

Short Commentary

Transdifferentiation in Neuroscience: Lights and Shadows

Cristiana Mollinari^{1,2*} and Daniela Merlo²

¹Institute of Translational Pharmacology, National Research Council, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Rome, Italy

²Department of Neuroscience, Istituto Superiore di Sanita', Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rome, Italy

Adult cells are believed to maintain their differentiated status under stable homeostatic conditions, while cellular identity can become plastic when homeostasis is perturbed such as during an injury and inflammation [1]. Indeed, it is now evident that cell identity is more flexible and plastic than previously thought. In particular, recent studies have shown that it is possible to influence cell fate through artificial manipulation such as exogenous expression of a set of Transcription Factors (TFs) that results in the reprogramming of adult skin fibroblasts to a pluripotent state [2]. In addition, recent reports have demonstrated that one type of differentiated somatic cell can be directly reprogrammed to another type of cell, without rejuvenation to a pluripotent state, in a process called transdifferentiation [3,4]. Transdifferentiation is an epigenetic acquisition by a cell of a given type of the properties and features of another cell type, losing its own phenotype [5].

Adult brain has very limited regeneration capability, thus, the possibility of a direct neuronal reprogramming from non-neuronal cells, by passing a pluripotent state, would induce the formation of precious neuronal cells. This direct cellular generation thus represents a potential remedy for neuronal loss caused by brain injuries or neurodegeneration. In addition, the direct conversion of patient-specific cells could be used to implement disease-relevant *in vitro* platforms to generate models for neurodegenerative diseases, identify targets, and screen potential therapeutic drugs. Indeed, hundreds of millions of people worldwide are affected by neurological disorders, making them one of the greatest threats to public health.

This Commentary discusses current knowledge on direct reprogramming towards neuronal cell identity, and more specifically,

***Corresponding author:** Cristiana Mollinari, Institute of Translational Pharmacology, National Research Council, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133 Rome, Italy, cristiana.mollinari@ift.cnr.it

Citation: Mollinari C, Merlo D (2020) Transdifferentiation in Neuroscience: Lights and Shadows. J Stem Cell Res Dev Ther 6: 056.

Received: October 27, 2020; **Accepted:** November 27, 2020; **Published:** December 04, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Mollinari C, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

recent advances in transdifferentiation mediated by the exclusive use of chemical cocktails, remarking advantages and limits. To our opinion, direct reprogramming approaches represent an innovative strategy to overcome major barrier of the inaccessibility of human brain to obtain human neurons for studies of pathological mechanisms of diseases. Moreover, directly converted induced neurons (iNCs) from human donor-derived fibroblasts possess important features of cellular aging, including global transcriptomic changes, nuclear pore defects, and DNA methylation, rendering them a valuable tool for the study of age-related neurological diseases [3,6-8].

Among the various strategies to obtain direct reprogramming, ectopic expression of TFs in non-neuronal cells has generated neurons and neural progenitors both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [9-17]. Direct conversion by TFs stands on their ability to bind to in accessible neuronal genes in differentiated non-neuronal cell types which are generally called as pioneer TFs.

The first direct conversion strategy was achieved by the overexpression of the three TFs, namely *Ascl1*, *Brn2*, and *Myt1l* (BAM factors), in mouse fibroblasts [18], and was then extended to BAM with *NeuroD1* to convert human fibroblasts to iNs with a similar efficacy [19]. Recently, it has been suggested that a huge variety of TF combinations can be applied to generate subtype-specific iNs from fibroblasts and TF screening studies for iNs conversion have led to the identification of additional pro-neuronal factors, such as *Brn3a/b/c*, *Brn4s*, and *Ezh2* [20,21].

More interestingly, TFs and endogenous genes vital to the transdifferentiation process can be specifically targeted and silenced or upregulated, using methods that focus on the direct manipulation of DNA or the epigenetic environment, such as CRISPR/Cas9 [22,23].

Moreover, the ability to drive direct reprogramming is not limited to TFs, as non-coding RNAs can promote it as well. In addition, the culture conditions, including increased time in culture and developing coculture with astrocytes, may have an impact in terms of both phenotypic fate and efficiency of reprogramming.

The use of viral vectors to introduce exogenous transgenes into cells is currently the most prominent method to induce transdifferentiation. Generally, lentiviruses and retroviruses are mostly used due to their ability to effectively integrate directly into the genome of the host cell and confer a proper level of TF expression. However, viral delivery of TFs possesses undesirable side effects, including possible mutations leading to oncogenesis, thus posing problems for possible clinical trial application. That is the reason why non-integrating vectors have been developed, although associated with lower efficiencies of transdifferentiation, including: Sendai virus, plasmid vectors, minicircles, and mRNA vectors which remain in the cytoplasm where they are translated into proteins. Alternative non-viral methods, such as transient transfection and electroporation, can be also applied, however, due to their low efficiency, transgene silencing, inflammation and poor nuclear uptake, are less commonly used in transdifferentiation studies [24]. Lately, the use of Protein Transduction Domains (PTDs)

fused to TFs allows the direct delivery of exogenous TFs avoiding the problems associated with DNA integration into the hostgenome [25].

Besides TFs, small molecules, modulating specific targets and epigenetic mechanisms, have been used to produce neural progenitors [26] and neurons [27-29] in *in vitro* cultures.

Small molecules can be applied in combination with viral agent-mediated TF delivery to improve the reprogramming efficiency [30-35] although, chemical reprogramming alone can be easily administered and converted into therapeutic intervention. In the last years, several groups have identified combinations of small molecules capable of transdifferentiating somatic cells such as fibroblasts, astrocytes and even glioblastoma cells into neurons [26-29,32,36].

Small molecules can convert human astrocytes or fibroblasts into functional neurons, with a yield of up to 85% neurons from fetal and adult astrocytes [28,29], which is lower from human fibroblasts, with an efficiency of no more than 15% [27]. For sure, fibroblasts are better starting cells for direct neuronal reprogramming because of easier access for acquisition than astrocytes, although their lower reprogramming efficiency to neurons needs to be increased for broader application in neurological diseases. For example, Yang et al., [37] reported that human fibroblasts can be efficiently and directly reprogrammed into glutamatergic neurons by serially exposing cells to a combination of twelve small molecules. The sec iNs displayed neuronal transcriptional networks, and also exhibited mature firing patterns and formed functional synapses.

Although many reports have demonstrate dthat small molecules can convert one type of terminally differentiated somatic cell to another fully differentiated cell type, there are still various major aspects ahead that must be overcome. Indeed, protocols using small molecules produce mainly glutamatergic subtypes with rare gabaergic and dopaminergic neurons. The inability to produce the neuronal subtypes which are lost in neurodegenerative disorders like Parkinson's Disease, Alzheimer's Disease, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Huntington's Disease represents a major limitation in current small molecules transdifferentiation field. However, it was showed that a single TF such as ASCL1, using a novel protein intracellular delivery technology, in combination with the small molecules LDN193189, SB431542, DAPT and valproic acid can rapidly reprogram astrocytes into mature GABAergic and glutamatergic interneurons with high efficiency [25]. Moreover, Chabrat et al., developed a novel *in vitro* model of dopaminergic-like neurons derived from human nasal olfactory stem cells through a six step transdifferentiation protocol based on a specific combination of signaling pathway modulators [38].

Thus, it is reasonable to envisage that slight modifications of the chemical recipe may yield additional neuronal lineages optimizing and harnessing the small molecule-mediated reprogramming approach, leading to remarkable advances in disease modeling and possibly regenerative medicine in the future.

The main disadvantages of transdifferentiation by chemical approach to generate brain cells with specific properties consist in a low efficiency, a mixed population of neurons with different degrees of maturity and a unique subtype of neurons, although capable to maintain the age-related fetatures associated with the human pathology. Forced expression of exogenous TFs for the direct reprogramming is supposed to damage proper epigenetic marks and genome integrity, where as chemical compound-based conversion should be milder,

leading to a better conservation of the ageing conditions. Thus, we believe that the chemical strategy may represent a new valid method for generating cells for both basic research and clinical applications. It is important to consider that the rapid metabolic transition that takes place during the fate switch from somatic cell to neuron puts enormous stress on the cell, leading to the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), known to induce toxicity and affect cell fate regulation, representing a major barrier to transdifferentiation [39]. For this reason an intermediate stage of reprogramming would reduce this oxidative stress, promoting a safer transition between cell fates and improving efficiency [16]. In this respect, the generation of neural stem or progenitor cells (NPCs) from other somatic cells, can largely improve the efficiency of the protocol since each neural stem cell can produce several neurons.

Small molecules can also facilitate the approach of Cell Activation and Signaling-Directed (CASD) reprogramming, which leads cells into an epigenetically activated transition state (cellactivation) that, in conjunction with lineage-specific signals (signaling-directed), reprograms somatic cells into NPCs [40-44]. In this respect, Zhu et al., demonstrated that a single gene, Oct4, in conjunction with a chemical cocktail containing CHIR99021, A-83-01, NaB, LPA, rolipram, and SP600125 was sufficient to convert human fibroblasts into expandable NPCs [45].

The most exciting perspective of direct reprogramming is the possibility that it might be achievable in patients *in vivo*. Performing *in vivo* transdifferentiation would eliminate the need for cell transplantation and immunosuppression depending on the target application. However, potential adverse effects of direct reprogramming *in vivo* could include in appropriate differentiation into other cell types or even tumor cells. In addition, induced cells could be dysfunctional and detrimental to the brain structure.

In animal models, transdifferentiation *in vivo* is now currently feasible, revealing the important role of resident glial cells in the generation of specific neurons to restore lost neuronal circuitries. For example, reactive astrocytes and NG2 cells can be directly reprogrammed into functional neurons inside mouse brains with the expression of a single neural TF, NEUROD1 [14]. Other TFs, such as neurogenin 2 (NGN2), ASCL1, and SOX2, have also been reported to reprogram glial cells into neurons both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [46].

Unfortunately, so far, studies have failed to induce chemical transdifferentiation *in vivo* accomplished only with small molecules resulting efficient just in promoting an increase in adult brain neurogenesis [47].

In conclusion, over the past years, several strategies for direct cellular reprogramming have been developed to generate brain cells with age-preserved features rendering them a valuable tool for many applications such as aged brain modeling and age-related diseases.

Although direct transdifferentiation methods, due to the low efficiency, are quite limited, there is ongoing research that aims at improving this limit specially with the advent of *in situ* transdifferentiation, and with the emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 system as an alternative to TF overexpression methods. In addition, although some disadvantages need to be overcome, transdifferentiation by chemical reprogramming remains an important tool not only *in vitro* for disease modeling, new biomarkers discovery and drug screening, but also for possible application in regenerative medicine.

References

- Alvarado AS, Yamanaka S (2014) Rethinking differentiation: stem cells, regeneration, and plasticity. *Cell* 157: 110-119.
- Takahashi K, Yamanaka S (2006) Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* 126: 663-676.
- Mertens J, Paquola ACM, Ku M, Hatch E, Bohnke L, et al. (2015) Directly Reprogrammed Human Neurons Retain Aging-Associated Transcriptomic Signatures and Reveal Age-Related Nucleocytoplasmic Defects. *Cell Stem Cell* 17: 705-718.
- Mollinari C, Zhao J, Lupacchini L, Garaci E, Merlo D, et al. (2018) Transdifferentiation: a new promise for neurodegenerative diseases. *Cell Death Dis* 9: 830.
- Graf T (2011) Historical origins of transdifferentiation and reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 9: 504-516.
- Huh CJ, Zhang B, Victor MB, Dahiya S, Batista LF, et al. (2016) Maintenance of age in human neurons generated by microRNA-based neuronal conversion of fibroblasts. *Elife* 5: 18648.
- Tang Y, Liu ML, Zang T, Zhang CL (2017) Direct Reprogramming Rather than iPSC-Based Reprogramming Maintains Aging Hallmarks in Human Motor Neurons. *Front Mol Neurosci* 10: 359.
- Kim Y, Zheng X, Ansari Z, Bunnell MC, Herdy JR, et al. (2018) Mitochondrial Aging Defects Emerge in Directly Reprogrammed Human Neurons due to Their Metabolic Profile. *Cell Rep* 23: 2550-2558.
- Berninger B, Costa MR, Koch U, Schroeder T, Sutor B, et al. (2007) Functional properties of neurons derived from in vitro reprogrammed postnatal astroglia. *J Neurosci* 27: 8654-8664.
- Heinrich C, Blum R, Gascon S, Masserdotti G, Tripathi P, et al. (2010) Directing astroglia from the cerebral cortex into subtype specific functional neurons. *PLoS Biol* 8: 1000373.
- Grande A, Sumiyoshi K, Lopez-Juarez A, Howard J, Sakthivel B, et al. (2013) Environmental impact on direct neuronal reprogramming in vivo in the adult brain. *Nat Commun* 4: 2373.
- Mazzoni EO, Mahony S, Closser M, Morrison CA, Nedelec S, et al. (2013) Synergistic binding of transcription factors to cell-specific enhancers programs motor neuron identity. *Nat Neurosci* 16: 1219-1227.
- Torper O, Pfisterer U, Wolf DA, Pereira M, Lau S, et al. (2013) Generation of induced neurons via direct conversion in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110: 7038-7043.
- Guo Z, Zhang L, Wu Z, Chen Y, Wang F, et al. (2014) In vivo direct reprogramming of reactive glial cells into functional neurons after brain injury and in an Alzheimer's disease model. *Cell Stem Cell* 14: 188-202.
- Liu ML, Zang T, Zhang CL (2016) Direct Lineage Reprogramming Reveals Disease-Specific Phenotypes of Motor Neurons from Human ALS Patients. *Cell Rep* 14: 115-128.
- Gascon S, Murenu E, Masserdotti G, Ortega F, Russo GL, et al. (2016) Identification and Successful Negotiation of a Metabolic Checkpoint in Direct Neuronal Reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 18: 396-409.
- Wang LL, Su Z, Tai W, Zou Y, Xu XM, et al. (2016) The p53 Pathway Controls SOX2-Mediated Reprogramming in the Adult Mouse Spinal Cord. *Cell Rep* 17: 891-903.
- Vierbuchen T, Ostermeier A, Pang ZP, Kokubu Y, Sudhof TC, et al. (2010) Direct conversion of fibroblasts to functional neurons by defined factors. *Nature* 463: 1035-1041.
- Pang ZP, Yang N, Vierbuchen T, Ostermeier A, Fuentes DR, et al. (2011) Induction of human neuronal cells by defined transcription factors. *Nature* 476: 220-223.
- Tsunemoto R, Lee S, Szucs A, Chubukov P, Sokolova I, et al. (2018) Diverse reprogramming codes for neuronal identity. *Nature* 557: 375-380.
- Traxler L, Edenhofer F, Mertens J (2019) Next-generation disease modeling with direct conversion: a new path to old neurons. *FEBS Lett* 593: 3316-3337.
- Chakraborty S, Ji H, Kabadi AM, Gersbach CA, Christoforou N, et al. (2014) A CRISPR/Cas9-based system for reprogramming cell lineage specification. *Stem Cell Reports* 3: 940-947.
- Chavez A, Scheiman J, Vora S, Pruitt BW, Tuttle M, et al. (2015) Highly efficient Cas9-mediated transcriptional programming. *Nat Methods*, 12: 326-328.
- Tanabe K, Ang CE, Chanda S, Olmos VH, Haag D, et al. (2018) Transdifferentiation of human adult peripheral blood T cells into neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: 6470-6475.
- Robinson M, Fraser I, McKee E, Scheck K, Chang L, et al. (2018) Transdifferentiating Astrocytes Into Neurons Using ASCL1 Functionalized With a Novel Intracellular Protein Delivery Technology. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 6: 173.
- Cheng L, Hu W, Qiu B, Zhao J, Yu Y, et al. (2014) Generation of neural progenitor cells by chemical cocktails and hypoxia. *Cell Res* 24: 665-679.
- Hu W, Qiu B, Guan W, Wang Q, Wang M, et al. (2015) Direct Conversion of Normal and Alzheimer's Disease Human Fibroblasts into Neuronal Cells by Small Molecules. *Cell Stem Cell* 17: 204-212.
- Zhang L, Yin JC, Yeh H, Ma NX, Lee G, et al. (2015) Small Molecules Efficiently Reprogram Human Astroglial Cells into Functional Neurons. *Cell Stem Cell* 17: 735-747.
- Gao L, Guan W, Wang M, Wang H, Yu J, et al. (2017) Direct Generation of Human Neuronal Cells from Adult Astrocytes by Small Molecules. *Stem Cell Reports* 8: 538-547.
- Chambers SM, Fasano CA, Papapetrou EP, Tomishima M, Sadelain M, et al. (2009) Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPSC cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling. *Nat Biotechnol* 27: 275-280.
- Ladewig J, Mertens J, Kesavan J, Doerr J, Poppe D, et al. (2012) Small molecules enable highly efficient neuronal conversion of human fibroblasts. *Nat Methods* 9: 575-578.
- Li X, Zuo X, Jing J, Ma Y, Wang J, et al. (2015) Small-Molecule-Driven Direct Reprogramming of Mouse Fibroblasts into Functional Neurons. *Cell Stem Cell* 17: 195-203.
- Pfisterer U, Ek F, Lang S, Soneji S, Olsson R, et al. (2016) Small molecules increase direct neural conversion of human fibroblasts. *Sci Rep* 6: 38290.
- Qi Y, Zhang XJ, Renier N, Wu Z, Atkin T, et al. (2017) Combined small-molecule inhibition accelerates the derivation of functional cortical neurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 35: 154-163.
- Herdy J, Schafer S, Kim Y, Ansari Z, Zangwill D, et al. (2019) Chemical modulation of transcriptionally enriched signaling pathways to optimize the conversion of fibroblasts into neurons. *Elife* 8: 41356.
- Lee C, Robinson M, Willerth SM (2018) Direct Reprogramming of Glioblastoma Cells into Neurons Using Small Molecules. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 9: 3175-3185.
- Yang Y, Chen R, Wu X, Zhao Y, Fan Y, et al. (2019) Rapid and Efficient Conversion of Human Fibroblasts into Functional Neurons by Small Molecules. *Stem Cell Reports* 13: 862-876.
- Chabrat A, Lacassagne E, Billiras R, Landron S, Pontisso-Mahout A, et al. (2019) Pharmacological Transdifferentiation of Human Nasal Olfactory Stem Cells into Dopaminergic Neurons. *Stem Cells Int* 2019: 2945435.
- Lu J, Li Y, Mollinari C, Garaci E, Merlo D, et al. (2019) Amyloid- β Oligomers-induced Mitochondrial DNA Repair Impairment Contributes to Altered Human Neural Stem Cell Differentiation. *Curr Alzheimer Res* 16: 934-949.

40. Corti S, Nizzardo M, Simone C, Falcone M, Donadoni C, et al. (2012) Direct reprogramming of human astrocytes into neural stem cells and neurons. *Exp Cell Res* 318: 1528-1541.
41. Kim J, Efe JA, Zhu S, Talantova M, Yuan X, et al. (2011) Direct reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts to neural progenitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 7838-7843.
42. Thier M, Munst B, Mielke S, Edenhofer F (2012) Cellular reprogramming employing recombinant sox2 protein. *Stem Cells Int* 2012: 549846.
43. Wang L, Wang L, Huang W, Su H, Xue Y, et al. (2013) Generation of integration-free neural progenitor cells from cells in human urine. *Nat Methods* 10: 84-89.
44. Lee JH, Mitchell RR, McNicol JD, Shapovalova Z, Laronde S, et al. (2015) Single Transcription Factor Conversion of Human Blood Fate to NPCs with CNS and PNS Developmental Capacity. *Cell Rep* 11: 1367-1376.
45. Zhu S, Ambasadhan R, Sun W, Kim HJ, Talantova M, et al. (2014) Small molecules enable OCT4-mediated direct reprogramming into expandable human neural stem cells. *Cell Res* 24: 126-129.
46. Torper O, Ottosson DR, Pereira M, Lau S, Cardoso T, et al. (2015) In Vivo Reprogramming of Striatal NG2 Glia into Functional Neurons that Integrate into Local Host Circuitry. *Cell Rep* 12: 474-481.
47. Yin JC, Zhang L, Ma NX, Wang Y, Lee G, et al. (2019) Chemical Conversion of Human Fetal Astrocytes into Neurons through Modulation of Multiple Signaling Pathways. *Stem Cell Reports* 12: 488-501.



- Advances In Industrial Biotechnology | ISSN: 2639-5665
- Advances In Microbiology Research | ISSN: 2689-694X
- Archives Of Surgery And Surgical Education | ISSN: 2689-3126
- Archives Of Urology
- Archives Of Zoological Studies | ISSN: 2640-7779
- Current Trends Medical And Biological Engineering
- International Journal Of Case Reports And Therapeutic Studies | ISSN: 2689-310X
- Journal Of Addiction & Addictive Disorders | ISSN: 2578-7276
- Journal Of Agronomy & Agricultural Science | ISSN: 2689-8292
- Journal Of AIDS Clinical Research & STDs | ISSN: 2572-7370
- Journal Of Alcoholism Drug Abuse & Substance Dependence | ISSN: 2572-9594
- Journal Of Allergy Disorders & Therapy | ISSN: 2470-749X
- Journal Of Alternative Complementary & Integrative Medicine | ISSN: 2470-7562
- Journal Of Alzheimers & Neurodegenerative Diseases | ISSN: 2572-9608
- Journal Of Anesthesia & Clinical Care | ISSN: 2378-8879
- Journal Of Angiology & Vascular Surgery | ISSN: 2572-7397
- Journal Of Animal Research & Veterinary Science | ISSN: 2639-3751
- Journal Of Aquaculture & Fisheries | ISSN: 2576-5523
- Journal Of Atmospheric & Earth Sciences | ISSN: 2689-8780
- Journal Of Biotech Research & Biochemistry
- Journal Of Brain & Neuroscience Research
- Journal Of Cancer Biology & Treatment | ISSN: 2470-7546
- Journal Of Cardiology Study & Research | ISSN: 2640-768X
- Journal Of Cell Biology & Cell Metabolism | ISSN: 2381-1943
- Journal Of Clinical Dermatology & Therapy | ISSN: 2378-8771
- Journal Of Clinical Immunology & Immunotherapy | ISSN: 2378-8844
- Journal Of Clinical Studies & Medical Case Reports | ISSN: 2378-8801
- Journal Of Community Medicine & Public Health Care | ISSN: 2381-1978
- Journal Of Cytology & Tissue Biology | ISSN: 2378-9107
- Journal Of Dairy Research & Technology | ISSN: 2688-9315
- Journal Of Dentistry Oral Health & Cosmesis | ISSN: 2473-6783
- Journal Of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders | ISSN: 2381-201X
- Journal Of Emergency Medicine Trauma & Surgical Care | ISSN: 2378-8798
- Journal Of Environmental Science Current Research | ISSN: 2643-5020
- Journal Of Food Science & Nutrition | ISSN: 2470-1076
- Journal Of Forensic Legal & Investigative Sciences | ISSN: 2473-733X
- Journal Of Gastroenterology & Hepatology Research | ISSN: 2574-2566
- Journal Of Genetics & Genomic Sciences | ISSN: 2574-2485
- Journal Of Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine | ISSN: 2381-8662
- Journal Of Hematology Blood Transfusion & Disorders | ISSN: 2572-2999
- Journal Of Hospice & Palliative Medical Care
- Journal Of Human Endocrinology | ISSN: 2572-9640
- Journal Of Infectious & Non Infectious Diseases | ISSN: 2381-8654
- Journal Of Internal Medicine & Primary Healthcare | ISSN: 2574-2493
- Journal Of Light & Laser Current Trends
- Journal Of Medicine Study & Research | ISSN: 2639-5657
- Journal Of Modern Chemical Sciences
- Journal Of Nanotechnology Nanomedicine & Nanobiotechnology | ISSN: 2381-2044
- Journal Of Neonatology & Clinical Pediatrics | ISSN: 2378-878X
- Journal Of Nephrology & Renal Therapy | ISSN: 2473-7313
- Journal Of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation | ISSN: 2572-7400
- Journal Of Nuclear Medicine Radiology & Radiation Therapy | ISSN: 2572-7419
- Journal Of Obesity & Weight Loss | ISSN: 2473-7372
- Journal Of Ophthalmology & Clinical Research | ISSN: 2378-8887
- Journal Of Orthopedic Research & Physiotherapy | ISSN: 2381-2052
- Journal Of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery | ISSN: 2573-010X
- Journal Of Pathology Clinical & Medical Research
- Journal Of Pharmacology Pharmaceutics & Pharmacovigilance | ISSN: 2639-5649
- Journal Of Physical Medicine Rehabilitation & Disabilities | ISSN: 2381-8670
- Journal Of Plant Science Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3743
- Journal Of Practical & Professional Nursing | ISSN: 2639-5681
- Journal Of Protein Research & Bioinformatics
- Journal Of Psychiatry Depression & Anxiety | ISSN: 2573-0150
- Journal Of Pulmonary Medicine & Respiratory Research | ISSN: 2573-0177
- Journal Of Reproductive Medicine Gynaecology & Obstetrics | ISSN: 2574-2574
- Journal Of Stem Cells Research Development & Therapy | ISSN: 2381-2060
- Journal Of Surgery Current Trends & Innovations | ISSN: 2578-7284
- Journal Of Toxicology Current Research | ISSN: 2639-3735
- Journal Of Translational Science And Research
- Journal Of Vaccines Research & Vaccination | ISSN: 2573-0193
- Journal Of Virology & Antivirals
- Sports Medicine And Injury Care Journal | ISSN: 2689-8829
- Trends In Anatomy & Physiology | ISSN: 2640-7752

Submit Your Manuscript: <https://www.herallopenaccess.us/submit-manuscript>