that there is a biomechanical connection between the collagen remodeling, architecture, integrity and function of Linea Alba. And that this again is connected to the direction of tension given from Tr.abd. especially and not trough abdominal muscular tension reducing the gap or IRD. But to explore this further, that we need to consider the core as a whole-which means that myofascial synergies in the Deep Stabilizing System (DSS) between especially PFM, Tr.abd and the Diaphragm is crucial. And that focused attention on movement qualities, rather than the established focus on intensity, muscular tone, rigidity, and higher loads in strength training - can nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The hypothesis is that a possible pathway from exercise and movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and recruitment strategies that generates- or potentially generates tension movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The conclusion could be that there is a biomechanical connection between the collagen remodeling, architecture, integrity and function of Linea Alba. And that this again is connected to the direction of tension given from Tr.abd. especially and not through abdominal muscular tension reducing the gap or IRD. But to explore this further, that we need to consider the core as a whole, which means that myofascial synergies in the Deep Stabilizing System (DSS) between especially PFM, Tr.abd and the Diaphragm is crucial. And that focused attention on movement qualities, rather than the established focus on intensity, muscular tone, rigidity, and higher loads in strength training - can nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The hypothesis is that a possible pathway from exercise and movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and recruitment strategies that generates- or potentially generates tension movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The conclusion could be that there is a biomechanical connection between the collagen remodeling, architecture, integrity and function of Linea Alba. And that this again is connected to the direction of tension given from Tr.abd. especially and not through abdominal muscular tension reducing the gap or IRD. But to explore this further, that we need to consider the core as a whole, which means that myofascial synergies in the Deep Stabilizing System (DSS) between especially PFM, Tr.abd and the Diaphragm is crucial. And that focused attention on movement qualities, rather than the established focus on intensity, muscular tone, rigidity, and higher loads in strength training - can nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The hypothesis is that a possible pathway from exercise and movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and recruitment strategies that generates- or potentially generates tension movement to healing PDRA could be found through movement- and nurture this process more efficiently. And of course, integrating the more superficial, movement-specific and supporting functions of the core too.

The perspective in this hypothesis is that when we wish to heal and strengthen PDRA, maybe we can come quite far by acknowledging the tension-generating forces, lines of stress and last but not the least - time. There are no quick fixes, but there are especially good reasons to keep moving with PDRA.

**References**


Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665
Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X
Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126
Archives Of Urology
Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779
Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering
International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X
Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276
Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292
Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370
Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594
Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X
Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562
Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608
Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879
Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397
Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751
Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523
Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780
Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research
Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546
Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X
Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943
Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771
Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844
Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801
Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978
Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107
Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315
Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783
Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X
Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798
Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020
Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076
Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X
Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566
Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485
Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662
Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999
Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640
Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654
Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493
Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends
Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657
Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences
Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044
Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X
Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313
Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052
Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X
Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649
Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670
Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743
Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681
Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150
Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177
Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574
Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060
Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284
Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735
Journal Of Translational Science And Research
Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193
Journal Of Virology & Antivirals
Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829
Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript